

**ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT  
FOR  
VOCATIONAL EDUCATION**

**FISCAL YEAR 1981**

**STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION**

**RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA**



	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF TABLES	ii
CERTIFICATIONS	iv
1. COMPARISON OF GOALS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN MEETING EMPLOYMENT NEEDS [FR 104.241 (a) (1), FR 104.142 (a) (2), FR 104.241 (b) (1) and FR 104.241 (a) (4) (iii)]	2
3. FUNDING BY PURPOSE	
3.1 Funding by Program (Purpose) Needs [FR 104.241 (a) (4)]	54
3.2 Funding by Minimum Percentage, Matching, and Maintenance of Effort Requirements [FR 104.241 (a) (3)]	54
3.2 Funding to Local Educational Institutions	54
4. EVALUATION	
4.1 Summary of Evaluation Programs - Secondary [FR 104.241 (b)]	121
4.2 Summary of Evaluation Programs - Postsecondary and Adult [FR 104.241 (b)]	137
5. RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Consideration of Recommendations from the State Advisory Council [FR 104.241 (b) (2)]	190
5.2 Consideration of Other Recommendations, if appropriate	190
6. COORDINATION AND PARTICIPATION	
6.1 Results of Coordination Between Vocational Education and CETA	201
6.2 Results of Participation of Local Advisory Council	212



LIST OF TABLES	<u>Page</u>
TABLE 1. Enrollments and Completions by Program for FY1981	4
TABLE 2. Enrollments and Completions for FY1981 -- Deviations, Summary, and Implications	28
TABLE 3. Enrollments in Consumer and Homemaking Programs for FY1981	51
TABLE 4. Enrollments and Completions for Consumer and Home-making Programs in FY1981 -- Deviations, Summary and Implications	52
TABLE 5. Funding by Purpose with Federal, State, and Local Funds - Secondary Level	55
TABLE 6. Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments	57
TABLE 7. Projected and Actual Funding by Purpose - Post-secondary and Adult - FY1981	77
TABLE 8. Funding by Purpose at the Postsecondary Level -- Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments	79
TABLE 9. Projected and Actual Funding by Purpose - Summary for Postsecondary and Adult FY1981.	88
TABLE 10. State Summary of Funding by Purpose - 1980-81	89
TABLE 11. State Maintenance of Effort	90
TABLE 12. Summary of FY 1980 Unexpended Federal Funds Expended in FY 1981	91
TABLE 13. Minimum Percentages of Basic Grant 1980-81	92
TABLE 14. State Board of Education Estimated and Actual Allotments for Months of Employment for 1980-81 for Sub-Parts 2, 3, and 5 Prepared on Latest Available Data - Secondary	93
TABLE 15. State Board of Education Estimated and Actual Allotments Based on Formulas for 1980-81 Prepared on Latest Available Data - Secondary	103
TABLE 16. Estimates of Allotments of Federal and Required Matching Funds by Purpose - Postsecondary 1980-81	113

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE 17. Actual Distribution of Federal and Required Matching Funds by Purpose Postsecondary and Adult - 1980-81	114
TABLE 18. State Board of Education Actual Allotments Based on Formulas for 1980-81 Prepared on Latest Available Data - Secondary	115
TABLE 19. Follow-Up Survey by Program Area and Overall Skill Development Areas - Result of Student Follow-Up from 1979-80, Collected in 1981	123
TABLE 20. Results of the FY81 Program Review - Program Review Data Analysis by Standard and Criterion Statements for EACH Element in the Program Review Process. Expressed by mean scores - criteria/mean score/ standard. 1980-81	130
TABLE 21. Composition of local Vocational Advisory Councils	213
TABLE 22. Projected Statewide Advisory Council Activities	215

## CERTIFICATION

All certifications required for the FY1981 Accountability Report are included in the Five Year State Plan for Vocational Education. Both the State Plan and the FY1981 Accountability Report are developed and submitted simultaneously; thus certifications included in the State Plan apply to the FY81 Accountability Report.

These certifications are:

Certificate of State Board - Adoption of Plan

Certificate of State Board

Non-Delegation of Responsibilities

Notification to Eligible Recipients to Establish  
Advisory Councils

Representatives Required in Section 107 Were Afforded  
Opportunity To Be Involved

Section 107 Representatives Met

Certificate of Consultants for Sex Equity

Certificate of the State Advisory Council

Certificate of Public Hearing

Certificate of Members of State Plan Group (Sec. 107)

Governor's Sign-Off (A-95)



## Chapter One

### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Accountability Report is to show the extent to which the State has achieved the goals and objectives included in the Annual State Plan for Vocational Education for FY1981. The Accountability Report is required by federal regulations.

The Accountability Report is divided into six chapters as follows:

Chapter 1. An Introduction

Chapter 2. A description of major differences between projected program enrollments and completions and actual enrollments and completions as set forth in the FY1981 State Plan by instructional program areas - on a program-by-program basis.

Chapter 3. A description of projected activities, projected benefits, and actual accomplishments by funding category as set forth in the FY1981 State Plan by purpose of the Vocational Education Act. This chapter also includes information on maintenance of effort, formula allocations, and matching requirements.

Chapter 4. A summary of evaluations conducted by the state and results accomplished.

Chapter 5. A description of the consideration given each recommendation in the evaluation report of the State Advisory Council as well as consideration given to other recommendations.

Chapter 6. Part 6.1 describes the results of coordination between Vocational Education and CETA. Part 6.2 describes the composition and activities of the local advisory councils.

Some parts of the Accountability Report, where appropriate, are divided into three sections - one for secondary, one for postsecondary, and one for adult vocational education.



## Chapter Two

### COMPARISON OF GOALS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN MEETING EMPLOYMENT NEEDS

Table 1 shows the degree to which the state has achieved goals of the State Plan for Vocational Education in 1981 pertaining to enrollment and completion. Table 1 of this report relates to Table 13 of the State Plan for 1981. Table 1 also shows the degree to which the state has achieved goals of the State Plan for Vocational Education of 1981 pertaining to expenditures.

Table 2 contains discussions of possible reasons for deviation from what was projected.

Tables 3 and 4 of this report relate to Table 14 of the State Plan for 1981; Tables 3 and 4 show the degree to which the state has achieved goals of the State Plan for Vocational Education of 1981 pertaining to consumer and homemaking needs.

#### General Discussion of Deviations at the Secondary Level

The projections shown in the FY1981 State Plan were derived primarily on the basis of 1978-79 enrollment and completion data. In essence the deviations appeared over a two-year period rather than one year as it might appear. The current system of data collection does not always supply as much data breakdown as may be needed for accurate projections; however, a new data collection system is being field tested with full implementation expected for FY1982. Also, the state agency does not control course offerings nor enrollments; because of the lack of state control, it is difficult to project enrollments and completions with accuracy.

Information on enrollments and completions in this report are based on data received from the Information Systems Division of the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction.

Because FY1981 enrollments and completions are compared to FY1979 projections, a significant deviation in Table 1 is considered to be a deviation of 50% or greater between FY1979 projections and actual FY1981 enrollments and completions. Additionally, deviations based on fewer than 30 students are not addressed since statewide implications would not be significant.

Some assumptions may be made in addition to the recognition of a lack of systematic approaches to projections.

One assumption is that vocational students are completing their elected program of vocational education as a result of greater awareness of their career goals resulting from prevocational training.

Another assumption may be that in a tight economic situation there is more recognition of the need for having a particular salable skill; thus, students are completing programs at a higher rate than projected.

Awareness of high youth unemployment, greater in North Carolina for youth without vocational training than for those who have completed vocational programs, may also contribute to a higher completion rate than projected.

A minor contributing factor may be that a slight decrease in the dropout rate may have a slight impact on the number of completers.

Research is needed to determine contributing factors as there is currently no accurate data base to make sound determinations on the high/low deviations between actual and projected, other than unrealistic projections in many areas in the past.

Hopefully, the Vocational Education Data System will provide more accurate data upon which to develop the Accountability Report and will lessen the deviations between projected and actual.

TABLE 1. ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Area Program Number	O. E. Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type			Enrollment			Completers		
				Proj.	Act.	C C I C I	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference	
4	01.0100 Agricultural Production	1,980	Sec.			5,396	5,571	+175		861	1,576	+715
		P-Sec.	X X X X	1,275	752	-523	274	-		85	-189	
		Adult	X X X X	800	846	+46	-	-		-	-	
	01.0200 Agricultural Supplies/ Services	220	Sec.			128	38	-90		52	27	-25
		P-Sec.	X X	5	1	-4	-	-		-	-	
		Adult										
4	01.0300 Agricultural Mechanics	511	Sec.			2,502	2,192	-310		491	684	+193
		P-Sec.	X X X X	85	74	-11	25	15		15	-10	
		Adult	X X X X	170	252	+82	10	5		5	-5	
	01.0400 Agricultural Products	489	Sec.			140	38	-102		49	20	-29
		P-Sec.	X X X	20	26	+6	17	10		10	-7	
		Adult	X X X X	725	999	+274	-	-		-	-	
4	01.0500 Ornamental Horticulture	1,161	Sec.			4,104	3,776	-328		995	1,522	+527
		P-Sec.	X X X X	325	481	+156	125	89		89	-36	
		Adult	X X X X	1,950	2,485	+535	62	64		64	+2	
	01.0600 Agricultural Resources	284	Sec.			616	494	-122		162	177	+15
		P-Sec.	X X X X	250	193	-57	78	59		59	-19	
		Adult	X X X X	60	57	-3	32	27		27	-5	
4	01.0700 Forestry	389	Sec.			915	581	-334		185	210	+25
		P-Sec.	X X X X	225	269	+44	86	60		60	-26	
		Adult										
4	01.9900 Other: Vet. Med.	115	Sec.			10,420	9,271	+1,149		483	483	
		P-Sec.	X X	130	132	+2	51	38		38	-13	
		Adult										

AGRICULTURE 01.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Area Program Number	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type		Enrollment			Completers	
					Proj.		Act.	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected
					C	T	C	T	I	C	I
		SUBTOTAL AGRICULTURAL	5,149				24,221	21,969	-2,260	2,759	4,699
		Sec.			X	X	2,315	1,928	-387	656	356
		P-Sec.			X	X					-300
		Adult			X	X	3,705	4,639	+934	104	96
		Sec.					353	365	+12	87	185
		P-Sec.			X	X	50	146	+96	12	10
		Adult			X	X	1,100	1,735	+635	85	116
		Sec.					1,859	1,944	+85	592	971
		P-Sec.			X	X	95	129	+34	17	6
		Adult									-11
		Sec.					223	146	-77	95	99
		P-Sec.									+4
		Adult									
		Sec.					76	75	-1	39	60
		P-Sec.			X	X	575	771	+196	65	33
		Adult			X	X	2,800	2,698	+198	-	-32
		Sec.					80	72	-8	23	33
		P-Sec.			X	X	50	60	+10	12	5
		Adult									-7
		Sec.					1,525	1,642	+117	629	997
		P-Sec.									+368
		Adult									
		Sec.					1,945	2,197	+252	689	1,223
		P-Sec.			X	X	175	544	+369	36	14
		Adult			X	X	12,800	10,691	-2,109	-	-22

DISTRIBUTIVE AND MARKETING 04.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Enrollment						Completers			
					Type			Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference	
					Proj.	Act.	C T C I				C T C I	C T C I	C T C I	
04.0800	General Merchandise	5,440	Sec.				3,598	3,325	-273	953	1,417	464	+464	
04.0900	Hardware, Building Materials	248	P-Sec.	Adult	X	X	X	1,000	791	-209	-	-	-	
			Sec.				177	193	+16	83	114	+31	+31	
04.1000	Home Furnishings	96	P-Sec.	Adult										
04.1100	Hotel and Lodging	268	Sec.				40	31	-9	20	17	-3	-3	
			P-Sec.	Adult	X	X	X	165	222	+57	41	14	-27	-27
04.1200	Industrial Marketing	148	Sec.				176	157	-19	94	100	+6	+6	
04.1300	Insurance	578	P-Sec.	Adult										
			Sec.				20	13	-7	12	12	0	0	
			P-Sec.	Adult	X	X	X	15	81	+66	3	1	-2	-2
04.1500	Personal Services	218	Sec.				950	705	-245	-	-	-	-	
04.1600	Petroleum	322	P-Sec.	Adult			420	382	-38	209	222	+13	+13	
			Sec.				90	0	90	53	0	53	53	
			P-Sec.	Adult										

DISTRIBUTIVE AND MARKETING 04.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	0. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type		Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj.	Act.	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference
	04.1700	Real Estate	960	Sec.			29	17	-12	15	13	-2
				P-Sec.	X	X	1,000	549	-451	76	26	-50
				Adult	X	X	7,100	4,526	-2,574	-	-	
				Sec.			180	107	-73	62	58	-4
	04.1800	Recreation and Tourism	146	P-Sec.	X	X	225	225	-	34	39	+5
				Adult								
				Sec.			155	187	+32	56	121	+65
				P-Sec.	X	X	200	185	-15	50	26	-24
				Adult	X	X	665	671	+6	215	298	+83
	04.1900	Transportation	3,460	Sec.			1,113	214	-899	165	121	-44
				P-Sec.	X	X	650	746	+96	112	142	+30
				Adult								
	04.2000	Retail Trade, Other	1,309	Sec.			411	1,190	+779	39	583	+544
				P-Sec.								
	04.3100	Wholesale Trade, Other	316	Adult								
				Sec.			12,576	12,322	-254	3,956	6,386	+2,430
				P-Sec.	X	X	3,200	3,658	+458	458	316	-142
				Adult	X	X	26,015	21,817	-4,198	300	414	+114
				Sec.								
				P-Sec.								
	07.0101	Dental Assistant	270	Adult	X	X	365	377	+12	149	262	+113
				Sec.								
	07.0102	Dental Hygienist (AAS Degree)	124	P-Sec.	X	X	380	390	+10	110	120	+10
				Adult								

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Number	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type			Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj. C	Act. C	Proj. C	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference	
07.0103	Dental Laboratory Assistant	Sec.			0					0	26	15	-11
		P-Sec.	X	X	75	62		-13					
		Adult											
07.0203	Medical Laboratory Technician	Sec.			0					0			
		P-Sec.	X	X	215	290		+75		71	102	+31	
		Adult	X	X	90	46		-44		17	40	+23	
07.0301	Nursing (AAS Degree)	Sec.			0					0			
		P-Sec.	X	X	2,750	3,076		+326		928	1,131	+203	
		Adult	X	X	150	212		+62		-			
07.0302	Practical (Voc.) Nurse	Sec.			0					0			
		P-Sec.											
07.0303	Nursing Assistant (Aide)	Adult	X	X	2,700	2,795		+95		976	1,033	+57	
		Sec.			86	35		-51		23	24	+1	
07.0305	Surgical Technology	Sec.			0					505	496	-9	
		P-Sec.								0			
		Adult	X	X	180	141		-39		80	116	+36	
07.0402	Physical Therapy	Sec.			0					0			
		P-Sec.	X	X	165	190		+25		63	26	-37	
		Adult	X	X	50	44		-6		21	40	+19	
07.0499	Other Rehabilitation	Sec.			0					0			
		P-Sec.	X	X	250	266		+16		98	73	-25	
		Adult	X	X	50	44		-6		21	40	+19	

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Prog o r o g r a m n u m b e r	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type		Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj.	Act.	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference
					C I	C I	C I	C I	C I	C I	C I	C I
07.0501	Radiologic Technology		126	Sec.			0			0		
		P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	400	458	+58	105	217	+112
		Adult										
07.0503	Nuclear Medical Technology		20	Sec.			0			0		
		P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	30	36	+6	11	20	+9
		Adult										
07.0600	Ophthalmic		85	Sec.			0			0		
		P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	90	73	-17	19	1	-18
		Adult	X	X	X	X	20	31	+11	9	15	+6
07.0700	Environmental Health Tech.		20	Sec.			0			0		
		P-Sec.										
07.0800	Mental Health Technology		76	Sec.			0			0		
		P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	385	456	+71	90	114	+24
		Adult										
07.0903	Inhalation Thrp. Tech. (Respirat.)		80	Sec.			0			0		
		P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	285	339	+54	33	74	+41
		Adult										
07.0904	Medical Assistant		209	Sec.			5,538	6,060	+522	777	2,414	+1,637
		P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	145	216	+71	40	68	+28
		Adult	X	X	X	X	255	477	+222	130	144	+14
07.0906	Health Aide		527	Sec.			8	12	+4	8	8	0
		P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	675	941	+266	41	54	+13
		Adult										

HEALTH 07.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type			Enrollment			Completers						
					Proj.	Act.	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference					
					C C I	T C I	C T I	C C I									
09.0204	Home Furnishings, Equipment, Services	300	Sec. P-Sec. Adult	X X X	144	104	-40	46	57	+11							
					135	436	+301	28	45	+17							
					4,100	1,514	-2,586	38	46	+8							
					40	21	-19	18	17	-1							
09.0205	Institutional, Home Mgmt., Services	280	Sec. P-Sec. Adult	X X X	4,100	-	-	38	-	-							
					230	179	-51	97	126	+29							
					-	-	-	-	-	-							
					-	-	-	-	-	-							
09.0299	Other	200	Sec. P-Sec. Adult	X X X	5,194	5,182	-12	1,888	2,696	+1,081							
					2,565	2,890	+325	431	449	+18							
					15,660	11,435	-4,225	717	402	-315							
					-	-	-	-	-	-							
SUBTOTAL OCCUPATIONAL HOME ECONOMICS				2,618	710	1,138	+428	301	969	+668							
4,004					3,400	4,864	+1,464	527	523	-4							
14.0100 Accounting and Computing					2,500	2,819	+319	-	-	-							
14.0201 Computer and Console Operators					0	1,631	1,631	0	718	+718							
14.0203 Programmers				128	350	404	+54	141	125	-16							
432					0	-	-	0	-	-							
14.0299 Other Business Data Processing					3,100	7,187	+4,087	379	613	+234							
					1,320	0	1,320	303	-	-							
					1,200	2,179	+979	-	-	-							

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Prog Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type						Enrollment			Completers					
					Proj. Act.			Projected			Actual		Difference		Projected		Actual		Difference
					C C	I I	C C	T T	C C	I I	C C	T T	C C	T T	C C	T T	C C	T T	
14.0300	Filing, Office Machines, Clerical	3,814		Sec.					640		219		-421		476		192		-112
	P-Sec.	X	X	X					3,200		3,255		+55		476		373		-103
	Adult	X	X	X					1,050		719		-331		-		-		
14.0400	Information/ Communications	1,693		Sec.					15		0		15		8		0		-8
	P-Sec.	X	X	X					300		256		-44		33		12		-21
	Adult	X	X	X					35		107		+72		21		71		+50
14.0500	Materials Support, Transportation	3,187		Sec.					35		0		35		21		0		-21
	P-Sec.																		
14.0600	Personnel Training and Related	135		Adult															
	Sec.	X	X	X					75		67		-8		37		6		-31
	P-Sec.	X	X	X											-		-		
	Adult	X	X	X					17,000		11,898		-5,102		-		-		
14.0700	Steno, Secretarial and Related	3,562		Sec.					620		281		-339		274		229		-45
	P-Sec.	X	X	X					5,850		6,574		+724		751		699		-52
	Adult	X	X	X					1,700		1,845		+145		-		-		
	Sec.								290		424		+134		106		252		+146
14.0800	Supervisory & Administration Management	2,631		Adult					16,900		17,059		+159		2,465		1,693		-772
	P-Sec.	X	X	X					2,200		3,565		+1,365		-		-		
14.0900	Typing and Related	1,169		Sec.					7,180		8,135		+955		2,661		4,747		+2,086
	P-Sec.																		
	Adult	X	X	X					5,200		5,382		+182		-		-		
14.9900	Other		1,050	Sec.					150		287		+137		82		113		+31
	P-Sec.																		
	Adult																		

BUSINESS AND OFFICE 14.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Area Program Number	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type				Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj.	Act.	C T C I	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference	Difference
		SUBTOTAL BUSINESS & OFFICE	22,131		Sec.			10,969	12,150	+1,181	4,064	7,234	+3,170	
					P-Sec.	X	X X	32,825	39,262	+6,437	4,668	3,919	-749	
				Adult	X	X	X	31,235	28,918	-2,317	162	196	+34	
				Sec.				0			0			
				P-Sec.										
		Aeronautical Technology	1	Adult										
				Sec.				0			0			
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	515	937	+422	122	105	-17	
				Adult										
				Sec.				0			0			
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	105	93	-12	17	16	-1	
				Adult										
				Sec.				0			0			
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	70	69	-1	25	16	-9	
				Adult	X	X	X	165	61	-104	-	-	-	
				Sec.				0			0			
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	600	671	+71	125	125	+1	
				Adult	X	X	X	1,150	1,284	+134	-	-	-	
				Sec.				0			0			
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	355	360	+5	46	70	+24	
				Adult	X	X	X	0			0			
				Sec.										
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	2,150	3,276	+1,126	402	493	+91	
				Adult	X	X	X	3,100	1,609	-1,491	-	-	-	
16.00	16.0105	Chemical Technology	59											
	16.0106	Civil Technology	115											
	16.0107	Electrical Technology	61											
	16.0108	Electronic Technology	421											

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type				Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj.	Act.	C C I C I	C T C I I	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference
16.0109	Electromechanical Technology	62		Sec.				0				0		
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	250	266	+16	57	70	+13
				Adult										
16.0110	Environmental Technology	105		Sec.				0				0		
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	425	398	-27	47	30	-17
				Adult										
16.0111	Industrial Technology	346		Sec.				0				0		
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	2,500	2,499	-1	284	220	-64
				Adult	X	X	X	X	50	112	+62	-	-	
16.0112	Instrumentation Technology	29		Sec.				0				0		
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	75	230	+155	11	47	+36
				Adult										
16.0113	Mechanical Technology	174		Sec.				0				0		
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	715	774	+59	157	151	-6
				Adult	X	X	X	X	4,500	57	-4,443	-	-	
16.0114	Metallurgical Technology	7		Sec.				0				0		
				P-Sec.										
				Adult										
16.0117	Scientific Data Technology	9		Sec.				0				0		
				P-Sec.										
				Adult										
16.0601	Commercial Pilot Training	37		Sec.				0				0		
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	115	122	+7	22	28	+6
				Adult										

TECHNICAL 16.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Area Program Number	O. E. Instructional Program Name	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type		Enrollment		Completers	
				Proj.	Act.	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected
				C C C	T I C	C T I	C I C		Actual
16.0602	Fire/Fire Safety Technology	57	Sec.			0		0	
			P-Sec.	X	X	450	424	-26	65
			Adult						30
			Sec.			0		0	-35
16.0604	Oceanographic Technology	65	P-Sec.	X	X	150	162	+12	45
			Adult						64
			Sec.			0		0	+19
16.0605	Police Science	907	P-Sec.	X	X	6,000	5,220	-780	966
			Adult						750
			Sec.			0		0	-216
16.9902	Water/Waste-Water Tech.	24	P-Sec.	X	X	40	129	+89	6
			Adult		X	85	70	-15	10
			Sec.			0		0	10
			P-Sec.	X	X	1,700	1,550	-150	36
			Adult						28
			Sec.			0		0	-8
	SUBTOTAL TECHNICAL	2,788	P-Sec.	X	X	16,215	17,180	+965	2,433
			Adult	X	X	9,050	3,193	-5,857	10
			Sec.			425	339	-86	125
17.0100	Air Cond. Heating & Climate Control	248	P-Sec.						124
			Adult	X	X	2,175	2,409	+234	239
			Sec.			126	80	-46	39
			P-Sec.						35
17.0200	Appliance Repair	61	Adult	X	X	30	22	-8	24
									18
									-6

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	0. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type						Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj.	Act.	C C I	T C I	T C I	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference	
17.0301	Body and Fender Repair		149	Sec. P-Sec.						600	661	+61	153	202	+49	
17.0302	Auto Mechanics		1,344	Adult Sec. P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	1,250	1,460	+210	154	289	+135	
17.0399	Automotive Specialization		291	Adult Sec. P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	9,600	9,260	-340	574	715	+141	
17.0400	Aviation Occupations		57	Adult Sec. P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	1,470	294	-1,176	799	179	-620	
17.0500	Blueprint Reading			Adult Sec. P-Sec.						35	30	-5	17	15	-2	
17.0600	Business Machine Maintenance		140	Adult Sec. P-Sec. Adult	X	X	X	X	X	1,100	1,013	-87	-	-		
17.0700	Commercial Art Occupations		186	Sec. P-Sec. Adult	X	X	X	X	X	30	0	-30	19	0	-19	
17.0800	Commercial Fishery Occupations		140	Sec. P-Sec. Adult	X	X	X	X	X	1,350	1,417	+67	16	20	+4	

TRADES AND INDUSTRIES 17.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Projected			Type			Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj.		Act.	C T C T		Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected		Actual	Difference
					C	T	C	C	T	C	I	C	I	C	I	C
17.0900	Commercial Photography	108		Sec.				110		282		+172	19	93	+74	
				P-Sec.	X	X		210		0			32	27	-5	
17.1001	Carpentry	2,425		Adult				6,232		5,707		-525	1,212	1,679	+467	
				Sec.	X	X		35		28		-7	12	9	-3	
				P-Sec.	X	X		3,250		3,327		+77	271	474	+203	
17.1002	Electricity	632		Adult	X	X	X	3,065		1,546		-1,519	344	434	+90	
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.												
17.1004	Masonry	702		Adult	X	X	X	3,200		3,276		+76	463	544	+81	
				Sec.				4,940		4,847		-93	1,047	1,367	+320	
17.1007	Plumbing and Pipefitting	520		Adult	X	X	X	2,500		2,259		-241	166	298	+132	
				Sec.				230		170		-60	111	67	-44	
				P-Sec.												
17.1099	Other Construction & Maintenance	1,792		Adult	X	X	X	300		426		+126	51	177	+126	
				Sec.				256		692		+436	76	234	+158	
17.1100	Custodial Service	4,964		Adult	X	X	X	425		2,087		+1,662	172	311	+139	
				Sec.				250		218		-32	130	109	-21	
17.1200	Diesel Mechanic	156		Adult	X	X	X	535		694		+159	76	102	+26	

TRADES AND INDUSTRIES 17.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	J. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type				Enrollment				Completers						
					Proj.		Act.		Projected		Actual		Difference		Projected		Actual		Difference
					C	T	C	T	C	T	C	T	C	T	C	T	C	T	
17.1300	Drafting Occupations		267	Sec.					6,300		6,854		+554		828		2,258		+1,430
					P-Sec.	X	X	X	875		1,283		+408		199		219		+20
	Electrical Occupations		259	Adult	X	X	X	X	1,000		1,318		+318				167		+34
					Sec.				86		160		+74		34		95		+61
17.1400	Electronics Occupations		250	Adult	X	X	X	X	475		1,007		+532		273		291		+18
					Sec.				300		2,128		+1,828		55		679		+624
	Fabric Maintenance Services		495	Adult	X	X	X	X	200		239		+39		87		10		-77
					Sec.				975		1,047		+72		235		240		+5
17.1500	Foremanship/ Management Development		8,175	Adult	X	X	X	X	8,700		5,964		-2,736		-		-		-
					Sec.				2,090		2,135		+45		446		776		+330
	Graphic Arts Occupations		398	P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	135		274		+139		12		34		+22
					Adult	X	X	X	125		338		+213		95		91		-4
17.1700	Instrument Maintenance/Repair		346	P-Sec.					12		27		+15		10		11		+1
					Adult	X	X	X	30		51		+21		12		29		+17
	Maritime Occupations		6	Sec.					205		196		-9		46		46		0
					P-Sec.				70		52		-18		14		12		-2

TRADES AND INDUSTRIES 17.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type		Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj. Act.		Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference
					C C	T C I						
17.2302	Machine Shop		389	Sec. P-Sec. Adult			350	644	+294	60	193	+133
					X	X	50	47	-3	12	15	+3
					X	X	2,000	1,919	-81	209	198	-11
					Sec. P-Sec. Adult		24		-24		14	-14
17.2303	Machine Tool Operation		1,196	Sec. P-Sec. Adult								
					X	X	70	70	-37	17	10	-7
					Sec. P-Sec. Adult		50	100	+50	8	29	+21
17.2305	Sheet Metal		296	Sec. P-Sec. Adult			950	910	-40	183	273	+90
					X	X	0	25	+25	0	5	+5
					X	X	4,700	7,449	+2,749	289	331	+42
					Sec. P-Sec. Adult							
17.2306	Welding and Cutting		476	Sec. P-Sec. Adult								
					X	X						
					X	X	4,700	7,449	+2,749			
17.2307	Tool and Die Making		117	Sec. P-Sec. Adult			120	184	+64	31	45	+14
					X	X						
					Sec. P-Sec. Adult		240	31	-209	36	23	-13
17.2399	Other Metal-working Occupations		438	Sec. P-Sec. Adult			290	82	-208	27	11	-16
					X	X						
					Sec. P-Sec. Adult							
17.2601	Barbering		246	Sec. P-Sec. Adult								
					X	X						
					Sec. P-Sec. Adult							
17.2602	Cosmetology		357	Sec. P-Sec. Adult			847	974	+127	218	283	+65
					X	X	1,950	2,034	+84	582	543	-39

TRADES AND INDUSTRIES 17.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Enrollment						Completers			
					Type		Projected		Actual		Difference		Projected	
					Proj.	Act.	C T C T	C I C I	C I	C I	+18	-56	86	+30
17.2699	Other Personal Services		360	Sec.					130	148	+18	-56	86	+30
17.2700	Plastics Occupations		75	P-Sec.					1,300	754	-546	-	--	
17.2801	Firefighter Training		1,275	Adult	X	X	X	X	14	27	+13	7	14	+7
17.2802	Law Enforcement Training		2,319	Sec.					1,400	2,399	+999	-	--	
17.2899	Other Public Services		1,194	P-Sec.					6	7	+1	2	5	+3
17.2900	Quality Food Occupations		3,485	Adult	X	X	X	X	20,100	21,855	+1,755	102	0	-102
17.3000	Refrigeration		40	Sec.					67	119	+52	21	69	+48
17.3100	Small Engine Repair		144	P-Sec.					10,300	10,989	+689	765	787	+22
				Adult					155	153	-2	53	97	+44
				Sec.					900	941	+41	330	513	+183
				P-Sec.					40	41	+1	20	26	+6
				Adult	X	X	X	X	245	403	+158	119	168	+49
				Sec.					16	8	-8	7	6	-1
				P-Sec.					400	180	-220	97	37	-60
				Adult	X	X	X	X	2,400	3,510	+1,110	179	167	-12

TRADES AND INDUSTRIES 17.00

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type		Enrollment			Completers			
					Proj. Act.		Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference	
					C C	T C I C I							
17.3300	Textile Production/ Fabrication	10,609		Sec.			2,350	2,261	-89	914	1,059	+145	
	P-Sec.												
17.3400	Leather Working	151		Adult	X	X	5,950	6,829	+879	-	-		
	Sec.						35	0	-35	11	0	-11	
17.3500	Upholstering	524		P-Sec.									
	Adult												
17.3600	Woodworking	690		Sec.			110	98	-12	53	51	-2	
	P-Sec.												
17.9900	Other: Surveying	151		Adult	X	X	175	180	+5	57	35	-22	
	Sec.						2,622	2,684	+62	574	714	+140	
	P-Sec.												
99.0100	Group Guidance			Adult	X	X	5,040	6,538	+1,498	42	52	+10	
	Sec.						7,970	7,889	-81	0	1,227	+1,227	
	P-Sec.							60	0	10	10	0	
99.0300	Remedial	48,043		Adult	X	X	20	10	-10	11	5	-6	
	Sec.						51,079	52,338	+1,259	9,015	15,488	+6,473	
	P-Sec.							2,555	4,936	+2,381	506	525	+19
	Adult							94,850	104,108	+9,258	5,447	6,896	+1,449
	Sec.							101,500	101,470	-30			
	P-Sec.												
	Adult												
	Sec.												
	P-Sec.												
	Adult												
	(2,436)*												
	3,013												
	-87												
	-176												

\*Students receiving supportive services included in program enrollment

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	0. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type						Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj.	Act.	C C	T C	T C	Projected	Actual	Difference	Projected	Actual	Difference	
SPECIAL PROGRAMS	99.0400	Industrial Arts		Sec.						15,793	15,992	1				
	99.0600	Other Not Elsewhere Classified		P-Sec.												
				Adult												
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.												
				Adult												
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.												
				Adult												
				Sec.												
SPECIAL PROGRAMS		SUBTOTAL SPECIAL PROGRAMS		P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	3,100	3,013	-87	-	-	-	-
	01.00	Agriculture	5,149	Adult												
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	2,315	1,928	-387	2,759	4,699	+1,940	
				Adult	X	X	X	X	X	3,705	4,639	+94	104	96	-8	
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	12,576	12,322	-254	3,956	6,386	+2,430	
				Adult	X	X	X	X	X	3,200	3,658	+458	458	316	-142	
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	6,043	7,150	+1,107	1,697	2,100	+403	
SKILLS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	04.00	Distribution and Marketing	22,936	Adult	X	X	X	X	X	26,015	21,817	-4,198	300	414	+114	
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	6,612	7,005	+393	804	2,595	+1,791	
				Adult	X	X	X	X	X	2,015	21,817	-4,198	300	414	+114	
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	6,043	7,150	+1,107	1,697	2,100	+403	
				Adult	X	X	X	X	X	54,795	57,571	+2,776	1,887	2,146	+259	
				Sec.												
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	2,565	2,890	+325	431	449	+18	
				Adult	X	X	X	X	X	15,660	11,435	-4,225	717	402	-315	
SUMMARY - SKILLS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS				Sec.												
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	X	X	32,825	39,262	+6,437	4,668	3,919	-749	
				Adult	X	X	X	X	X	31,235	28,918	-2,317	162	196	+34	
14.00		Business and Office	22,131													

TABLE 1. (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS BY PROGRAM FOR FY1981

Program Area	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Projected Job Openings	Level	Type			Enrollment			Completers		
					Proj.		Act.	Projected		Actual	Difference	Projected	
					C C	T C	T C	I C	I C				
16.00	Technical		2,788	Sec.			0				0		
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	16,215	17,180	+965	2,433	2,274	-159
				Adult	X	X	X	9,050	3,193	-5,857	10	10	0
17.00	Trades and Industries		48,043	Sec.			51,097	52,338	+1,259	9,015	15,488	+6,473	
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	2,555	4,936	+2,381	506	525	+19
				Adult	X	X	X	94,850	104,108	+9,258	5,447	6,896	+1,449
				Sec.			110,651	110,958	+307	22,486	39,371	+16,885	
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	65,718	77,004	+11,286	10,849	9,939	-910
				Adult	X	X	X	235,310	231,681	-3,629	8,627	10,160	+1,533
				Sec.			117,293	117,462	-169				
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	3,100	3,013	-87	-	-	
				Adult	X	X	X	800	624	-176	-	-	
				Sec.			52,944	49,376	-3,568				
				P-Sec.									
				Adult	X	X	X	33,500	50,130	+16,630	-	-	
				Sec.				280,888	277,796	-3,092	22,486	39,371	+16,885
				P-Sec.	X	X	X	68,818	80,017	+1,199	10,849	9,939	-910
				Adult	X	X	X	269,610	282,435	+12,825	8,627	10,160	+1,533
				Sec.									
				P-Sec.									
				Adult									
				Sec.									
				P-Sec.									
				Adult									

SUMMARY - SKILLS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

TABLE 1. Enrollments and Completions; A Comparison Between FY1980 and FY1981 - Summary Report

Comparison of Actual Enrollments and Actual Completers for each Program Area Between the years of 1980 and 1981.

<u>Comparison of Actual Enrollments</u>	<u>1980</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>Diff.</u>	<u>% Deviation</u>
Agriculture	23,052	21,961	-1,091	-5
Marketing & Distribution	12,319	12,322	+3	-
Health Occupations	6,775	7,005	+230	+3
Occupational Home Economics	5,175	5,182	+1	-
Business & Office	10,673	12,150	+1,477	+14
Trade & Industry	52,158	52,338	+180	-
Sub. Total Skills	110,152	110,958	+806	-
Sub. Spec. Programs	123,729	117,462	-6,267	-5
Consumer Home Economics	51,847	49,376	-2,471	-5
GRAND TOTAL	285,728	277,796	-7,932	-3
Industrial Arts	(16,338)	(15,992)	-346	-2

\* \* \* \* \*

<u>Comparison of Completions</u>	<u>1980</u>	<u>1981</u>	<u>Diff.</u>	<u>% Deviation</u>
Agriculture	4,941	4,699	-242	-5
Marketing & Distribution	6,331	6,386	+55	-
Health Occupations	2,400	2,595	+195	+8
Occupational Home Economics	3,037	2,696	-341	-11
Business & Office	6,653	7,234	+581	+9
Trade & Industry	15,717	15,488	-229	-1
Sub. Skills	39,079	39,371	+292	-
TOTAL ALL PROGRAMS	39,079	39,371	+292	-

TABLE: 1  
GOALS TO MEET EMPLOYMENT NEEDS  
FY 1981

Area Program Number	O. E. Program Number	Instructional Program	Level	Projected Funding			Expenditures		
				Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local
01.00	Agriculture		Sec.	122,619	7,466,608	3,124,025	32,478	6,499,829	2,593,000
			P-Sec.	21,965	1,773,151	188,162	21,492	1,594,456	24,536
			Adult	23,310	413,729	67,654	22,980	483,885	5,835
04.00	Distribution and Marketing		Sec.	909,554	3,876,805	1,622,052	1,535,608	2,648,594	1,710,311
			P-Sec.	25,345	2,451,008	260,094	24,799	1,814,368	56,470
			Adult	2,044,295	475,038			1,060,238	9,669
07.00	Health		Sec.	2,038,281	852,815			1,911,970	795,142
			P-Sec.	601,516	4,580,321	486,050	586,559	8,143,850	158,234
			Adult	372,966	6,885,049	1,001,715	367,684	6,048,735	89,168
09.02	Occupational Home Economics		Sec.	144,164	1,601,155	669,922	764,251	1,710,086	947,663
			P-Sec.	57,448	1,964,636	208,481	56,211	791,860	17,899
			Adult	17,826	1,946,931	285,954	17,574	1,524,572	8,305
14.00	Business and Office		Sec.	865,233	3,381,413	1,414,782	1,022,611	3,480,347	1,456,138
			P-Sec.	648,826	25,141,981	2,667,994	634,850	14,644,726	56,637
			Adult	8,227	2,417,829	570,355	8,111	1,247,116	3,001
16.00	Technical		Sec.	293,999	12,419,717	1,317,944	287,666	14,929,420	146,410
			Adult		682,258	165,254		568,925	1,045
			Sec.	2,957,269	15,746,623	6,588,318	3,769,527	14,337,174	6,979,430
17.00	Trades and Industries		P-Sec.	32,103	1,957,181	207,669	31,412	3,960,854	1,495,084
			Adult	948,871	22,147,070	1,730,422	935,434	26,474,918	7,684,905
			Sec.	5,268,839	34,110,885	14,271,914	7,124,475	30,588,000	14,481,684
	SUBTOTAL - SKILLS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS		P-Sec.	1,681,202	50,287,996	5,336,394	1,644,989	45,879,534	1,955,270
			Adult	1,371,200	36,537,161	4,296,392	1,351,783	37,408,389	7,801,928

SUMMARY - SKILLS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

TABLE I

GOALS TO MEET EMPLOYMENT NEEDS  
FY 1981

E- Program Number	0. E. Program Number	Instructional program	Level	Projected Funding			Expenditures		
				Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local
99.0100	Group Guidance		Sec.	1,711,632	479,269	938,957	1,711,632	1,267,873	1,238,740
			P-Sec.						
			Adult						
99.0300	Remedial		Sec.						
			P-Sec.	8,449	2,374,414	251,966		1,208,213	
			Adult		600,865	14,608		250,224	
99.0400	Industrial Arts		Sec.				1,104,214	490,049	2,256,156
			P-Sec.						937,635
			Adult						
99.0500	Vol. Firefighters		Sec.						
			P-Sec.						
			Adult						
99.0600	Other Not Elsewhere Classified		Sec.						
			P-Sec.						
			Adult						
			Sec.	1,711,632	1,583,483	1,429,006	1,711,632	3,524,029	2,176,375
			P-Sec.	8,449	2,374,414	251,966		1,208,213	
			Adult		600,865	14,608		250,224	
			Sec.						
			P-Sec.						
			Adult						
			Sec.						
			P-Sec.						
			Adult						
SPECIAL PROGRAMS									

Table: 1

GOALS TO MEET EMPLOYMENT NEEDS  
FY 1981

Program Number	O. E. Program Name	Instructional Program	Level	Projected Funding			Expenditures		
				Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local
		Sec.	5,268,839	34,110,885	14,271,914	7,124,475	30,588,000	14,481,684	
		P-Sec.	1,681,202	50,287,996	5,336,394	1,644,989	45,879,534	1,955,270	
		Adult	1,371,200	36,537,161	4,296,392	1,351,783	37,408,389	7,801,928	
		Sec.	1,711,632	1,583,483	1,429,006	1,711,632	3,524,029	2,176,375	
		P-Sec.	8,449	2,374,414	251,966		1,208,213		
		Adult		600,865	14,608		250,224		
		Sec.	1,263,569	6,995,496	3,112,200	1,263,563	8,929,196	3,975,823	
		P-Sec.							
		Adult		1,100,000	507,600		1,983,523	3,443	
		Sec.	8,244,040	42,629,864	18,813,120	10,099,570	43,051,225	20,633,882	
		P-Sec.	1,689,651	52,662,410	5,588,360	1,644,989	47,087,747	1,955,270	
		Adult	1,371,200	38,238,026	4,818,600	1,351,783	39,642,136	7,801,928	
GRAND TOTAL - ALL PROGRAMS									

TABLE 2: ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION

		DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
01.0100	AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION	01.0100	<p><u>SEC.</u></p> <p>Deviation between projected and actual is 83 percent for completers.</p> <p><u>SEC.</u></p> <p>Projections made were based on past year's completions. Two years prior to this time, many schools shifted from agribusiness courses to AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION which are just beginning to show up as completers. Some adjustments were made in projections; however, they were not sufficient to take care of the increase. Other factors that have influenced the increase of completers include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Technical knowledge in farming is expanding rapidly. Local planners and students realize the need for skill development training to keep abreast of these changes.</li> <li>-More "service" occupations related to Agriculture appear yearly. Enrollment and completers reflect planning to provide training for these occupations through the Agricultural Production program.</li> <li>-Changes in Vo-Ag departments from two teachers to one teacher affect completers. Usually when this change is made, Agricultural Production is the main program retained with a noticeable increase in enrollment.</li> </ul> <p>The AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION course appears to be meeting the needs of more students in areas where changes were made.</p>
		<u>ADUL I</u>	<p>Projections for adult completers were left out due to the reduction in emphasis on adult education in many LEAs. Also, many Vo-Ag teachers do not conduct these programs because of reduced employment and extra school duties. The fact that there were 144 completers indicated there is a need and desire for such training. Vocational agricultural teachers realize the need for adult training to update and improve skills in agricultural/agribusiness occupations.</p>

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
P-S.	Decreased Enrollment	For adult education through the secondary school system to be effective, more resources, both human and fiscal, need to be made available. Teachers should be reimbursed for extra efforts put forth to meet these educational needs.
P-S.	Decreased Enrollment	A declining opportunity for a career in farming has resulted in decreased enrollment.
01.0200	AGRICULTURAL SUPPLIES AND SERVICES <u>SEC.</u>	AGRICULTURAL SUPPLIES AND SERVICES, which provided many students for agricultural cooperative training, was discontinued as a course in 1977-78. Estimates were made based upon previous enrollment in the ACT program; therefore, they were in error due to the loss of the principle supplier.
01.0200	AGRICULTURAL SUPPLIES AND SERVICES <u>SEC.</u>	Deviations in this area are due partly to the misunderstanding of teachers on where or how to code students in agricultural cooperative training. More instruction is needed for a better understanding of coding.
P-S.	Decreased Enrollment	It was anticipated that enrollment in a program of Agricultural Chemicals would increase. This did not occur.
01.0300	AGRICULTURAL MECHANICS <u>ADULT</u>	Projections for adult completers were low due to the reduction in emphasis on adult education in many LEAs. Also, many Vo-Ag teachers do not conduct these programs because of reduced employment and extra school duties. The fact that there were 32 more completers than were projected indicates there is a need and desire for such training. Vocational Agricultural teachers realize the need for adult training to update and improve skills in agriculture/agribusiness occupations.

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA:	DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
		SECS.	ADULT
AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION			
01.0400	SEC. Deviations between "projected" and "actual" is 73 percent for enrollment and 50 percent for completions.	01.0400 <u>SEC.</u> Projections in both enrollment and completions were adjusted downward due to the fact that many teachers discontinued the program because of lack of lab facilities. The cost for facilities to train students in agricultural products occupations are much greater than labs for other programs. As a result, none have been constructed since the program was introduced.	01.0400 <u>ADULT</u> Projected Enrollment
01.0500	SEC. Deviations between "projected" and "actual" is 53 percent for completions.	01.0500 <u>SEC.</u> Because several schools shifted to AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION from ORNAMENTAL HORTICULTURE the previous year, projections were lowered. The number of students in the upper levels of ORNAMENTAL HORTICULTURE in schools which retained this program continued at a higher level than was anticipated.	01.0500 <u>ADULT</u>

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION

	DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
		Therefore, projections were very low for completions. Other factors which contributed to the deviation include the following: -A higher percentage of students enrolled in Level II Ornamental Horticulture continued into Level III than had been the trend in the past. -Senior students are sometimes placed in Level III courses without having been enrolled in Level I or II. -Senior students enrolled in Ornamental Horticulture as an "interest" or consumer program rather than skill development. This implies that there is a continuing interest in and need for more comprehensive training in this cluster.
31	P-S. Increased Enrollment	Public interest in shrubs and plants has increased a demand for individuals with knowledge of Horticulture.
	ADULT Increased Enrollment	The increased enrollment occurred because more individuals enrolled in supplemental courses.
	ADULT SEC. Increased Enrollment	Completions in most occupational clusters increased while those for Ornamental Horticulture decreased. Possible reasons for this deviation include the following: -A shift in the demand for training in technical agriculture in farming and service occupations away from Ornamental Horticulture. -Ornamental Horticulture training has been included in the community schools program in many areas which reduced the programs through the secondary vocational teacher.
	ADULT SEC. Deviations between "projected" and "actual" is 55 percent for completers.	The Vocational Agricultural teacher should be aware of other training programs, including the community schools program, and assist in coordinating the total adult education effort related to Agriculture.

TABLE 2: (conintued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
01.0900 OTHER	<u>SEC.</u> Deviations between "projected" and "actual" is 100 percent for completers.	01.0900 <u>SEC.</u>	No projections were made in this category because most enrollees are in the course "Fundamentals of Agriculture," which is not considered an exit-level program. In some schools seniors were enrolled in "Fundamentals of Agriculture" and were categorized as completers. This course is introductory and exploratory in nature and not skill development; therefore, no projections were made. This course is beneficial for any student and does contain some competency development suitable for job entry skills. When taken at the senior level, it should be considered a practical arts or consumer type program.
01.0100 AGRICULTURE EDUCATION	<u>SEC.</u> Deviations between "projected" and "actual" is minus 5 percent for enrollment and minus 5 percent completers between 1980-81.	01.0100 <u>SEC.</u>	<p>Projections were made based upon past years' enrollment and completions. The deviations in both areas were due primarily to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-A reduction in the number of Vocational Agriculture teachers. Six teachers were lost which would account for approximately 60% of the deviation.</li> <li>-A drop in senior enrollment for the past three years due to the scope and sequence requirements. It is more difficult for them to enroll in an exit-level course without the prerequisites. This has had a direct effect on the number of completers.</li> <li>-The addition of other vocational programs in some schools resulted in lower enrollment in Agricultural Education. Students change from Agriculture to programs which are better suited to their interests.</li> <li>-A reduction in fiscal resources at the local level dictated cuts in teachers and student enrollment.</li> <li>-Several teachers being assigned to teach one or more classes in another program area resulted in a lower enrollment in Agriculture.</li> </ul>

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: AGRICULTURAL EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
	<p>Agricultural Education prepares for occupations in farming and in agribusiness occupations. While the number of farmers is reducing, the employment opportunities and training needs in agribusiness occupations are increasing. These training needs cannot be met because of the decreasing emphasis on Agricultural Education. Some of the most rural counties in the state do not provide for training in this area.</p>

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: MARKETING AND DISTRIBUTIVE EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
04.0100	ADVERTISING	04.0100 <u>SEC.</u> Completer estimates were projected as are normally done for all vocational education courses. ADVERTISING courses are specialized and thus result in a much higher rate of completion.
		<u>P-S.</u> An increase in enrollment occurred in a program of Visual Merchandising.
		<u>ADULT</u> The demand for courses in advertising was larger than was anticipated.
34		04.0200 <u>SEC.</u> Students in co-op Marketing and Distributive Education courses are placed in areas of career interests and in areas where employment demands draw part-time personnel. The apparel and accessories market growth has drawn a larger number of enrollees and thus completers.
		<u>P-S.</u> A larger number enrolled in a program of Fashion Merchandising than was expected to enroll.
04.0400	FINANCE AND CREDIT	04.0400 <u>SEC.</u> Service areas of the economy are growing rapidly thus creating many part-time jobs. Completer rate reflects more employment demand drawing co-op students into this area.
		<u>P-S.</u> More individuals enrolled in a program of Banking and Finance than were anticipated.

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

## PROGRAM AREA: MARKETING AND DISTRIBUTIVE EDUCATION

		DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
04.0600	FOOD DISTRIBUTION	04.0600	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviation between "projected" and "actual" is 59 percent for completers.	<u>SEC.</u>	Population growth and longer store hours create the need for more personnel in the food distribution area. Completer rate reflects the student response to employment demand.
04.0700	FOOD SERVICES	04.0700	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviation between "projected" and "actual" is 78 percent for completers.	<u>SEC.</u>	The demand for personnel to staff increasing number of restaurants has caused students to respond to this employment area. Many co-op students are placed in this segment of the marketing economy.
35	P-S.		
	Increased Enrollment	<u>P-S.</u>	During the last two years, part-time student enrollment has increased approximately four times.
	ADULT Decreased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	The demand for supplementary courses in this area was not as large as had been anticipated.
04.1300	INSURANCE	04.1300	
<u>P-S.</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>P-S.</u>	The need for individuals trained in insurance has increased the demand for training in insurance.
04.1600	PETROLEUM	04.1600	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviation(s) between "projected" and "actual" is 100 percent for enrollment and 100 percent for completers.	<u>SEC.</u>	With the change of self-service and large company-owned petroleum distribution stations, the opportunities for part-time customer service employees has been diminished with greater need for full-time management persons.
04.1700	REAL ESTATE	04.1700	
<u>P-S.</u>	Decreased Enrollment	<u>P-S.</u>	The decreased enrollment occurred because of the economic conditions and reduced need for those trained in real estate.

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: MARKETING AND DISTRIBUTIVE EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
04.1900	TRANSPORTATION	04.1900	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviation between "projected" and "actual" is 116 percent for completers.	<u>SEC.</u>	Increased demand for transportation of products and passengers is creating greater demand for students in transportation and travel marketing. As teachers respond to this employment demand, more completions occur to serve this employment demand area of the economy.
04.2000	RETAIL TRADE, OTHER	04.2000	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviation between "projected" and "actual" is 81 percent for enrollment.	<u>SEC.</u>	As marketing and distributive education teacher-coordinators utilize IDECC instructional materials and as competency-based competitive events have become a reality, more students are a clearly identifiable career area. Formerly many students were categorized here for lack of a clearly defined career goal.
04.3100	WHOLESALE TRADE, OTHER	04.3100	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviation(s) between "projected" and "actual" is 190 percent for enrollment and 1395 percent for completers.	<u>SEC.</u>	North Carolina's economy has grown so rapidly in the areas of marketing, merchandising and management that increased wholesale operations have been formed to serve the growing number of retail outlets. The growth reflects the student response to employment needs and especially the placement opportunities for student in co-op marketing and distributive education courses.

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: HEALTH OCCUPATIONS EDUCATION

		DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
07.0203	MEDICAL LABORATORY TECHNICIAN	P-S. <u>ADULT</u> Increased Enrollment	07.0203 The emphasis of this program is changing from a one-year program to a two-year program. Therefore, the adult enrollment is decreasing and the PS enrollment is increasing.  NOTE: Health Occupations Education at the secondary level in North Carolina applies the cluster curriculum approach and is therefore not compatible for reporting using the Vocational Education Data System. It should be noted that this approach affects the total reliability of projections and deviations. An adaption has been made for Health Occupations Education reporting purposes as follows: 7930 Introduction to Health Occupations Education and 7931 Health Occupations Education I are reported as 07.9900; 7932 Health Occupations Education II is reported as 07.0904. These are not totally representative of the program's competencies, but an effort to simplify the situation.
07.0303	NURSING ASSISTANT	SEC. Enrollments are 59 percent less than those projected.	07.0303 NURSING ASSISTANT <u>SEC.</u> Refer to the introductory note. It might be assumed that this deviation is a reflection of too high a projection.
07.0904	MEDICAL ASSISTANT	SEC. Completions are 211 percent more than those projected.	07.0904 MEDICAL ASSISTANT <u>SEC.</u> Refer to the above note. It might be assumed that projections were made prior to the decision of federally coding Health Occupations Education II as 07.0904.

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: HEALTH OCCUPATIONS EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
P-S.	Increased Enrollment	P-S.	
ADULT	Increased Enrollment	ADULT	An increased demand for training as medical office assistants occurred in both the one-year and two-year programs.
07.0907	MEDICAL EMERGENCY TECHNOLOGY	07.0907	
P-S.	Increased Enrollment	P-S.	An additional program was authorized and accounted for part of the increase.
ADULT		ADULT	Additional numbers of individuals were trained for work with volunteer rescue squads.
07.9900	OTHER	07.9900	OTHER
SEC.	Completions are 100 percent more than projected.	SEC.	Refer to the above note. It might be assumed that this deviation is a reflection of a too conservative projection or that reporting failed to adhere to the identified federal codes for secondary Health Occupations Education or that projections were made prior to decision to code Health Occupations Education courses 7930 and 7931 as 07.9900.
07.00	HEALTH - SUBTOTAL	07.00	HEALTH - SUBTOTAL
SEC.	Actual completers show 223 percent more than projected.	SEC.	Refer to above note. It might be assumed that too conservative a projection was made. The past trend in secondary Health Occupations Education has been of the total enrollment statewide, 33% - 42% have been completers. Also, various cooperative education course offerings; i.e., Industrial Cooperative Training, may be reflected in this total count as well.

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKING EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
09.0201	CARE & GUIDANCE OF CHILDREN  <u>SEC.</u> Deviation between "projected" and "actual" is +61 percent for completers.	<p>09.0201</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increased interest in area by students.</li> <li>Increased support provided from K-12 schools for learning environment.</li> <li>Less expensive to implement than other occupational home economics courses.</li> <li>Expansion of job opportunities in areas created by demand (more working mothers).</li> </ul>
09.0203	<u>ADULT</u> Decreased Enrollment  FOOD MANAGEMENT, PRODUCTION AND SERVICES  <u>SEC.</u> Deviation between "projected" and "actual" is +75 percent for completers.	<p><u>ADULT</u></p> <p>The demand for supplementary courses in the care and guidance of children was not as large as was anticipated.</p> <p>09.0203</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Program growth due to staff development efforts and local administrative support. Results of good programs existing in LEAs.</li> <li>Realization of employment needs and opportunities.</li> <li>Great area for student participation to gain total school visibility.</li> </ul>
09.0204	<u>ADULT</u> Decreased Enrollment  HOME FURNISHINGS, EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES  <u>P-S.</u> Increased Enrollment	<p><u>ADULT</u></p> <p>The demand in supplementary courses in food production and services was not as large as was anticipated.</p> <p>09.0204</p> <p>P-S.</p> <p>The demand for training in a program of Interior Design was larger than anticipated.</p>
	<u>ADULT</u> Decrease Enrollment	<p><u>ADULT</u></p> <p>The demand for supplementary courses in this area was not as large as was anticipated.</p>

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKING EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
SUBTOTAL OCCUPATIONAL HOME ECONOMICS		
SEC.	Deviation between "projected" and "actual" is +57 percent for completers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Greater emphasis on entry-level skills.</li><li>•Greater emphasis placed on LEAs to implement occupational home economics.</li></ul>

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: BUSINESS AND OFFICE EDUCATION

		DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
14.0201 14.0299	COMPUTER AND CONSOLE OPERATORS OTHER BUSINESS DATA PROCESSING	14.0201 14.0299	<u>SEC.</u> The data processing program prepares students for a cluster of data processing jobs rather than one specific job title. Students are prepared for 14.0201 and 14.0299 in the same program. When enrollment was projected, 14.0299 code was used. The same code should have been used in both instances, but 14.0201 was used for completers.
ADULT	Increased Enrollment		<u>ADULT</u> Supplementary courses in computers have increased more than had been anticipated.
14.0300	FILING, OFFICE MACHINES, CLERICAL	14.0300	<u>SEC.</u> Office Occupations II prepares students for a cluster of jobs such as 14.0300, 14.0400, 14.0500, 14.0600, and 14.0900. In most cases students who complete office occupations are trained for all these clusters, not just one. Consequently, it is extremely difficult to determine the specific job titles for which to project enrollment. It is an arbitrary decision, when completers are determined, to identify to which cluster to show their skill development. Business education courses are designed to prepare students for job clusters, whereas, the codes are set up for specific job areas.
ADULT	Decreased Enrollment	14.0400	<u>ADULT</u> The demand for courses in clerical skills decreased.
ADULT	Increased Enrollment	14.0400	<u>ADULT</u> The demand for training as hospital ward secretary increased approximately three times.

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: BUSINESS AND OFFICE EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
	OVERVIEW	
	<u>SEC.</u>	For many years in North Carolina, only two courses in Business and Office Education were fundable from vocational resources; thus, projections were based solely on anticipated student enrollments and completers in classes which were vocationally funded. There are far more teachers paid from state and local resources than from vocational resources.
		Major emphasis has been placed and some progress made toward having all business and office personnel report and follow up students, regardless of their source of funding. Deviations in actual enrollment and in actual completions reflect progress in data collection rather than "real" changes in the Business and Office Education statewide program.
		Where there are reasons other than those stated above, specific references will be made under <u>Summary and Implications</u> .
04.0100 ACCOUNTING AND COMPUTING	<u>SEC.</u>	See overview explanation.
	<u>SEC.</u>	Deviation between "projected" and "actual" reported is 60 percent for enrollment and 222 percent completers.
	<u>P-S.</u>	Increased Enrollment
04.0203 PROGRAMMERS	<u>P-S.</u>	The demand for training in accounting was larger than was anticipated. The increased enrollment occurred because of an increase in the number of part-time students.
	<u>P-S.</u>	Increased Enrollment
04.0203 PROGRAMMERS	<u>P-S.</u>	The increased use of computers has created a demand for more individuals trained in the use of computers.

TABLE 2: (continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: BUSINESS AND OFFICE EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
14.0500	MATERIALS SUPPORT, TRANSPORTATION <u>SEC.</u> The enrollment was overestimated by 100 percent.	14.0500 <u>SEC.</u>	See explanation for FILING, OFFICE MACHINES CLERICAL
14.0600	<u>ADULT</u> Decreased Enrollment	14.0600 <u>ADULT</u>	The demand for a course in personal improvement was less than was anticipated.
14.0700	STENO, SECRETARIAL, & RELATED <u>SEC.</u> The enrollment was overestimated by 55 percent.	14.0700 <u>SEC.</u>	See overview explanation.
14.0800	SUPERVISORY & ADMINISTRATION MANAGEMENT <u>SEC.</u> The number of completers was underestimated by 138 percent.	14.0800 <u>SEC.</u>	See overview explanation.
14.0900	TYPING AND RELATED <u>SEC.</u> The number of completers was underestimated by 78 percent.	14.0900 <u>SEC.</u>	See explanation for FILING, OFFICE MACHINES CLERICAL.

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: TECHNICAL

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
16.0103	Increased Enrollment <u>P-S.</u>	16.0103 <u>P-S.</u>	The increased enrollment occurred because of an increase in part-time student enrollment.
16.0106	CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY <u>ADULT</u>	16.0106 <u>ADULT</u>	The demand for supplementary courses in chemistry declined.
16.0108	ELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY <u>P-S.</u>	16.0108 <u>P-S.</u>	With the increased emphasis on micro-electronics there has been an increase in both full and part-time enrollment.
16.0113	MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY <u>ADULT</u>	16.0113 <u>ADULT</u>	Projected enrollment should have been 45 instead of 4500. This was a typographical error.
16.0605	Decreased Enrollment <u>P-S.</u>	16.0605 <u>P-S.</u>	There is an indication that enrollment is beginning to decline as police officers complete their training.

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
17.0302	AUTO MECHANICS <u>SEC.</u> Projected completers increased by 183 percent.	17.0302 <u>SEC.</u> The estimated number of completers was conservative. This was due to changes in the scope and sequence of the program, state competency testing, and monetary incentive by early release for seniors.
17.0399	AUTO SPECIALIZATION <u>SEC.</u> Deviations between projected enrollment and actual enrollment is 80 percent; between projected and actual completers is 78 percent.	17.0399 <u>SEC.</u> The LEAs were given the option to offer specialty courses and elected to maintain the level III in Auto Mechanics.
45 17.0600	BUSINESS MACHINE MAINTENANCE <u>SEC.</u> The projected enrollment increased by 100 percent while the actual completers increased 100 percent.	17.0600 <u>SEC.</u> The wrong number has been used in reporting data. Figures for 17.2100 Instrument Maintenance Repair should be used for the two programs at the present time.
17.0700	COMMERCIAL ART <u>P-S.</u> Increased Enrollment	17.0700 <u>P-S.</u> This increased enrollment occurred because of an increase in the number of part-time students.
17.0900	COMMERCIAL PHOTOGRAPHY <u>SEC.</u> The deviation between projected enrollments and actual enrollments is 156 percent; between projected and actual completers is 389 percent.	17.0900 <u>SEC.</u> Since there are only four photography programs in the state, actual enrollment and completer figures are probably due to errors in reporting students that are taking non-vocational programs by teachers who teach predominately Industrial Arts courses.

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
17.1002	ELECTRICITY	17.1002	
<u>SEC.</u>	Projected enrollment decreased by 50 percent.	<u>SEC.</u>	The differences are due to inaccurate reporting of the Program of Studies introductory course. Many still report under the old numbers. In the future, this should be alleviated by the proper identification of the program.
17.1004	MASONRY	17.1004	
<u>ADULT</u>	Decreased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	Economic conditions have reduced the demand for masons. Therefore, a smaller number of individuals have enrolled in this area.
17.1007	PLUMBING AND PIPEFITTING	17.1007	
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	The increased enrollment occurred in supplementary courses in plumbing.
17.1099	OTHER CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE	17.1099	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviations between projected enrollments and actual enrollments is 170 percent; between projected and actual completer is 208 percent.	<u>SEC.</u>	Since the conception of the enrollment was developed, the number of maintenance programs has expanded to 20 programs. Many of the ICT students are 12th graders which should give an additional increase in the number of estimated completers.
46			
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	A number to reflect the enrollment of apprentices in the building trades was not included. Therefore, the projection was low.

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION

		DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
17.1300	DRAFTING	17.1300 <u>SEC.</u> The deviation between projected completers and actual completers is 173 percent.	The number of completers who enrolled in the level III course has increased in enrollment because of the implementation of the scope and sequence of the program for the students. The conservative figures for the number of completers did not address adequately the students' interest and needs in drafting programs.
	P-S.	Increased Enrollment	The larger portion of the increased enrollment occurred because of a larger enrollment of part-time students.
17.1400	ELECTRICAL OCCUPATIONS	17.1400 <u>SEC.</u> Deviations between projected enrollments and actual enrollments is 86 percent; between projected and actual completers is 179 percent.	Conservative figures did not reflect the growth of 12th graders who enrolled in the ICT program. Better job placement and recording related job training is now being used.
17.1500	ELECTRONICS OCCUPATIONS	17.1500 <u>SEC.</u> The deviation between projected and actual enrollment is 609 percent; between projected and actual completers is 1134 percent.	The growth of electronic related occupations has become one of the fastest industrial operations in North Carolina. Major shifts in plants locating with job opportunities have created high interest in both ICT and regular electronic graduates.
17.1700	FOREMANSHIP, MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT	17.1700 <u>ADULT</u>	There was less demand for foremanship courses than was anticipated.

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
17.1900	GRAPHIC ARTS OCCUPATIONS	17.1900	
<u>SEC.</u>	Projected completers increased by 74 percent.	<u>SEC.</u>	Conservative figures for completers were based on the pretest that state competency testing, implementation of scope and sequence, competency based curriculum, and early release for seniors would lower the enrollment of level III students, thereby, decreasing the actual number of completers.
<u>P-S.</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>P-S.</u>	The major portion of the increase occurred by an increase in the number of part-time students enrolled.
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	There was an increased demand for supplementary courses in painting than what had been anticipated.
43	17.2302 MACHINE SHOP	17.2302	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviations between projected enrollments and actual enrollments is 84 percent; between projected and actual completers is 222 percent.	<u>SEC.</u>	The estimate for completers did not reflect the growth for this industry in our state. The large number of ICI Shop completers was not reflected in the total account of Machine Shop completers.
17.2305	SHEET METAL	17.2305	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviations between projected enrollments and actual enrollments is 100 percent; between projected and actual completers is 263 percent.	<u>SEC.</u>	Since we have only two sheet metal programs in the state, the probable errors in reporting are reflected in the Industrial Arts or Machine Shop program students.
17.2306	WELDING AND CUTTING	17.2306	
<u>P-S.</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>P-S.</u>	No projection had been made for a new program in Welding Technology.
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	An increased demand for welders produced an increase enrollment.

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
17.2399	OTHER METALWORKING OCCUPATIONS	17.2399	
<u>SEC.</u>	The deviation between projected and actual enrollments is 87 percent.	<u>SEC.</u>	The probable error of projected enrollment was due to selecting the wrong code on the survey instrument.
<u>ADULT</u>	Decreased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	The demand for supplementary courses was not as large as had been anticipated.
17.2699	OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES	17.2699	
<u>SEC.</u>	The deviation between projected completers and actual completers is 54 percent.	<u>SEC.</u>	Allowances should have been made to include more ICT students as completers. In addition, many cosmetology graduates enter the barbering fields of related work.
<u>ADULT</u>	Decreased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	The demand for supplementary courses was not as large as had been anticipated.
17.2700	PLASTICS OCCUPATIONS	17.2700	
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	The demand for supplementary courses was larger than what had been anticipated.
17.2802	LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING	17.2802	
<u>SEC.</u>	Deviations between projected enrollments and actual enrollments is 78 percent; between projected completers and actual completers is 229 percent.	<u>SEC.</u>	The state has only one law enforcement program. Many students are entering the community college system who are actually enrolled in the ICT program.

TABLE 2: (Continued) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR FY1981 -- DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY, AND IMPLICATIONS

PROGRAM AREA: TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
17.2899	OTHER PUBLIC SERVICE <u>SEC.</u> The deviation between projected completers and actual completers is 83 percent.	17.2899 <u>SEC.</u>	The error was probably due to our anticipation that the Program of Studies states competency with the level II and III. Such programs would release seniors early, thus having a negative effect in Trade and Industrial Education. Allowance should have been made to have included more ICT students as competitors.
17.3000	SMALL ENGINE REPAIR <u>SEC.</u> Deviations between projected enrollments and actual enrollments is 55 percent; between projected completers and actual completers is 62 percent.	17.3000 <u>SEC.</u>	The state has only four (4) programs in operation. These programs of services did not grow as anticipated. Many of the students enrolled in Auto Mechanics and ICT courses. This left a negative deviation of the entire program.
17.3100	SMALL ENGINE REPAIR <u>ADULT</u> Increased Enrollment	17.3100 <u>ADULT</u>	The demand for supplementary courses was larger than what had been anticipated.
17.3600	WOODWORKING <u>ADULT</u> Increased Enrollment	17.3600 <u>ADULT</u>	The demand for supplementary courses was larger than what had been anticipated.

TABLE 3: ENROLLMENTS IN CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKING PROGRAMS FOR FY1981

OE Code	Instructional Program Level and Type of Institution	Level	Projected			Enrollment		
			C Sec.	C Adult	T Sec.	C Adult	T Sec.	Difference
09.0101	Comprehensive Homemaking					30,050	27,447	-2,603
09.0102	Child Development	Sec.		2,756		2,679		-77
09.0103	Clothing and Textiles	Adult	X	1,500		2,033		+533
09.0104	Consumer Education and Management	Sec.		2,253		2,084		-169
09.0106	Family Relationships	Adult	X	26,300		31,738		+5,438
09.0107	Foods and Nutrition	Sec.		1,491		1,255		-236
09.0108	Home Management	Sec.		7,251		6,575		-676
09.0109	Housing and Home Furnishings	Adult	X	3,100		2,791		28
09.0199	Other, Personal Management for Wages and Careers	Sec.		301		332		+31
		Adult						
		Sec.		1,319		1,200		-119
		Adult		2,600		7,059		+4,459
		Sec.		4,703		5,013		+310
		Sec.		52,944		49,376		-3,568
		Sec.						
	TOTAL							
		Tot.Sec.		52,944		49,376		-3,568
		Adult	X	33,500		50,130		+16,630

TABLE 4: ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKING PROGRAMS IN FY1981 - DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS  
 PROGRAM AREA: CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKING

CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKING		DEVIATIONS	SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
<p>Subtotal: There were no significant deviations in Consumer Homemaking for FY81 between projected and actual courses.</p> <p><u>SEC.</u> Deviation of enrollments between 1980 and 1981 was 7% (loss of 3,568).</p>	<p><u>SEC.</u> Consumer and Homemaking - Subtotal</p> <p>Possible causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- some may be reported as prevocational but actually exploratory Home Economics</li> <li>- increased emphasis upon Occupational Home Economics</li> <li>- cutback in number of teachers</li> <li>- competition with other occupational/vocational options</li> <li>- reduction in class size to better reflect suggested teacher/pupil ratios</li> <li>- number shows unduplicated number; actual numbers may be more since some students are enrolled in more than one Consumer Home Economics course</li> <li>- second semester enrollments are not shown/reported</li> <li>- increase in elective offerings</li> <li>- increase in number of units required for graduation</li> <li>- increase in number Occupational Home Economics courses offered</li> <li>- emphasis placed on remediation</li> <li>- reporting of courses not shown on PPAR</li> <li>- tightening of job market effect on Co-op Home Economics</li> <li>- added requirements for graduation in many schools. More requirements at the 9th and 10th grades. Less opportunities for voc. electives.</li> <li>- junior and seniors are able to leave school at lunch time</li> <li>- parents wanted students enrolled in college-bound courses</li> <li>- students in exploratory and/or exploration were turned off by lack of exciting experiences at that level</li> </ul>		

TABLE 4: (cont'd) ENROLLMENTS AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKING PROGRAMS IN FY1981 - DEVIATIONS, SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS  
 PROGRAM AREA: CONSUMER AND HOMEMAKING

DEVIATIONS		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS	
09.0102 CHILD DEVELOPMENT		09.0102	
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	There was an increased demand for courses over what had been anticipated.
09.0103 CLOTHING AND TEXTILES		09.0103	
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	There was an increased demand for courses over what had been anticipated.
09.0107 FOODS AND NUTRITION		09.0107	
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	There was an increased demand for courses over what had been anticipated.
09.0109 HOUSING AND HOME FURNISHINGS		09.0109	
<u>ADULT</u>	Increased Enrollment	<u>ADULT</u>	There was an increased demand for courses over what had been anticipated.

## Chapter Three

### FUNDING BY PURPOSE

First this chapter shows how North Carolina has complied at the state level with requirements of the Vocational Education Act concerning particular purposes that are enumerated in the Act (Tables 5-10). Second, the chapter shows that the state has ensured that federal funds have not displaced state and local funds with respect to particular purposes of the Act (Tables 11-13). Third, the chapter shows that the state has ensured fair and equitable distribution of funds to local educational agencies and postsecondary institutions by purpose of the Vocational Education Act (Tables 14-18).

Funding in North Carolina for the respective purposes itemized in the Vocational Education Act is shown in Table 5 for the secondary level and in Tables 7, and 9 for the postsecondary and adult level. Following Table 7 are comments in Table 8 regarding projected benefits and actual accomplishments at the secondary level by purposes of the Vocational Education Act. Table 7 separates data for technical education from data for vocational and adult education. Table 9 summarizes this separate data for the postsecondary level. Table 10 summarizes data for both the secondary and postsecondary levels.

Tables 11, 12, and 13 present data relevant to state maintenance of effort as required under federal regulations. Table 13 shows minimum percentages of the basic grant which have been expended for the respective purposes of the Vocational Education Act.

Table 14 shows how the state's estimated allotments at the secondary level compared with actual allotments based on months of employment for local educational agencies. Table 15 shows how the state's estimated set-aside allotments at the secondary level compared with actual set-aside allotments for local educational agencies.

Tables 16 and 17 show the state's estimated and actual allotments to postsecondary institutions.

Table 18 shows State Board of Education actual allotments for new programs and equipment based on latest available data.

Tables 19 and 20 relate to the State Board of Education's Evaluation at the secondary level. (In the postsecondary evaluation report, Tables 6-9 are included from a different report).

Tables 21 and 22 relate to the composition and utilization of Local Advisory Councils at the secondary level.

Table 5.

FUNDING BY PURPOSE WITH FEDERAL, STATE,  
AND LOCAL FUNDS - SECONDARY LEVEL

PROGRAM SERVICE	PROJECTED			ACTUAL			ACTUAL FEDERAL GRANT	UNEXPENDED BALANCE FEDERAL	STATE
	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL			
DISADVANTAGED	2,669,521	1,067,808	1,601,713	1,980,099	789,913	1,184,871	2,668,747	688,648	688,648
Limited English Speaking	801	320	481	1,521	628	943	1,575	4	4
HANUAPPED	1,335,161	801,097	534,064	1,189,759	476,482	714,724	1,335,161	145,402	145,402
SUBPART 2 (Section 120) SUBTOTAL	6,890,793	40,001,004	16,453,267	6,455,439	34,659,030	15,351,919	6,890,793	435,354	43,263
A. Vocational Education	*6,292,230	34,860,885	15,271,914	6,108,158	28,090,949	13,558,468	6,397,334	279,176	
B. Work Study	400,000		171,429	199,052		81,935	300,000	100,948	43,263
C. Cooperative Education		[5,954,580]	[2,551,963]		[5,860,222]	[2,311,473]			
D. Energy Education									
E. Construction									
F. Sex Bias Office Personnel	40,000			16,980			39,988	23,008	
I. Industrial Arts		1,104,214	490,409		2,256,156				
J. Support Services for Women									
K. Day Care Services									
L. Programs/Homemakers, Head-House.									
102 a Administration State	158,563	2,657,192		131,249	2,375,714		163,471	32,222	
Local		1,378,713	519,515		1,936,211	773,881			
SUBPART 3 (Section 130) SUBTOTAL	2,455,332	520,164	938,957	1,994,616	1,330,177	1,238,740	2,455,332	460,716	
1. Research	126,764	40,895		89,463	62,304		125,764	36,301	
2. Exemplary	25,000						25,000	25,000	
3. Curriculum Development	110,000						108,700	108,700	
4. Guidance and Counseling	1,711,632	479,269	938,957	1,711,632	1,267,873	1,238,740	1,711,632		
5. Priservice and Inservice Training	446,181				163,231		447,377	284,146	
6. Grants (Overcome Sex Bias)									
102 a Administration State Local		35,755			30,290		36,859	6,569	
SUBPART 4 (Section 140) Special Program for Disadvantaged	388,730		11,084	315,942		7,818	388,730	72,788	3,831
SUBPART 5 (Section 150) Consumer/Homemaking Ancillary Services									
Program Instruction	117,879	688,060	372,854		1,018,400		454,698		
Depressed Areas	1,150,202	6,307,436	2,739,346	1,268,081	7,522,664	3,436,342	1,268,081		
SUBPART 1 (Section 102 d) TOTAL	97,182				20,182		97,182	77,000	
	15,105,601	49,385,889	22,651,766	13,225,689	45,797,294	22,390,055	15,105,601	1,879,912	881,148

[ ] Non Add

\*Includes New Programs

TABLE 5  
DEVIATIONS, COMMENTS, SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS (cont'd)

DEViations		SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
Secondary	Disadvantaged Handicapped	Disadvantaged Handicapped
		The decrease is due to the fact that local education agencies did not expend all of the resources allocated to them during this fiscal year. <u>Subparts 2, 3, and 5</u>
		The decrease in A. Vocational Education is due to the fact that resources for Industrial Arts, Local Administration, Guidance and Counseling, and Consumer and Homemaking were previously projected under this section when, in fact, they should have been shown as indicated in the ACTUAL column. Also, the projected figure included additional resources anticipated from the North Carolina General Assembly for expansion and for salary increases which did not materialize.
		B. Work Study - Local education agencies did not expend all the resources allocated to them for this purpose.
		<u>102(d)</u>
		The major costs for planning, data collection, etc., were taken care of through the 102(a) resources.

A. Vocational Education  
 B. Work Study  
 I. Industrial Arts  
 102(a) Administration - Local  
 Subpart 3

4. Guidance and Counseling

Subpart 5  
 Program Instruction  
 Depressed Areas

102(d)

56

Table 6: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<p><u>DISADVANTAGED (Subpart 2)</u></p> <p>Funds appropriated under the basic grant for vocational education for the disadvantaged shall be used to pay up to 50% of the "excess cost" to extend, improve and, where necessary, maintain existing classroom, shop, laboratory, or field instruction in public secondary vocational education programs.</p> <p>1. Provide learning experiences and special services for disadvantaged youth to enable them to further pursue vocational education or to achieve entry-level employment.</p> <p>2. Provide state level leadership and state/federal resources to local units to serve disadvantaged students.</p> <p>3. Diagnostic procedures will be developed by each LEA for appropriate screening and identification of disadvantaged students, mainstreaming where possible, and establishing special programs where necessary.</p>	<p>1. Approximately 9,350 disadvantaged students in grades 7-12 will be benefitted from special programs, services, and activities to enable them to succeed in vocational education.</p> <p>2. Improvement in programs, instruction, and services will result from state leadership, services, and allotted funds.</p> <p>3. Students will be identified and placed where they can benefit most from instruction and services. Special services and activities such as special learning aids, tutors, and additional time from regular teachers will be provided beyond the regular classroom instruction.</p>	<p>1. During FY81, 10,915 students identified as being academically or economically disadvantaged were enrolled in special or modified vocational programs. Actual allotments include federal carry over funds of \$366,887 plus \$366,888 of state and local funds. Also reflects releases and additions for LEA from original allotments.</p> <p>2. The Special Programs Unit within the Division of Vocational Education assisted local units in making better utilization of allotted funds and in providing state level leadership by coordinating services to LEAs from all program areas.</p> <p>3. Disadvantaged students were identified by a committee of professionally trained individuals in each school. After reviewing the students' academic records, past performance in regular vocational programs and attendance records, programs were designed and made available to ensure the students' success in various areas of job preparation. To the maximum extent possible, students were served</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY DISADVANTAGED (Subpart 2) continued	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
	<p>Special classes, with modified curriculum and teaching methods will be provided for the severely disadvantaged who cannot be mainstreamed.</p> <p>4. Provide in-service for teachers of disadvantaged and special materials for instruction.</p> <p>5. Render those necessary support services over and above those needed by regular students.</p> <p>6. Identify dropouts and potential dropouts among disadvantaged students and provide alternative opportunities to enable them to succeed in regular programs or obtain employment.</p> <p>7. provide programs for limited English speaking students.</p>	<p>within the regular classroom setting through size modifications as reduced class sizes, special tutoring, additional counseling and special vocational programs and special cooperative vocational programs were continued or new approaches to serving the identified students were utilized. Many students were also served within regular classroom setting through such modifications as reduced class sizes, after-school work, and special conference periods.</p> <p>4. Teachers and disadvantaged students will benefit from special in-service programs for teachers and special teaching aids to more adequately serve the students. In-service for teachers may be provided from several sources of funds.</p> <p>5. Support services may be in the form of special diagnostic and evaluative services, special tutors, teacher aids, counseling, and adapted teaching materials.</p> <p>6. Optional or extended day programs will be provided where needed to serve disadvantaged students.</p> <p>7. Special services such as tutoring and providing learning aids will assist these students to learn English as a second language which will enable them to be more successful in vocational programs.</p> <p>4. State and locally sponsored in-service programs were conducted to assist teachers and supportive personnel in their efforts to improve instruction and in-services for disadvantaged students.</p> <p>5. During FY81, 4,820 of the 10,915 students served received support services.</p> <p>6. Optional or extended day programs are offered in approximately 70 of the 144 LEAs.</p> <p>7. Additional assistance was provided for Limited English-speaking students identified by LEAs.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
HANDICAPPED (Subpart 2)	<p>Provide statewide leadership and federal/state/local resources to provide learning experiences in vocational education for handicapped students according to their special needs and abilities through 144 local education agencies.</p> <p>1. Handicapped students will be identified by criteria and provided with special vocational education programs and services.</p>	<p>1. Based on student assessments, Individual Education Programs (IEP) were developed and special programs designed to meet the needs of students.</p> <p>Summer workshops were held for teachers and vocational counselors to improve their ability to serve handicapped students.</p> <p>Vocational education programs continue to be supported through an extensive curriculum development effort which continues to develop competency-based programs for the various offerings and staff development for teachers to make them able to effectively utilize these materials.</p> <p>Through the planning process, programs in the local school systems have been modified to conform to projected labor market demands. Actual allotments include federal carry over funds of \$131,638 plus \$131,638 of state and local. Also reflects releases and additions for LEA from original allotments.</p> <p>2. During FY81, \$2,380,665 were expended for vocational education programs to serve 2,232 handicapped students. There were 1,668 fewer handicapped students served than projected. The decrease in the number of handicapped students served can be</p> <p>2. Approximately 3,900 students will receive special vocational education and services.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>HANDICAPPED</u> (Subpart 2) continued	<p>attributed to the successful efforts to serve more students in regular vocational programs. Data collection procedures did not provide information on special needs students enrolled in regular vocational programs.</p> <p>3. Students will be made employable to the extent of their abilities through special programs.</p>	<p>3. Special programs were designed for those students who could not be successful in "adjusted" regular vocational programs. In many cases, supportive services were provided to further enhance progress.</p>
<u>SUBPART 2 (Section 120)</u>	<p>Vocational Education</p> <p>To maintain, extend, and improve programs of vocational education and develop new programs of vocational education through 144 local education agencies in the state.</p>	<p>1. A total of 227,796 students in grades 7-12 participated in vocational education programs, services, and activities through cooperative use of federal, state, and local funds in each of the 144 LEAs in the state.</p> <p>2. Target groups receiving vocational education at secondary level will consist of pre-vocational, Vocational Skill Development, Disadvantaged, Handicapped, and Limited English-Speaking students.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Work Study</u>	Approximately 1,000 disadvantaged vocational students per year will receive financial assistance through work study, enabling them to remain in school.	During FY81 a total of 815 vocational educational students were provided financial assistance through the work study program.
<u>Cooperative Education</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Approximately 22,000 students per year will have opportunity to participate in cooperative education at skill development level through several program areas.</li> <li>2. Students will have opportunity to experience specialized occupations with renumeration from part-time employment.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The estimated enrollment for cooperative programs was approximately 22,000 students and the actual number served as 18,207. The difference in projected and actual can be attributed to economic conditions causing prospective employers to make a lower number of training stations available.</li> </ol> <p>The major benefits derived have been a total of \$64,678,845 wages earned by the 18,207 students. The contributions of these students through taxes more than offset the expenses of operating these programs. In addition, students are able to learn and earn much needed income and establish connections through business/industry which may continue in many instances producing a favorable follow up involving cooperative students.</p>
<u>Industrial Arts</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Industrial Arts instructional program will improve in quality through state leadership, in-service for teachers, curriculum development, and additional materials and equipment.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Projected benefits were achieved. In fact, 15,992 students were served instead of the 13,000 projected.</li> </ol>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Industrial Arts</u> continued	<p>2. Approximately 13,000 Industrial Arts students per year will benefit from programs designed to assist them in making meaningful occupational choices and/or prepare them for entry into advanced trade and industrial or technical education programs.</p> <p>There were two major statewide workshops that served 159 teachers.</p>	<p>2. Industrial Arts Education provided services to local education in the areas of: curriculum, professional development, program evaluation, and administration of the vocational student organization (AIASA).</p> <p>1. This was achieved. This person did all of the things shown in 2 below.</p>
<u>Sex Bias Office Personnel</u>	<p>1. Full-time person will provide leadership in vocational education opportunities to persons of both sexes.</p> <p>2. Progress will be made toward eliminating sex bias and sex stereotyping in vocational programs.</p> <p>Establish office to fulfill requirements of the Act as stated in the State Plan.</p>	<p>1. Disseminated 2,000 sets of sex equity curriculum modules to vocational teachers and local directors. The contents of these modules were then infused into existing curriculum so that students could discuss equity concepts in the classroom setting. The modules could reach 300,000 students.</p> <p>In-service sessions were held with principals, local directors, Title IX coordinators, and teachers. The results of these sessions were that these client groups understood more about sex equity and the requirements of Title IX.</p> <p>Reviewed 29 LEAs examining compliance with Title IX with regard to student treatment and employee hiring practices. Where non-compliances were found, LEAs were required to submit a voluntary compliance plan correcting the noncompliance.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments


PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Sex Bias Office Personnel</u> continued	<p>Reviewed seven LEAs examining their practices concerning the recruitment and retention of nontraditional students in vocational courses. Where changes were needed in these practices, LEAs were required to submit a voluntary compliance plan describing the changes.</p> <p>Ensured that students and criteria related to equity were included in the Program Evaluation for LEAs. This resulted in all staff raising questions concerning sex-fair recruitment and accessibility to courses when reviewing a targeted LEA.</p> <p>Analyzed statewide figures for male and female participation in each program area.</p> <p>Analyzed statewide teacher makeup in each program area to determine male/female proportions.</p>	<p>Detailed analysis of 1974-1980 enrollment figures for male/female. This information has been disseminated to SACE, N.C. State Board of Education, Council on the Status of Women, Commission on Education, and interested parties. (See chart on the following page.)</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Sex Bias Office Personnel</u> continued  Provide incentives for the promotion of equal access.	Enrollment in non-traditional courses of study would be encouraged.	<p>All vocational teachers were provided the opportunity to attend a four-day workshop with travel, subsistence (\$35 per day), and three certificate renewal credits given as incentives.</p> <p>Seventeen LEAs availed themselves of this opportunity.</p> <p>Plans for the promotion of equal access were submitted by each LEA represented at the workshop.</p> <p>In October 1981, the MERC/Q team visited North Carolina, examined activities and results documented, and found the state to be in compliance with the equal access regulations, specifically 400.187(a)(2)(i) (ii) and 400.222(f)(2).</p>

Table 6: (cont'd) Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PERCENTAGE OF FEMALES ENROLLED IN SECONDARY VOCATIONAL PROGRAMS



FOR MORE DETAILED ANALYSIS PLEASE SEE THE ANNUAL STATUS REPORT ON MALE AND FEMALE STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES IN VOCATIONAL EDUCATION (N. C. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION). THIS IS SUBMITTED EACH JUNE TO THE OVAE.

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>102(a) ADMINISTRATION</u>		
<p>1. State Administration provide state staff for administration and supervision of vocational education.</p> <p>2. Local Administration</p> <p>a. Provide resources and consultant help to 144 local education agencies for local administration, supervision, and evaluation of local programs.</p> <p>b. State and local funds support a local director/planner for each local education agency.</p>	<p>1. Maintenance of state vocational education staff and activities to ensure direction and quality of programs within state.</p> <p>2. Local planning, administration, and supervision will be coordinated at the local level to maintain, expand, and initiate new programs of vocational education which will be relevant to student and labor market needs.</p>	<p>1. These resources were utilized to supplement and expand vocational education state staff activities.</p> <p>2. State and local funds were used to provide local leadership and administration of vocational education programs in each of the 144 LEAs.</p>
<u>SUBPART 3 (Section 130)</u>		<p>1. Program improvement will result from improved practices in research, evaluation, and the provision of information.</p> <p>Research Continue the Program Improvement Unit (which includes the Research Coordination Unit) which will provide state leadership in research and development, evaluation, and information collection and dissemination. Included will be activities to:</p> <p>(a) Continue the development and implementation of Vocational Education Statewide Evaluation System.</p> <p>(a) Planning and operation of programs will improve as a result of the provisions of evaluative data.</p> <p>(a) Twenty-nine LEAs made improvements and listed accomplishments and needed progress in the local plans of each. All programs in thirty other LEAs were evaluated and received comprehensive program improvement reports. The evaluation and reporting process was reorganized for increased efficiency and effectiveness.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
(b) Evaluate and assist in refinement of an improved personnel development model.	(b) Teachers will have greater opportunity to meet their in-service needs through an improved personnel development delivery system.	(h) An improved personnel development delivery system was completed, with a handbook to be delivered statewide in FY1982. Included in the system was greater involvement of teachers in meeting their own in-service needs. Implementation will be ongoing.
(c) Develop a model for the provision of exploratory vocational education.	(c) Students will be provided a more comprehensive curricular offering through which they can explore vocational education and the world of work.	(c) A study was completed on the efficiency of exploratory vocational education; however, reductions in force deleted the state unit responsibility for this area. Reorganization has necessitated shifts, including implementation of results during FY1981.
(d) Collect, analyze, and disseminate information concerning vocational enrollments, teacher-staff, and follow-up of completers and leavers.	(d) State, federal, and local level administration will have a way of drawing informed conclusions in planning, evaluating, and managing vocational education.	(d) An individual data collection system for collecting enrollments was field tested on 20,000 students in eight units. This system was to go into effect the fall of FY1982. A field test of the follow-ups of completers/leavers will take place in FY1982, with full implementation during the fall of FY1983.
(e) Implementation of a vocational education statewide curriculum study to identify an expanded data base from which vocational offerings can be projected through June 30, 1990.	(e) With an improved data base, future vocational offerings can be determined within local school systems according to job needs determined not only by local market data base but consideration of trends in fields of medicine, transportation, production, construction, and business.	(e) Data were collected and compiled to provide a research base for the curriculum study. The task force will be named in January of 1983, with the project to last at least 18 months.

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
2. <u>Exemplary</u>	<p>New vocational programs may be implemented on an exemplary basis in vocational education areas which are not currently being offered. Attention will be focused on offerings which would be inter-disciplinary among vocational areas and involving general education offerings.</p> <p><u>Guidance and Counseling</u></p> <p>1. Provide state leadership through adequate staff for Vocational Guidance, Counseling, and Placement.</p>	<p>2. Program offerings would improve in that the base of competencies would be broadened thereby making graduates of programs more employable.</p> <p>1. A statewide system of Vocational Guidance, Counseling, and Placement will be maintained and expanded.</p>
		<p>2. No exemplary programs were funded.</p> <p>1. (a) The Vocational Development Staff in the Division of Vocational Education increased by one member to provide expanded state leadership in vocational guidance and counseling activities.</p> <p>(b) A task force comprising representatives from higher education, business, industry, labor and constituent groups met as a continuing method to receive input from the community and strengthen linkages with the secondary vocational program.</p> <p>(c) A job description for vocational counselors, job placement and follow-up personnel was developed.</p> <p>(d) An appraisal instrument for counselors was developed through a joint effort with the Division of Guidance.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Guidance and Counseling</u> continued	<p>2. Provide resources and consultant services to local education agencies.</p> <p>2. Vocational Guidance funds will be allocated to local education agencies to provide local staff to serve needs of students.</p>	<p>(e) The Supportive Services Element was revised to more adequately evaluate the vocational guidance and counseling program in the 144 LEAs, relative to the program review process.</p> <p>(f) The emphasis on vocational guidance counseling in the revised <u>Program of Studies</u> was expanded.</p> <p>2. (a) Counselors and administrators were in-service for improved guidance services for the total vocational education program.</p> <p>°A four-day Guidance Section at the Annual Vocational Summer Conference</p> <p>°An orientation session for the 144 local directors of vocational education.</p> <p>°In-service workshops in the eight educational regions for counselors, job placement and follow-up personnel.</p> <p>°A three-day Job Placement and Follow-up Conference for personnel responsible for the job placement of students.</p> <p>Distributed to the 103 participants was the <u>Career Assistance and Placement Services Handbook</u>.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Guidance and Counseling</u> continued	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o A five day Resource Counselors' Workshop was conducted to train a cadre of master counselors from each of the eight educational regions: (1) in the establishment of a vocational guidance/job placement program and (2) to identify their role in the success of the Occupational Development/Placement Centers.</li> <li>o A session with counselor educators in the validation of needed competencies for the pre-service program.</li> <li>o Sessions with the Division of Guidance for supervisors and directors of guidance services</li> </ul>	<p>2. (b)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Vocational Development Staff worked with the counselors and counselor educators in the Quality Assurance Program to assure the inclusion of the vocational component in the pre-service program for the training of future counselors.</li> </ul>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<p><b>Curriculum Development</b></p> <p>(a) Provide state leadership and coordination for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- research on curriculum content, development, and evaluation procedures</li> <li>- determination and validation of exit competencies expected of students in vocational education</li> <li>- the articulation of effort by secondary and postsecondary personnel in the development and implementation of valid and sequentially sound vocational curricula.</li> <li>- the search, acquisition, and dissemination of curriculum information and materials</li> <li>- the in-service of teachers on curriculum development, implementation, and instructional methodology</li> </ul> <p>(b) Provide for the acquisition and/or development of bias free curriculum materials including course guides, units of instruction, individualized materials for student use, modified materials for use by disadvantaged/handicapped students, and banks of student assessment measures per curriculum area.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Content and competencies in curriculum will more nearly relate to present and future job needs. A greater percentage of completers should find employment with success.</li> <li>- Teachers will have access to current information for planning and managing the teaching/learning process.</li> <li>- Students will have access to learning experiences and supplemental learning resources commensurate with their learning styles, needs, and level of development.</li> <li>- Local and state personnel will have access to formative and summative data on student achievement and program success for planning and reporting purposes.</li> <li>- Students exiting from the program will have access to a competency-based skill record of proficiency levels achieved through the instructional program.</li> <li>- Initial steps will have been taken to diminish duplicative curriculum efforts interstate and nationally.</li> </ul>	<p>(a) &amp; (b) priorities and long-range plans for curriculum development were established by each of the eight program areas.</p> <p>Eighty percent of curriculum funds were allocated for program area curriculum development according to a formula plus a base amount of \$5,000 per program.</p> <p>Twenty percent of the curriculum monies were used (1) for the printing and dissemination of 10,000 copies of Competency Goals and Performance Indicators, Vocational Education Supplement to approximately 3,000 local administrators and guidance personnel, and 5,000 vocational education teachers; (2) for the preparation of ten multi-media Curriculum Management In-Service Kits.</p> <p>Development/acquisition of selected components of the vocational education curriculum packages was continued by the eight program areas and the curriculum unit with twenty-six specific pieces of curriculum materials being adopted and purchased or developed for programs representing approximately 4,100 teachers and 140,000 junior and senior high prevocational and vocational education students.</p> <p>Some thirty-five workshops and workshop sessions were conducted with local teachers and teacher educator classes by the program area staffs and the</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Curriculum Development</u> continued		(a) & (b) continued
		<p>curriculum unit to disseminate curriculum materials and to provide in-service on how to plan for and conduct a competency-based, individualized approach to instruction. In addition, eight workshops were conducted for approximately 140 local vocational directors and vocational teacher educators on how to plan for and manage a comprehensive, competency-based, and individualized approach to instruction. The Curriculum Development In-Service Kits were used as the vehicle for instruction in these workshops.</p> <p>State staff members, teacher educators, local teachers, and/or selected postsecondary instructors cooperated in the development of materials for specific purposes and groups. Among these materials were competency listings, test-item banks, and/or curriculum guides for selected courses in each of the eight program areas in the division as follows: Prevocational - 1, Ag-2, BOE-4, MDE-1, HEC-3, IAE-1, T&amp;I-5.</p>
		<p>(c) In addition, (1) Guidelines for collecting student achievement data in terms of competencies attained by students were incorporated in the Vocational Program Assessment Instrument for State Accreditation as part of APA, (2) Four LEAs initiated a pre-post assessment system for collecting program level student achievement data, and (3) The effort was continued to identify commonalities along with business/industry, postsecondary institutions and nonvocational secondary. Information will be used in the revision of Vocational Education Program of Studies.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<p><u>Pre-Service and In-Service Training</u></p> <p>Continue the Personnel Development Unit, which will provide state leadership for in-service and coordination of pre-service programs in vocational education.</p> <p>(a) Participate in the development of the North Carolina Quality Assurance Program for all public school educators.</p> <p>(b) The framework for a Master Plan for Vocational Education Personnel Development will be completed.</p> <p>(c) Continue the development and implementation of the Vocational Education Personnel Development Training Process.</p> <p>(d) State directed in-service activities will give specific attention to program area needs with high priority going to such areas as disadvantaged/handicapped, sex equity, new and emerging occupational areas, and vocational administration.</p>	<p>Administration and quality of instruction will improve as a result of the direction and coordination.</p> <p>(a) The pre-service program for vocational personnel will be identified as a high priority need.</p> <p>(b) Both the pre-service and in-service programs for vocational education will have direction set.</p> <p>(c) Planning and operating of in-service activities will improve as a result of an organized training process.</p> <p>(d) Vocational education personnel will be given special training related to the respective program area and programs will show improvement in the areas of working with disadvantaged/handicapped, overcoming sex bias and sex stereotyping. Evidence will be seen in training personnel for new and emerging occupations and improved vocational administration.</p>	<p>(a) Over 25 vocational educators, including local, state staff, and teacher educators, participated in the development of the Quality Assurance Program in North Carolina. Approximately 25% of local vocational personnel were involved in verifying competencies. Implementation of the Quality Assurance Program is set for Fiscal Year 1983.</p> <p>(b) The framework for in-service has been completed and disseminated. The framework for pre-service has been set but is not complete.</p> <p>(c) The implementation of the training process has started. Approximately 20% of vocational educators in North Carolina were involved in determining in-service needs. Approximately 200 vocational educators will be helping set priorities and plan the in-service for vocational educators.</p> <p>(d) Priorities for in-service in vocational education were given to disadvantaged and handicapped and sex equity. Twelve specific statewide workshops were carried out for training in disadvantaged/handicapped and sex equity.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
(e) Develop, adapt, and implement a model for the providing of in-service training of vocational personnel on a regional basis.	(e) Planning and operating of in-service training of vocational personnel on a regional basis will improve with emphasis on needs assessment, planning, implementation, and evaluation.	(e) The regional model for in-service was field tested. Based on the evaluation, it was modified. The model reflects regional training of administrators and state coordinated training of local instructional and support personnel. This training is delivered at state, regional, and local levels.
102 (a) Administration	Provide for administration of Subpart 3 activities.	Improve the quality of programs and activities under this Subpart. Accomplished

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>SUBPART 4 (Section 140)</u> <u>Special Program for Disadvantaged</u> <p>Provide state leadership and resources to qualified local education agencies to serve needs of special disadvantaged.</p>	<p>The most severely disadvantaged in areas of greatest need will receive specialized instruction and services to enable them to succeed in vocational education and become employable.</p>	<p>During FY81 specialized programs and services were provided for 962 of the most severely disadvantaged students in areas of greatest need. Actual allotments included carry-over from FY80 of \$39,434 federal funds and also reflects releases and additions for LEAs from original allotments.</p> <p>a. Approximately 791 Consumer/Homemaking teachers were provided.</p>
<u>SUBPART 5 (Section 150)</u> Consumer Homemaking Ancillary Programs Educational Programs	<p>a. Provide state leadership in administration and supervision and commit resources to local education agencies for programs in Consumer and Homemaking Education.</p> <p>b. Provide resources up to 90% of cost of programs in depressed areas.</p> <p>c. Programs will be responsive to needs of both male and female students and will provide leadership in eliminating sex bias and stereotyping and will be responsive to changing patterns of men and women in home and world of work.</p>	<p>a. Provide for approximately 900 Consumer/Homemaking teachers in 144 local education agencies.</p> <p>b. During 1981, 49,376 students benefited from Consumer/Homemaking Education.</p> <p>c. Refer to material on sex equity in this table. Enrollment reports show evidence of non-traditional students.</p> <p>During 1981, 49,376 students benefited from Consumer/Homemaking Education.</p>

Table 6 continued: Funding by Purpose at the Secondary Level - Projected Benefits and Actual Accomplishments

PROJECTED ACTIVITY	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
SUBPART I (Section 102d)	<p>1. Planning, evaluation and follow-up</p> <p>1. (a) Improvement in planning process and plans.</p>	<p>1. (a) One of the major thrusts of the Division is effective planning. Some of the results of this effort are:</p> <p>A revised FY1981-FY1982 State Plan and the FY1980 Accountability Report were transmitted to the U.S. Department of Education on May 12, 1981. The Plan and Accountability Report received approval on July 1, 1981.</p> <p>°The State Plan and Accountability Report are being developed through assignments to several staff members under the supervision of the director of vocational education.</p> <p>°The Division developed program area plans, staff development plans and descriptive functions for each section and unit within the Division as well as other program improvement plans.</p> <p>°Increased emphasis has been placed on planning at the local level with state staff assistance as needed.</p> <p>°A Division plan was developed which meets all requirements of the State's Administrative Procedures Act.</p> <p>°Much of the total planning effort was coordinated with other agencies.</p> <p>°The planning process is changed as needs indicate.</p>

TABLE 7

PROJECTED AND ACTUAL FUNDING BY PURPOSE  
POSTSECONDARY AND ADULT - FY 1981

PROGRAM SERVICE	PROJECTED - POSTSECONDARY			ACTUAL - POSTSECONDARY			PROJECTED - ADULT			ACTUAL - ADULT		
	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL
DISADVANTAGED	1,329,708	1,329,708	-	816,599	822,579	3,388						
Limited English Speaking	5,252	5,252	-	5,252	5,252	-						
HANDICAPPED	667,480	667,480	-	288,562	290,071	972						
SUBPART 2 (Section 120) SUBTOTAL	2,073,681	76,355,724	11,761,720	1,925,443	76,117,579	4,012,186	1,371,200	41,412,160	4,332,750	1,351,783	42,879,447	7,801,928
A. Vocational Education	1,767,785	52,662,474	5,588,360	1,644,989	45,879,534	1,961,330	1,371,200	37,138,026	4,311,000	1,351,783	35,358,937	7,801,928
B. Work-Study	14,360		14,360									
C. Cooperative Education	-	[292,000]	-									
D. Energy Education	[2,100]		-									
E. Construction	225,000	3,600,000	4,000,000	225,000	267,296	-						
F. Sex Bias Office Personnel	41,665			8,783	-							
I. Industrial Arts												
J. Support Services for Women	[11,785]											
K. Day Care Services	[23,322]											
L. Programs/Homemakers, Head-House.	[14,651]			8,700	9,515	-						
102 a Administration	State	52,000	1,020,000	37,971			270,000					
Local		19,073,250	2,159,000	29,961,234	2,050,856		4,004,134	21,750				
SUBPART 3 (Section 130) SUBTOTAL	1,227,482	6,869,916	128,000	1,130,911	7,199,628	171,458		1,323,000				
1. Research		116,482	30,366	40,000	12,332							
2. Exemplary												
3. Curriculum Development		60,999	433,000	56,932								
4. Guidance and Counseling		1,004,331	5,118,750	128,000	1,000,000	7,187,296	171,458		1,323,000			
5. Preservice and Inservice Training		31,724				18,670						
6. Grants (Overcome Sex Bias)		946										
102 a Administration	State	13,000		15,309								
Local		1,287,000										
SUBPART 4 (Section 140) Special Program for Disadvantaged				17,490								
SUBPART 5 (Section 150) Consumer/Homemaking Ancillary Services												
Program Instruction												
Depressed Areas												
SUBPART 1 (Section 102 d)		48,584										
TOTAL	5,546,523	85,245,688	11,889,720	4,184,257	84,435,109	4,188,004	1,371,200	43,835,160	4,840,350	1,351,783	44,750,545	7,801,928

TABLE 7:

DEVIATIONS, COMMENTS SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS  
POSTSECONDARY AND ADULT

DEVIATIONS		COMMENTS, SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS
DISADVANTAGED		
P-SEC. <u>ADULT</u>	Actual expenditures lower than estimated	P-SEC. <u>ADULT</u> In addition to the \$1,653,070 expended from FY 1981 funds, the unexpended federal balance of \$458,378 from FY 1980 and an equal amount of State or local matching funds were also expended.
HANDICAPPED		
P-SEC. <u>ADULT</u>	Actual expenditures lower than estimated	P-SEC. <u>ADULT</u> In addition to the \$579,551 expended from FY 1981 funds, the unexpended federal balance of \$288,408 from FY 1980 and an equal amount of State or local matching funds were also expended.
SUBPART 2		
P-SEC. <u>ADULT</u>	Actual expenditures lower than estimated	P-SEC. <u>ADULT</u> The State Legislature did not appropriate the level of funding that had been estimated. Local level expenditures were less than had been estimated.
SUBPART 3		
P-SEC. <u>ADULT</u>	Actual expenditures larger than estimated	P-SEC. <u>ADULT</u> The funds appropriated were larger than those which had been estimated.
SUBPART 4		
P-SEC.	Actual expenditures lower than estimated	P-SEC. In addition to the \$17,490 expended from FY 1981 funds, the unexpended balance from FY 1980 of \$126,964 was also expended.

TABLE 8: FUNDING BY PURPOSE AT THE POSTSECONDARY LEVEL -- PROJECTED BENEFITS AND ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

PROJECTED ACTIVITIES	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>DISADVANTAGED AND LIMITED ENGLISH SPEAKING</u> Provide tutorial assistance, remedial education, skill development, consumer education, guidance, motivational training, job orientation and placement which will assist these target groups to succeed in vocational education.	Provide instruction and program services which will assist 29,000 postsecondary and adult disadvantaged and 1400 limited English speaking individuals to succeed in vocational education and to develop employment skills.	There were 47,052 postsecondary and adult students and 2,806 limited English speaking students which were provided programs, services on activities paid for from these funds.
<u>HANDICAPPED</u> Provide counseling, remedial education, tutorial assistance, reader services, interpreter services, work evaluation, skill training, consumer education, motivational training, job orientation and placement which will assist this group to succeed in vocational education.	Provide instruction and program services to assist 5,500 postsecondary and adult handicapped to succeed in vocational education and to develop employment skills.	There were 3,037 handicapped students which received programs, services, and activities paid for from these funds. An additional 2,652 handicapped students were enrolled but did not require additional assistance.
<u>SUBPART 2</u>		
<u>Vocational Education</u> Provide vocational/technical programs of less than baccalaureate level. Expand, where possible, new and emerging occupational programs.	Vocational and technical education will be provided for 68,755 full- and part-time students so that they can qualify for employment or upgrade job skills.	There were 77,004 part-time and full-time postsecondary students enrolled and 31,679 part-time and full-time long term adults (vocational) students enrolled.
<u>Work-Study</u> Provide work-study programs to assist selected individuals to continue their vocational programs.	Provide income to 125 students who work for a public or non-profit agency.	There were 97 students who were assisted with vocational work-study funding. These were an additional 789 postsecondary students who were assisted with college work-study funding.

TABLE 8: (Con'd) FUNDING BY PURPOSE AT THE POSTSECONDARY LEVEL -- PROJECTED BENEFITS AND ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

PROJECTED ACTIVITIES	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Cooperative Education</u> Provide work experience related to program of study through cooperating businesses and industries.	Provide work experience through cooperating businesses and industries for 925 students.	There were 2,057 postsecondary and long term adult students enrolled in cooperative education.
<u>Energy Education</u> Provide vocational programs to train individuals to install and maintain solar energy equipment.	Incorporate training skills for energy education with air conditioning, heating and refrigeration curriculum.	Four energy curriculum are in operation and three curriculums have been modified to introduce solar energy concepts to the students.
<u>Construction</u> Expand facilities at two institutions: Bladen Technical College and Carteret Technical College.	Added space will be available to provide additional program options.	There were 36 construction projects in the design or construction phase. Of this number 13 were completed during the fiscal year.
<u>Sex Equity Personnel</u> Full-time individual will assist in monitoring equal educational opportunity in vocational education and eliminating sex discrimination and sex stereotyping in vocational education programs.	Provide opportunity for prospective students to become informed of the wide variety of jobs and to thereby make a more informed selection of a vocational/technical program.	The activities for FY 1981 of sex equity personnel are listed in the FY 1983 State Plan.
<u>Support Services for Women</u> Provide counseling, job development and job follow-up services for women seeking employment in non-traditional areas.	Assist 100 women to seek training and employment in non-traditional areas.	There were 103 women who received services that would lead to gainful employment.
<u>Day Care Services</u> Provide day care services for children of vocational/technical students, while they are enrolled in vocational education programs.	Provide care for 150 young children so that a parent may participate in a vocational/technical education program.	There were 18 children of students who received day care services which allowed the parent to attend classes.

TABLE 8 : (Con'd) FUNDING BY PURPOSE AT THE POSTSECONDARY LEVEL - PROJECTED BENEFITS AND ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

PROJECTED ACTIVITIES	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<p><u>Program for Homemakers - Heads of Household</u> Provide vocational programs, orientation in how to seek employment and job placement services.</p> <p><u>Administration</u> Provide for the administration and supervision of vocational education.</p>	<p>Provide instruction and program services which will assist 300 homemakers and heads of households to develop employment skills and become employable.</p> <p>Improve the quality of programs, services, and activities.</p>	<p>There were 403 displaced homemakers on heads of households that were provided services directed toward developing employment skills.</p> <p>In addition to this effort, a state funded program of Human Resource Development served 4,633 individuals; 3,364 or 72.6% of this group were women and 1,811 or 39% were welfare recipients.</p> <p>An expenditure of \$41,135,158 was used to provide for the administration and supervision of post-secondary and long-term adult (vocational) education programs.</p>
<p><u>SUBPART 3</u></p> <p><u>Research</u></p>	<p>Continued search for new ideas and techniques will improve the quality of programs offered.</p> <p>There will be a continued effort to initiate, coordinate and support research efforts to improve occupational education in one or more of these eight priority areas: articulation, assessment and evaluation, curriculum development, dissemination, guidance, instructional methodology, planning, and staff development.</p>	<p>Four projects were granted funding continuation and two projects were completed.</p>

TABLE 8: (Con'd) FUNDING BY PURPOSE AT THE POSTSECONDARY LEVEL -- PROJECTED BENEFITS AND ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

PROJECTED ACTIVITIES	PROJECTED ACTIVITIES	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Curriculum Development</u> Support the continued development and revision of curriculum materials.	Curriculum content and job competencies learned will be related to present and future job needs.	Expenditures of \$469,961 were used to support the development and revision of curriculum and instructional materials.
<u>Guidance and Counseling</u> <u>Provide counseling and guidance services for all students.</u>	Assistance to students in selecting program and preparing for entrance into the labor market.	Expenditures of \$8,295,785 were made to provide guidance and counseling for post-secondary and long-term adult (vocational) students.
<u>Pre-Service and In-service Training</u> <u>Provide in-service training for instructors and administrators.</u>	Improve quality of instruction and administration.	Sixty-nine inservice training sessions were provided to 4,035 instructors and administrators.
<u>Grants (Overcome Sex Bias)</u> <u>Provide support for activities that are directed toward reducing sex discrimination and sex stereotyping.</u>	Elimination of references to sex bias or sex stereotyping in materials and provision of training to counselors, administrators and instructors in effectively overcoming sex bias and stereotyping.	Data was not collected to indicate the purposes for which expenditures were made.
<u>Administration</u> <u>Provide for administration and supervision of vocational education.</u>	Improve the quality of programs, services, and activities.	Information is included as a part of the data in Subpart 2.
<u>SUBPART 4</u>		
<u>Special Programs for the Disadvantaged</u> <u>Provide programs, services, and activities for the disadvantaged in areas of high youth employment or in areas with high dropout rates to assist them to succeed in vocational programs.</u>	Provide instruction and program services to 2,000 young adults to assist them in succeeding in vocational education and becoming employable.	There were 1,645 young adults who were provided programs, services and activities which were funded from these funds.

TABLE 8 : (Con'd) FUNDING BY PURPOSE AT THE POST-SECONDARY LEVEL -- PROJECTED BENEFITS AND ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

PROJECTED ACTIVITIES	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>SUBPART 1</u>  <u>102(d) Plans, Reports, and Evaluation</u> Funds will be used as needed to support the development of State Plans, Accountability Reports, data collection and evaluation of vocational education programs.	Activities will be directed toward the improvement of vocational education programs.	Funding has been used to do a follow-up of 66,000 graduates and leavers.

TABLE 8 : (Con'd) FUNDING BY PURPOSE AT THE ADULT LEVEL -- PROJECTED BENEFITS AND ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

PROJECTED ACTIVITIES	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>DISADVANTAGED AND LIMITED ENGLISH SPEAKING</u> Provide tutorial assistance, remedial education, skill development, consumer education, guidance, motivational training, job orientation and placement which will assist these target groups to succeed in vocational education.	Provide instruction and program services for 38,400 disadvantaged and 500 limited English speaking to assist them to succeed in vocational education.	Data for this category is included in the post-secondary analysis.
<u>HANDICAPPED</u> Provide counseling, remedial education, tutorial assistance, reader services, interpreter services work evaluation, skill training, consumer education, motivational training, job orientation and placement which will assist this group to succeed in vocational education.	Provide instruction and program services for 7,000 handicapped to assist them to succeed in vocational education.	Data for this category is included in the post-secondary analysis.
<u>SUBPART 2</u> <u>Vocational Education</u> Provide preparatory and supplemental vocational education programs for adults who can profit from such instruction.	Provide preparatory or upgrading training for 299,745 adults to develop or to increase employment competencies.	<p>There were 31,679 long term adults (vocational) included in the total of 282,435. Included also in the group total were the enrollments in the following specific categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Ambulance Attendant 16,044 (+3,144)</li> <li>b. Apprenticeship Related 2,214 (+241)</li> <li>c. Corrections*</li> <li>d. Human Resource Development 3,852 (-598)</li> <li>e. New and Expanding Industry 2,747 (-703)</li> <li>f. Remedial 624 (-176)</li> </ul>

TABLE 8: (Con'd) FUNDING BY PURPOSE AT THE ADULT LEVEL -- PROJECTED BENEFITS AND ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

PROJECTED ACTIVITIES	PROJECTED BENEFITS	ACTUAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS
<u>Administration</u> Provide for the administration and supervision of vocational education.	Improve the quality of programs, services, and activities.	*Our data does not provide the number of correctional inmates which have received training in adult extension. There were 90 inmates enrolled in post-secondary curriculum programs and 2,021 enrolled in long-term adult (vocational) programs.
<u>SUBPART 3</u>	<u>Assistance</u> Assistance to students in selecting program and preparing for entrance into the labor market.	An expenditure of \$2,470,509 was made to provide administration and supervision for the short-term adult programs.
<u>SUBPART 5</u>	<u>Consumer/Homemaking</u> Provide consumer and homemaking programs and activities.	There were 50,130 individuals which were provided courses in consumer and homemaking.

Table 8 (cont'd)

Results of Activities of Sex Equity Personnel - Post Secondary

1. Some 500 persons in the North Carolina education community received the statistical report, "Participation by Minorities and Women in the North Carolina Community College System, 1970-79." There were approximately 50 extra requests for this report. (Analysis shows that without exception percentages of women increased in nontraditional programs, often by ten to fifteen percentage points. The largest increase was in distribution and marketing, in which female participation increased by twenty-one percentage points from 13% to 34% between 1971 and 1978.)

Due to the usefulness of the report, the 1980-81 edition was begun during the same year.

2. Awareness campaign

- a) Institutional personnel and other relevant state agencies receive a newsletter three times annually containing information on new federal regulations, sex equity program development, sociological trends, and the changing, nontraditional work force. Institutions with viable sex equity programs have been publicized for their value to other institutions.

Due to requests the circulation of the newsletter increases by about 25 recipients each year. Also, because the newsletter discusses resource materials available, the sex equity office responds to specific requests for materials as a result of the newsletter medium.

- b) As a result of collaboration with the director of the project, "A Model to Increase Nontraditional Enrollment in Community Colleges," (see No. 3 below), sex equity in vocational education has been promoted on regional radio, television, and newspaper.

3. As a result of a contract between and subsequent collaboration with the Occupational Education Research office and North Carolina State University, the project, "A Model to Increase Sex Equity," has been researched, developed, and disseminated to all institutions. The dissemination included three regional "hands-on" workshops to train institutional officials (most of whom are engaged in student personnel work) in practical ways to use the model.

4. Resulting from the formation of an ad hoc planning committee in May, 1980, two regional sex equity workshops were held in November, 1980. The theme was "Tapping New Resources and Serving New Clientele: Women and Men in Nontraditional Programs." Some 45 persons representing student personnel programs of institutions were served. These persons were exposed to program development strategies and funding development techniques and were also subjected to sensitivity training concerning men and women in the nontraditional work force. These two regional workshops also created local involvement in the sex equity effort by including local officials in both the planning and delivery phases of the workshops.

Table 8 (cont'd)

Another result of the ad hoc planning committee was the formation of an informed network of sex equity professionals and sympathizers. This, in turn, gave impetus to a second sex equity workshop in November, 1981, (the emphasis was on older women returning to campus).

As a result of the second workshop, some institutions are using one of the training components for their home faculties. Also, one of the counseling models presented in this workshop was so well received that the sex equity coordinator and the presenter are preparing it as a written model for dissemination throughout the state.

5. Technical assistance provided has resulted in:
  - a) Compilation and referral of resources used in local workshops
  - b) Clarification of regulations at institutions' requests
  - c) Dissemination of information to institutions and general public upon request.
6. As a result of the Methods of Administration (MOA), the sex equity coordinator developed a Title IX compliance checklist primarily for institutions' use. An outgrowth of this was the development of the checklist into an instrument that was used for the MOA on-site reviews.
7. Contact with the Council on the Status of Women was maintained, which resulted in their participation in the sex equity workshop (see No. 4 above). Also, a working committee was formed comprising council representatives, the sex equity coordinator, and Employment Security officials for the purpose of further development of the Displaced Homemaker Program.
8. Incentives for exemplary sex equity programs were awarded to two institutions in accordance with established criteria. Incentives were a) certificate of recognition and b) a book on women's issues.

TABLE 9

PROJECTED AND ACTUAL FUNDING BY PURPOSE  
SUMMARY FOR POSTSECONDARY AND ADULT FY 1981

PROGRAM SERVICE	PROJECTED		ACTUAL		ACTUAL FEDERAL GRANT		UNEXPENDED BALANCE	
	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	FEDERAL	STATE/LOCAL
DISADVANTAGED	1,329,708	1,329,708		816,599	822,579	3,388	1,329,708	513,109
Limited English Speaking	5,252	5,252		5,252	5,252		5,252	-0-
HANDICAPPED	667,480	667,480		288,562	290,071	972	667,480	378,918
SUBPART 2 (Section 120) SUBTOTAL	3,444,881	117,767,884	16,094,470	3,277,226	118,947,026	11,814,114	3,444,881	167,655
A. Vocational Education	3,111,856	89,800,500	9,899,360	2,996,172	81,238,471	9,763,258	3,156,835	160,063
B. Work-Study	14,360		14,360	-	-	-	-	1,899,673
C. Cooperative Education		[292,000]						
D. Energy Education		[2,100]						
E. Construction	225,000	3,600,000	4,000,000	225,000	267,296		225,000	-0-
F. Sex Bias Office Personnel	41,665			8,783			13,186	4,403
I. Industrial Arts								
J. Support Services for Women		[11,785]						
K. Day Care Services		[23,322]						
L. Programs/Homemakers, Head-House.		[14,651]						
102 a Administration	State	52,000	1,290,000	37,971	9,515		8,700	-0-
Local		23,077,384	2,180,750	37,431,744	2,050,856		41,160	3,189
SUBPART 3 (Section 130) SUBTOTAL		1,227,482	8,192,916	128,000	1,130,911	9,120,726	17,458	1,227,482
1. Research		116,482	30,366	40,000	12,332		96,140	56,140
2. Exemplary								
3. Curriculum Development		60,999	433,800		56,932		56,932	-0-
4. Guidance and Counseling		1,004,331	6,441,750	128,000	1,000,000	9,108,394	171,458	1,023,276
5. Preservice and Inservice Training		31,724			18,670			23,276
6. Grants (Overcome Sex Biases)		946			-0-			
102 a Administration	State	13,000			15,309		28,356	13,047
Local		1,287,000						
SUBPART 4 (Section 140)								
Special Program for Disadvantaged		194,336			17,490		194,336	176,846
SUBPART 5 (Section 150)								
Consumer/Homemaking Ancillary Services								
Program Instruction		1,100,000	507,600					
Depressed Areas								
SUBPART 1 (Section 102 d)		48,584						
TOTAL		6,917,723	129,063,240	16,730,070	5,536,040	129,185,654	11,989,932	6,917,723
								1,381,683
								2,979,580

Table 10: STATE SUMMARY OF FUNDING BY PURPOSE - 1980-81

		PROJECTED		ACTUAL		UNEXPENDED BALANCE	
PROGRAM SERVICE		FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL
DISADVANTAGED		3,999,229	2,397,516	1,601,713	2,796,698	1,612,492	1,188,259
Limited English Speaking	6,053	5,572	481	6,823	5,880	943	6,827
HANDICAPPED	2,002,642	1,468,577	534,064	1,478,321	766,553	715,696	2,002,641
SUBPART 2 (Section 120) SUBTOTAL	10,335,674	157,768,888	32,547,737	9,732,665	153,606,056	27,166,033	10,335,674
A. Vocational Education	* 9,401,086	124,561,385	25,171,274	9,104,930	109,329,420	23,321,726	9,544,169
B. Work-Study	414,360		185,789	199,052		81,935	300,000
C. Cooperative Education		[6,216,580]	[2,551,963]		[5,860,222]	[2,511,473]	
D. Energy Education	[2,100]	3,600,000	4,000,000		225,000	267,296	225,000
E. Construction	225,000						
F. Sex Bias Office Personnel	81,665			25,763			53,174
I. Industrial Arts		1,104,214	490,409		2,256,156	937,635	
J. Support Services for Women	[11,785]						
K. Day Care Services	[23,322]						
L. Programs/Homemakers, Head-House.	[14,651]						
102 a Administration	State	210,563	3,947,192	169,220	2,375,714		204,631
	Local		24,456,097	2,700,265	39,367,955	2,824,737	
SUBPART 3 (Section 130) SUBTOTAL	3,682,814	8,713,080	1,066,957	3,125,527	10,450,903	1,410,198	3,682,814
1. Research		243,246	71,261	129,463	74,636		223,904
2. Exemplary		25,000					25,000
3. Curriculum Development		170,999	493,800	56,932			165,632
4. Guidance and Counseling		2,715,963	6,921,019	1,066,957	2,711,632	10,376,267	1,410,198
5. Preservice and Inservice Training	477,905				181,901		470,155
6. Grants (Overcome Sex Bias)	946						
102 a Administration	State	148,755			45,399		65,215
	Local		1,287,000				19,616
SUBPART 4 (Section 140)							
Special Program for Disadvantaged	563,066		11,084	333,432		7,818	583,066
SUBPART 5 (Section 150)							
Consumer/Homemaking	Ancillary Services						
Program Instruction	117,879	1,788,060	880,454		1,018,400	454,698	
Depressed Areas	1,150,202	6,307,436	2,739,346	1,268,081	7,522,664	3,426,342	1,268,081
SUBPART 1 (Section 102 d)	145,766			20,182			145,766
TOTAL	22,023,324	178,449,129	39,381,836	18,761,729	174,982,948	34,379,987	22,023,324
							3,860,728

[ ] Non Add

\* Includes New Programs

## STATE MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT

Expenditures - FY 1980Expenditures - FY 1981

	<u>Federal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Federal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Federal</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Federal</u>	<u>State</u>
	<u>Disadvantaged</u>	[3,400,243]	[3,400,243]	-0-	[2,796,698]	[2,800,751]	[1,201,757]	[1,201,757]
Limited English Speaking	[16,965]	[16,965]	-0-	[6,823]	[6,823]	[6,823]	[4]	[4]
Handicapped	[1,708,604]	[1,708,605]	-0-	[1,478,321]	[1,482,249]	[1,482,249]	[524,320]	[524,320]
Subpart 2	13,772,378	170,748,178	-0-	14,014,507	185,061,912	185,061,912	2,329,090	2,329,090
Subpart 3	3,306,734	9,878,921	-0-	3,125,527	11,861,101	11,861,101	557,287	557,287
Subpart 4	580,989	12,166	-0-	333,432	7,818	7,818	249,634	249,634
Subpart 5	1,263,563	10,790,836	-0-	1,268,081	12,432,104	12,432,104	-0-	-0-
Section 102 (d)	145,247	-0-	-0-	20,182	-0-	-0-	125,584	125,584
Total	19,068,911	191,430,101	-0-	18,761,729	209,362,935	209,362,935	3,261,595	3,261,595
Federal Grant	19,068,911			22,023,324				

TABLE: 12

## SUMMARY OF FY 1980 UNEXPENDED FEDERAL FUNDS EXPENDED IN FY 1981

	SECONDARY			POST-SECONDARY			STATE/ LOCAL MATCH
	BALANCE FORWARD	FEDERAL FUNDS EXPENDED	STATE/ LOCAL MATCH UNEXPENDED	BALANCE FORWARD	FEDERAL FUNDS EXPENDED	UNEXPENDED	
Di sadvantaged	[366,887]	[366,887]	-0-	[366,888]	[458,378]	[458,378]	-0- [452,398]
Limited English Speaking	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Handicapped	[131,638]	[131,638]	-0-	[131,638]	[288,408]	[288,408]	-0- [286,898]
Subpart 2	955,274	955,274 <sup>(1)</sup>	-0-	506,187	1,081,482	1,081,482 <sup>(4)</sup>	-0- 2,386,450
Subpart 3	345,136	345,136 <sup>(2)</sup>	-0-	13,759	125,454	125,454 <sup>(5)</sup>	-0-
Subpart 4	39,434	39,434	-0-	-0-	126,964	126,964	-0-
Subpart 1	103,457	103,457 <sup>(3)</sup>	-0-	-0-	34,017	34,017 <sup>(6)</sup>	-0-
TOTAL	1,443,301	1,443,301	-0-	519,946	1,367,917	1,367,917	-0- 2,386,450

- (1) 1,328 was spent in the 25-27 month (July 1 - September 30, 1981)  
 (2) 27,437 was spent in the 25-27 month (July 1 - September 30, 1981)  
 (3) 14,394 was spent in the 25-27 month (July 1 - September 30, 1981)

- (4) 73,773 was spent in the 25-27 month (July 1 - September 30, 1981)  
 (5) 55,545 was spent in the 25-27 month (July 1 - September 30, 1981)  
 (6) 27,607 was spent in the 25-27 month (July 1 - September 30, 1981)

TABLE: 13  
LEVEL: STATE

MINIMUM PERCENTAGES OF BASIC GRANT 1980-81

	Basic Grant	Sex Bias	Disadvantaged 20%	Handicapped 10%	Post-Secondary 15%	Subpart 2 80%	Subpart 3 20%
Total Grant	\$ 20,026,411	\$ 50,000	\$ 4,005,282	\$ 2,002,641	\$ 3,003,962	\$ 7,281,712	\$ 3,682,814
Post-Secondary	6,674,803	16,665	1,334,960	667,480	3,003,962	424,254	1,227,482
Secondary	13,351,608	33,335	2,670,322	1,335,161	-0-	6,857,458	2,455,332

Special Needs (Subpart 4)

Total Grant	\$ 583,066						
Post-Secondary		194,336					
Secondary		388,730					
92							
Consumer and Homemaking (Subpart 5)							
Total Grant	\$ 1,268,081						
Post-Secondary		-0-					
Secondary		1,268,081					
92							
Limited English Speaking (To be expended from Disadvantaged Funds)							
Total Grant	\$ 6,827						
Post-Secondary		5,252					
Secondary		1,575					

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ESTIMATED ALLOTMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
TABLE 14.  
SECONDARY

REIM. RATE	LEA	ESTIMATED MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT						ESTIMATED REIMBURSEMENT			
		SUB- PART 2		SUB- PART 3		SUB- PART 5		SUB- PART 2		SUB- PART 3	
		STATE	EDA COUNTIES	STATE	EDA COUNTIES	STATE	EDA COUNTIES	STATE	EDA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	LOCAL
70	Alamance	486.5	29	10	7.5	495,160	36,560	12,607	9,455		237,335
70	Burlington	274	25.5	10	6.5	278,877	32,148	12,607	8,195		142,212
72	Alexander	163	25	9	6.5	170,641	32,418	11,670	8,429		86,784
70	Alleghany	70.5	22.5	8.5	5.5	71,755	28,366	10,716	6,934		50,473
72	Anson	208	29	7.5	7.5	217,751	37,605	9,725	9,725		106,869
70	Ashe	255	26.5	10	6.5	259,539	33,409	12,607	8,195		134,464
74	Avery	95	31	9	7.5	102,216	41,315	11,995	9,996		58,156
70	Beaufort	158	27.5	10.5	7	160,812	34,669	13,237	8,825		93,233
70	Washington	129	25.5	9.5	6.5	131,296	32,148	11,977	8,195		78,693
74	Bertie	189	30	10.5	7.5	203,356	39,982	13,994	9,996		93,926
74	Bladen	245.5	30.5	10.5	7.5	264,148	40,649	13,994	9,996		115,520
68	Brunswick	271	28	9.5	7	267,943	34,291	11,534	8,573		151,577
68	Buncombe	929.5	27.5	9.5	6.5	919,015	33,679	11,634	7,960		457,547
68	Asheville	207	25.5	9	6.5	204,665	31,229	11,022	7,960		119,942
70	Burke	487.5	28	9.5	7	496,178	35,300	11,977	8,825		236,691
70	Cabarrus	326	28.5	10	7	331,803	35,930	12,607	8,825		166,785
70	Concord	112.5	23	9	5.5	114,503	28,996	11,346	6,934		69,334
70	Kannapolis	177	25	8.5	6.5	180,151	31,518	10,716	8,195		98,820
72	Caldwell	484.0	28	9.5	7	506,690	36,308	12,319	9,077		219,487
74	Camden	29.5	29.5	10	7.5	31,741	39,316	13,327	9,996		33,161
70	Carteret	255	29	10	7.5	259,539	36,560	12,607	9,455		136,355
74	Caswell	146.5	25	8.5	6.5	157,628	33,319	11,328	8,663		74,113
68	Catawba	462.5	26.5	9	6.5	457,283	32,454	11,022	7,960		239,397
68	Hickory	160	21.5	7.5	5.5	158,195	26,331	9,185	6,736		94,328
68	Newton	88.5	22.5	7.5	5.5	87,502	27,555	9,185	6,736		61,637
70	Chatham	236	27	9	6.5	240,201	34,040	11,346	8,195		125,907
74	Cherokee	122	30	10	7.5	131,267	39,982	13,327	9,996		68,363

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOTMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
SECONDARY

TABLE 14

REF. DATE	JEA	STATE	ACTUAL MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT			ACTUAL REIMBURSEMENT		
			SUB- PART 2	SUB- PART 3	SUB-PART 5		ED A COUNTIES	SUB- PART 3
					ED A COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES		
70	Alamance	494	23	8.5	7.5	494,000	30,383	11,365
70	Burlington	283	23.5	8.5	6.5	283,000	31,044	11,365
72	Alexander	169.5	27	9	6.5	174,416	36,693	12,375
70	Alleghany	66	38	9.5	5.5	66,000	50,198	7,123
72	Anson	208	27	9.5	7.5	214,032	36,693	13,063
70	Ashe	255.5	27	9	6.5	255,500	35,667	12,033
74	Avery	93	32	10.5	7.5	98,301	36,672	14,837
70	Beaufort	151.5	29.5	10	7	151,500	38,970	13,370
70	Washington	132.5	27.5	9.5	6.5	132,500	36,328	12,702
94	Bertie	186.5	32	11	7.5	197,131	36,672	13,543
74	Bladen	248.5	28.5	10	7.5	262,665	39,786	14,130
68	Brunswick	281	26	9	7	273,132	33,358	11,691
68	Buncombe	937.5	21.5	7.5	6.5	911,250	27,585	9,743
68	Asheville	209.5	23.5	8.5	6.5	203,634	30,151	11,042
70	Burke	495	22	8	7	495,000	29,062	10,696
70	Cabarrus	326	22.5	23	7	326,000	29,723	25,751
70	Concord	111.5	25	8.5	5.5	111,500	33,025	11,365
70	Carolina	172	13	8.5	6.5	172,000	17,173	11,365
72	Charlotte	508.5	22	8	7	523,247	29,898	11,000
74	Cumberland	27	30	7	7.5	28,539	33,880	9,891
70	Garnett	260.5	27	9.5	7.5	260,500	35,667	12,702
74	Carroll	144.5	27	9	6.5	152,737	37,692	12,717
68	Gates	470	20.5	7.5	6.5	456,840	26,302	9,743
68	Hickory	156.5	23.5	8	5.5	152,118	30,151	10,392
68	Brown	83	22.5	2	5.5	80,676	28,868	2,598
70	Guthrie	238.5	23.5	8.5	6.5	238,500	31,044	11,365
74	Grove	118.5	32	11	7.5	125,255	36,672	13,543

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
 ESTIMATED ALLOTMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR  
 FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
 PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
 SECONDARY

TABLE 14

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOWMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
SECONDARY

TABLE 14

REIM. DATE	JEA	ACTUAL MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT					ACTUAL REBUTIMENT					
		STATE	SUB- PART 2		SUB- PART 3		EDA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	STATE	SUB- PART 2		EDA PART 3
			EDA PART 3	EDA PART 3	EDA PART 3	EDA PART 3				EDA PART 3	EDA PART 3	
72	Chowan	87	31	10	6		89,523	42,129	13,750	7,992		53,064
72	Clay	29	40	4	8		29,841	46,360	5,500	9,960		32,076
72	Cleve; and	34	21.5	8	7		350,889	29,219	11,000	9,324		149,490
72	Kings Mountain	140.5	25	8.5	5.5		144,575	33,975	11,688	7,326		71,082
72	Shelby	146.5	26.5	9	6		150,749	36,014	12,375	7,992		74,448
74	Columbus	407	28.5	10	7.5		430,199	39,786	14,130	10,268		166,251
74	Blacksburg	79.5	31	10	6		84,032	43,276	14,130	8,214		46,426
72	Craven	264	25	8.5	6.5		271,656	33,975	11,688	8,658		120,384
72	New Bern	199.5	26.5	9	7		205,286	36,014	12,375	9,324		95,832
72	Cumberland	1377.5	27.5	10	8.5		1,417,448	37,373	13,750	9,322		578,706
72	Fayetteville	392	23	8.5	7.5		403,368	31,257	11,688	9,990		170,676
72	Currituck	54.5	32	10.5	6.5		56,081	43,488	14,438	8,658		40,986
68	Dare	51	24	9	6		49,572	30,792	11,691	7,548		40,680
70	Davidson	597.5	27.5	7.5	6.5		597,500	36,328	10,028	8,418		270,936
70	Lexington	110.5	27	9	6.5		110,500	35,667	12,033	8,418		64,872
70	Thomasville	89.5	27	9	5.5		89,500	35,667	12,033	7,123		55,544
68	Davie	173.5	23.5	8.5	6.5		168,642	30,151	11,042			95,824
72	Duplin	348	32.5	11.5	9		358,092	44,168	13,813			158,796
68	Durham County	605	17.5	7	7.5		588,060	22,453	9,093			287,924
68	Burlington City	351	19.5	7	5		341,172	25,019	9,743			174,020
72	Edgecombe	211.5	29	10	7.5		217,634	39,411	11,750	9,990		102,168
72	Tarboro	113.5	26	9	6		116,792	35,334	12,375	7,992		61,182
68	Forsyth	1719.5	20	7.5	6.5		1,671,354	25,660	9,743	8,177		807,582
74	Franklin	167.5	29.5	10	8		177,048	41,182	12,130	10,952		78,905
74	Hertford	34	31	8.5	6		35,938	43,276	12,011	8,214		29,177
70	Gaston	1222.5	23.5	8.5	7.5		1,222,500	31,044	11,365	9,713		550,088
72	Gates	45.25	32	10.5	6.5		46,562	43,488	14,438	8,658		37,323
74	Granada	59	36	9	7.5		62,363	42,256	12,717	10,268		40,921
74	Grovesville	260	30.5	10.5	8		274,820	42,578	14,337	10,952		113,403

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
 ESTIMATED ALLOTMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
 FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
 PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
 SECONDARY

TABLE 14

REIM. RATE		LEA		ESTIMATED MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT	ESTIMATED REIMBURSEMENT										
				SUB-PART 2	SUB-PART 3	SUB-PART 4	SUB-PART 5	SUB-PART 6							
				STATE	EDAA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	STATE	EDAA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	STATE	EDAA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	STATE	EDAA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES
72	Greene	110.5	29.5	10	7.5	115,680	38,253	12,967	9,725	115,680	39,316	13,327	9,996	115,680	38,642
68	Guildford	99.4	25	8.5	6	982,788	30,617	10,410	7,348	982,788	39,316	13,327	9,996	982,788	38,642
68	Greensboro	1021.5	25	9	6.5	1,009,977	30,617	11,022	7,960	1,009,977	35,930	12,607	8,825	1,009,977	38,642
68	High Point	339.5	25	8.5	6	335,670	30,617	10,410	7,348	335,670	321,712	40,649	13,994	335,670	38,642
74	Halifax	29.9	30.5	10.5	7.5	97,374	33,319	11,995	7,996	97,374	47,342	39,316	13,327	9,996	175,727
74	Roanoke Rapids	90.5	25	9	6	458,897	39,316	13,327	9,996	458,897	35,930	12,607	8,825	458,897	175,727
74	Weldon	44	29.5	10	7.5	352,668	35,930	12,607	7,960	352,668	301,065	32,454	11,022	352,668	165,883
74	Harnett	426.5	29.5	10	7	301,065	32,454	11,022	7,960	301,065	25,718	8,573	6,736	25,718	42,571
74	Haywood	346.5	28.5	10	7	49,436	187,915	41,495	14,264	49,436	153,862	41,315	13,994	153,862	42,571
70	Henderson	304.5	26.5	9	6.5	187,915	153,862	41,315	13,994	187,915	39,550	13,616	9,725	187,915	42,571
97	Hendersonville	50	21	7	5.5	187,915	27,219	10,410	7,960	187,915	32,778	11,346	8,195	187,915	42,571
68	Hertford	179.5	32	11	8	385,746	32,778	11,346	8,195	385,746	31,518	10,716	7,564	31,518	32,530
72	Hoke	143.0	31	10.5	7.5	72,773	127,225	27,105	9,455	72,773	134,960	30,617	10,410	134,960	77,005
74	Hyde	26	30.5	10.5	7.5	127,225	134,960	30,617	10,410	127,225	41,315	13,994	13,994	127,225	35,043
72	Iredell	379	26	9	6.5	127,225	609,531	41,315	13,994	127,225	39,550	13,616	9,725	127,225	187,742
70	Mooresville	71.5	25	8.5	6	127,225	105,211	32,418	11,022	127,225	32,418	11,022	7,780	127,225	187,742
70	Statesville	125	21.5	7.5	5.5	72,773	310,923	34,363	11,670	72,773	310,923	29,392	9,797	72,773	187,742
70	Jackson	136.5	25	8.5	6.5	72,773	125,073	125,073	11,022	72,773	381,166	34,039	11,346	381,166	187,742
68	Johnston	566.5	31	10.5	7.5	72,773	132,881	132,881	11,022	72,773	183,713	31,518	10,716	183,713	187,742
74	Jones	100.5	25	8.5	6	72,773	132,881	132,881	11,022	72,773	310,923	34,363	11,670	310,923	187,742
72	Lee/Sanford	257.5	27	9	6.5	262,084	34,039	11,346	8,195	262,084	34,651	11,995	8,195	262,084	187,742
70	Lenoir	374.5	27	9	6.5	262,084	125,073	125,073	11,022	262,084	381,166	34,039	11,346	381,166	187,742
70	Kinston	180.5	25	8.5	6	262,084	132,881	132,881	11,022	262,084	183,713	31,518	10,716	183,713	187,742
70	Lincoln	297	26.5	9	6.5	262,084	132,881	132,881	11,022	262,084	310,923	34,363	11,670	310,923	187,742
72	Macen	126.5	24	8	6	262,084	132,881	132,881	11,022	262,084	34,651	11,995	8,663	34,651	187,742
68	Madison	123.5	26	9	6.5	262,084	201,699	201,699	11,022	262,084	35,516	12,247	9,185	35,516	187,742
74	Martin	204	29	10	7.5	262,084	258,056	258,056	11,022	262,084	35,011	11,670	8,429	35,011	187,742
68	McDowell	246.5	27	9	6.5	262,084	2,816,369	2,816,369	11,022	262,084	33,679	11,634	8,573	33,679	187,742
72	Mecklenburg	2848.5	27.5	9.5	7	262,084	88,985	88,985	11,022	262,084	9,797	28,780	8,573	9,797	187,742
68	Mitchell	90	23.5	8	6	262,084	88,985	88,985	11,022	262,084	88,985	88,985	8,573	88,985	187,742

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOCATION FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
SECONDARY

TABLE 14

REF. RATE	LEA	ACTUAL MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT					ACTUAL REIMBURSEMENT					INCAL	
		STATE	SUB- PART 2	SUB- PART 3	SUB-PART 5		STATE	SUB- PART 2	SUB- PART 3	SUB-PART 5			
					EDIA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES				EDIA COUNTIES	EDIA COUNTIES		
72	Greene	107	32	10.5	7.5	6	110,103	43,488	14,438	9,990	7,548	62,172	
68	Guildford	1001.5	19	7	6	5	973,458	24,377	9,093	9,093	8,177	491,617	
68	Greensboro	1029	19.5	7	6	5	1,000,188	25,019	9,093	9,093	7,548	480,024	
68	High Point	347	19	7	6	5	337,284	24,377	9,093	9,093	7,548	171,308	
74	Halifax	301.5	28.5	10	7.5		318,686	39,786	14,130	10,268		127,533	
74	Roanoke Rapids	85	32	10.5	6		89,845	44,672	14,837	8,214		48,995	
74	Weldon	37.5	36	12	7.5		39,638	50,256	14,956	10,268		34,131	
74	Harnett	440	24.5	8.5	7.5		465,080	34,202	12,011	10,268		176,244	
70	Haywood	355	22.5	8	7		355,000	29,723	10,696	9,065		166,420	
888	Henderson	314.5	24.5	8.5	6.5		305,694	31,434	11,042	8,177		160,008	
68	Hendersonville	44	23.5	9	5.5		42,768	30,151	11,691	6,919		37,664	
72	Hertford	177.5	35	11.5	8		182,648	47,565	15,813	10,656		91,872	
74	Hoke	142	33	11	7.5		150,094	46,068	15,543	10,268		71,015	
72	Hyde	18.5	37	12	7.5		19,037	50,283	14,167	9,990		29,700	
70	Fredonia	388.5	18.5	7	6.5		388,500	24,439	9,359	8,418		178,292	
70	Moorristown	64	25	6.5	6		64,000	33,025	8,691	7,770		43,036	
70	Statesville	123	23.5	8	5.5		123,000	31,044	10,696	7,123		67,840	
68	Jackson	148.5	27	9	6.5		144,342	34,641	11,691	8,177		86,332	
74	Johnston	574	25	9	7.5		606,718	34,900	12,717	10,268		225,889	
72	Jones	93	31	10	6		95,697	42,129	13,750	7,992		55,440	
70	Lee/Sanford	266	25	8.5	6.5		266,000	33,025	11,365	8,418		129,744	
70	Lenoir	377	25	8.5	6.5		377,000	33,025	11,365	8,418		176,808	
70	Madison	179	27	9	6.5		179,000	35,667	12,033	7,770		93,704	
72	Lincoln	310	24.5	8.5	6.5		218,990	33,296	11,688	8,658		138,402	
68	Wilson	124	26	9	6		120,528	33,358	11,691	7,548		74,580	
74	Madison	116	32	10.5	6.5		122,612	44,672	14,837	8,899		60,555	
68	Martin	210	27	9.5	7.5		204,120	34,641	12,341	9,435		114,808	
72	Mecklenburg	258	25	8.5	6.5		265,482	33,975	11,688	8,658		118,008	
68	Rockingham	2856	21.5	8	7		2,690,898	27,585	10,392	8,806		1,347,410	
68	Watauga	84	29.5	10	6		81,648	37,849	12,990	7,548		58,534	

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ESTIMATED ALLOTMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA

TABLE 14

REIM. RATE	LEA	ESTIMATED MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT						ESTIMATED REIMBURSEMENT					
		SUB-PART 2			SUB-PART 3			SUB-PART 5			SUB-PART 3		
		STATE	ED A COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	STATE	ED A COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	STATE	ED A COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	STATE	ED A COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES
70	Montgomery	144	22.5	8	146	563	28,366	10,086	8,825	83,074			
70	Moore	386.5	26	9.5	393	380	32,778	11,977	10,086	192,095			
70	Nash	376	30	10.5	382	693	37,821	13,237	9,455	189,945			
70	Rocky Mount	226	26.5	9	230	023	33,409	11,346	8,195	121,274			
68	New Hanover	769	29.5	10	7.5	760	326	36,128	12,247	9,185	384,888		
74	Northampton	188	32	11	8	202	280	42,648	14,660	10,662	94,953		
68	Onslow	591.5	29.5	10	7.5	584	828	36,128	12,247	9,185	302,300		
68	Orange	170.5	20.5	7.5	168	577	25,106	9,185	7,960	99,213			
99	Chapel Hill	176	22	8	174	015	26,943	9,797	8,573	103,213			
74	Pamlico	77	32	11	8	82	849	42,648	14,660	10,662	52,990		
72	Pasquotank	191	30	10.5	7.5	199	954	38,902	13,616	9,725	101,966		
74	Pender	175.5	31	10.5	7.5	188	831	41,315	13,994	9,996	89,291		
72	Perquimans	55.5	26	9	6.5	58	102	33,715	11,670	8,429	43,523		
68	Person	214.5	25.5	9	6.5	212	080	31,229	11,022	7,960	123,431		
70	Pitt	424.5	29.5	10	7.5	432	056	37,191	12,107	9,455	210,561		
70	Greenville	183.5	25.5	9	6.5	186	766	32,148	11,346	8,195	102,195		
68	Polk	80.5	21	7	5.5	79	592	25,718	8,573	6,736	56,762		
68	Tryon	111.5	21	7	5.5	11	370	25,718	8,573	6,736	24,657		
68	Randolph	472	27	9	6.5	480	402	34,039	11,346	8,195	228,849		
70	Ashboro	148	23	8	5.5	150	634	28,996	10,986	6,934	84,279		
70	Richmond	348.5	30.5	10.5	7.5	374	972	40,649	13,994	9,996	154,458		
74	Robeson	535.5	35	12	9	576	177	46,646	15,993	11,995	228,663		
74	Fairmont	711.5	31	10.5	7.5	76	931	41,315	13,994	9,996	49,975		
74	Lumberton	153	27.5	9.5	6.5	164	622	36,650	12,661	8,663	78,209		
74	Maxton												
74	Red Springs	42	27.5	9.5	6.5	45	190	36,650	12,661	8,663	36,247		
74	St. Pauls	38.5	27.5	9.5	6.5	41	424	36,550	12,661	8,663	34,924		
70	Rockingham	175	20.5	7.5	6.5	178	115	25,844	9,455	8,195	94,975		
70	Eden	163.5	20.5	7.5	6.5	166	410	25,844	9,455	8,195	89,959		
70	Madison	88	20.5	7.5	6.5	89	566	25,844	9,455	8,195	57,026		

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOTMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
SECONDARY

TABLE 14

REF. RATE	LEA	ACTUAL MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT					ACTUAL REMBURSEMENT					TOTAL	
		STATE	SUB- PART 2	SUB- PART 3	SUB-PART 5		STATE	SUB- PART 2	SUB- PART 3	SUB-PART 5			
					EDA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES				STATE	EDTA COUNTRIES		
70	Montgomery	162.5	24.5	8.5	7	142,500	32,365	11,365	9,713	9,065	77,380		
70	Moore	394.5	20	7.5	8	394,500	26,420	10,028	11,365	8,418	182,320		
70	Nash	384	24	8.5	7.5	384,000	31,704	11,365	11,365	8,418	179,776		
70	Rocky Mount	230	24.5	8.5	6	230,000	32,365	11,365	8,418	8,418	114,268		
68	New Hanover	778.5	22	8	7.5	756,702	28,226	10,392	9,435	9,435	378,832		
74	Northampton	191.5	30	10.5	8	202,416	44,880	14,837	8,952	8,952	88,080		
68	Onslow	599	23.5	8.5	7.5	582,228	30,151	11,042	9,435	9,435	293,602		
68	Orange	168	22.5	8	6.5	163,296	28,868	10,392	9,743	8,177	92,660		
68	Diamond Hill	183.5	20	7.5	7	178,362	25,660	18,369	8,952	8,806	98,536		
74	Pavilico	70.5	39	13	8	74,519	46,144	18,369	8,952	8,952	47,894		
72	Pasquotank	191.5	28	10	7.5	197,054	38,052	13,750	9,990	9,990	93,852		
74	Pender	172.5	33	11	7.5	182,333	46,068	15,513	10,268	10,268	82,208		
72	Perquimans	46.75	32	10.5	6.5	48,106	13,488	14,438	8,658	8,658	37,917		
68	Person	217.5	23.5	8.5	6.5	211,410	30,151	11,042	8,177	8,177	115,712		
70	Pitt	434	22	8	7.5	434,000	29,062	10,696	9,713	9,713	199,916		
70	Greenville	186.5	23.5	8	6.5	186,500	31,044	10,696	8,418	8,418	95,188		
68	Polk	72.5	27	9	5.5	70,470	34,641	11,691	6,919	6,919	51,528		
68	Troy	7.5	27	7	5.5	7,290	34,641	9,093	6,919	6,919	21,244		
70	Randolph	489.5	26.5	7.5	6.5	489,500	35,007	10,028	8,418	8,418	224,720		
70	Asheboro	144.5	25	8.5	5.5	144,500	33,025	11,365	7,123	7,123	77,804		
74	Faulkner	341.5	24.5	9	7.5	360,966	34,202	12,717	10,268	10,268	110,378		
74	Robeson	543	29	10.5	9	573,951	40,484	14,837	10,321	10,321	217,081		
74	Linwood	76.5	26.5	12.5	7.5	80,861	17,663	10,268	8,899	8,899	45,141		
74	Elizabethton	156	27.5	9.5	6	164,892	38,390	13,424			73,217		
74	Kod Springs	40	24.5	11	6.5	162,280	34,202	15,543	8,899	8,899	26,057		
74	St. Pauls	32.5	33.5	7.5	6.5	34,353	38,766	10,028	8,418	8,418	30,645		
70	Rockingham	174.5	20.5	8	6.5	174,500	27,081	29,723	10,696	10,696	88,616		
70	Eddy	161	22.5	9	6.5	161,000	35,007	12,033	8,418	8,418	83,932		
70	Madison Taylor	83	26.5			83,000					53,000		

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ESTIMATED ALLOTMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA

TABLE 14

RETM. RATE	LEA	ESTIMATED MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT						ESTIMATED REIMBURSEMENT				
		STATE	SUB- PART 2	SUB- PART 3	SUB-PART 5		SUB- PART 2	SUB- PART 3	SUB- PART 5	OTHER COUNTIES	LOCAL COUNTRIES	
					EDA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES						
70	Reidsville	157.5	20.5	7.5	6.5	160,304	25,844	9,455	8,195	87,342		
68	Rowan	512	26	9	6.5	506,225	31,842	11,022	7,960	262,141		
68	Salisbury	95	21	7	5.5	93,928	25,718	8,573	6,736	63,508		
70	Rutherford	389	30	10.5	7.5	395,224	37,821	13,237	9,455	195,616		
74	Sampson	320	30	10.5	7.5	344,307	39,982	13,994	9,996	143,449		
74	Clinton	102	25	8.5	6	109,748	33,319	11,328	7,996	57,056		
74	Scotland	235	27.5	9.5	7	252,851	36,650	12,661	9,329	109,443		
68	Stanly	301	23	8	6	297,605	28,168	9,797	7,348	161,373		
68	Albemarle	69.5	20	7	5	68,716	24,494	8,573	6,123	50,979		
68	Stokes	233	22	8	7	230,372	26,943	9,797	8,573	129,734		
70	Surry	308	29.5	10	7	313,482	37,191	12,607	8,825	159,474		
70	Elkin	27	21	7	5.5	27,481	26,475	8,825	6,934	29,878		
70	Mount Airy	70	21	7	5.5	71,246	26,475	8,825	6,934	48,634		
72	Swain	67	29.5	10	7.5	70,141	38,253	12,967	9,725	50,978		
68	Transylvania	152.5	27.5	9.5	7	150,780	33,679	11,634	8,573	96,313		
72	Tyrrell	22	28.5	9.5	7	23,031	36,957	12,319	9,077	31,649		
70	Union	420	27	9.5	7	427,476	34,039	11,977	8,825	206,707		
70	Monroe	99.5	21.5	7.5	5.5	101,271	27,105	9,455	6,934	62,042		
74	Vance	279	29.5	10	7	300,193	39,316	13,327	9,329	127,247		
68	Wake	2046.5	27	10	8.5	2,023,415	33,066	12,247	10,410	978,418		
74	Warren	122	31	11	8	131,267	41,315	14,660	10,662	69,534		
74	Washington	111	30.5	10.5	7.5	119,432	40,649	13,994	9,996	64,674		
70	Watauga	162	25	8.5	6.5	164,884	31,518	10,716	8,195	92,277		
72	Wayne	542	31	10.5	8	567,409	40,198	13,616	10,374	245,521		
72	Goldsboro	187	28	9.5	7	195,767	36,308	12,319	9,077	98,572		
72	Wilkes	425.5	28.5	10	7	445,447	36,957	12,967	9,077	196,174		
70	Wilson	510.5	29.5	10	7	519,587	37,191	12,607	8,825	247,804		
70	Yadkin	218	27.5	9.5	7	221,880	34,669	11,977	8,825	118,865		
72	Yancey	96	25	8.5	6	100,500	32,418	11,022	7,780	59,002		
	TOTAL	41,926.5	3,848	1,326	888	91	42,766.283	4,923,838	1,696,246	1,138,781	21,404,632	

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOTMENT FOR MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT FOR 1980-81  
FOR SUB-PARTS 2, 3, & 5  
SECONDARY

TABLE 14

REF. NTH. LEA	STATE	ACTUAL MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT			ACTUAL REIMBURSEMENT			OTHER COUNTIES	TVA I.M.
		SUB- PART 2		Sub- Part 3	SUB-PART 5		Sub- Part 3	Sub- Part 4	
		EDA COUNTIES	OTHER COUNTIES	STATE	Sub- Part 2	Sub- Part 3	Sub- Part 4		
70	Reidsville	155.5	22.5	8	6.5	155,500	29,723	10,696	8,418
68	Rowan	519.5	20	7.5	504,954	25,660	9,743	8,177	81,620
68	Salisbury	89.5	27	9	86,994	34,641	11,691	6,919	250,182
68	Rutherford	383	24	8.5	383,000	31,704	11,365	9,713	59,212
70	Sampson	322.5	28	10	340,883	39,088	14,120	10,268	179,352
74	Clinton	96.5	3	5	102,001	4,188	7,065	8,214	135,056
74	Scotland	244.5	25.5	9	258,437	35,598	12,717	9,583	40,554
74	Stanly	310.5	21	7.5	301,806	26,943	9,743	7,548	104,692
68	Albemarle	62	26	8.5	60,264	33,358	11,042	6,290	155,940
68	Stokes	247	20	7.5	240,084	25,660	9,743	8,806	45,878
70	Surry	311.5	27.5	9.5	311,500	36,328	12,702	9,065	127,238
70	Elkin	20.5	27	9	20,500	35,667	12,033	7,123	150,732
70	Kinston	64.5	27	9	64,500	35,667	12,033	7,123	26,288
70	Airy	61	36	12	62,769	40,924	16,500	9,990	44,944
72	Sgtin	61	36	10	145,314	37,849	12,990	8,806	46,134
68	Transylvania	149.5	29.5	7					88,592
72	Tyrell	20	31.5	11	20,580	42,809	13,125	9,324	27,522
70	Union	434	14	7.5	134,000	18,494	10,028	9,065	196,100
70	Hancock	97	23.5	8	97,000	31,044	10,696	7,123	56,816
74	Vance	281.5	27.5	9.5	297,546	38,390	13,424	9,583	119,459
68	Wake	2,054.5	21	8	1,960,491	26,943	10,392	10,693	965,584
74	Wayne	120	32.5	11	126,840	45,370	13,543	10,952	62,940
74	Washington	109.5	33	11	115,742	41,366	13,543	10,268	59,087
70	Watauga	161	24.5	9	161,000	32,365	12,033	8,418	85,224
72	Washington	549.5	25	9	565,436	33,975	12,375	10,656	239,234
72	Carter	189.5	26	9	194,996	35,334	12,375	9,324	91,674
72	Wilkes	444.5	22.5	8	457,391	30,578	11,000	9,324	190,872
72	Washington	518	23.5	9	518,000	31,044	11,365	9,065	241,168
70	Wilson	220.5	25.5	7	220,500	33,686	12,033	9,065	111,088
70	Yadkin	86.5	25	8.5	89,009	33,975	11,688	7,992	49,896
72	Yadkin	42,111.5	3,760	1,280	12,092,253	4,967,851	1,711,632	1,152,084	20,399,764
72	Yadkin			888	91				115,997

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ESTIMATED ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-1981  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE: 15

L E A	S U B - P A R T 2				S U B - P A R T 4	
	DISADVANTAGED		HANDICAPPED		SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED	
	ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED	Federal/ State	ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED	Federal/ State	ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED	FEDERAL / STATE
Alamance	28,526	12,225	14,263	6,113	2,786	1,194
Burlington	24,959	10,697	12,479	5,348	2,562	1,098
Alexander	24,563	9,552	12,281	4,776	2,876	1,118
Alleghany	21,791	9,339	10,896	4,670	2,651	1,136
Anson	28,526	11,093	14,263	5,547	3,026	1,188
Ash	25,750	11,036	12,875	5,518	2,921	1,252
Avery	30,110	10,579	15,055	5,290	3,415	1,200
Beaufort	26,942	11,547	13,471	5,773	2,696	1,155
Washington	24,959	10,697	12,479	5,348	2,562	1,098
Bertie	29,318	10,301	14,659	5,150	3,326	1,169
Bladen	29,714	10,440	14,857	5,220	3,101	1,090
Brunswick	27,338	12,865	13,669	6,432	3,101	1,459
Buncombe	26,542	12,490	13,271	6,245	2,651	1,248
Asheville	24,959	11,745	12,479	5,872	2,651	1,248
Burke	27,338	11,716	13,669	5,856	2,741	1,175
Cabarrus	27,734	11,886	13,867	5,943	2,516	1,078
Concord	22,187	9,509	11,094	4,755	2,516	1,078
Kannapolis	24,563	10,527	12,281	5,263	2,696	1,155
Caldwell	27,338	10,631	13,669	5,316	2,741	1,066
Camden	28,922	10,162	14,461	5,081	3,011	1,058
Carteret	28,526	12,225	14,263	6,113	2,966	1,271
Caswell	24,566	8,631	12,283	4,316	2,966	1,042
Gatawa	25,751	12,118	12,875	6,059	2,382	1,121
Hickory	20,999	9,882	10,500	4,941	2,382	1,121
Newton	21,791	10,255	10,896	5,128	2,382	1,121
Chatham	26,150	11,207	13,075	5,604	2,786	1,194
Cherokee	29,318	10,301	14,659	5,150	3,326	1,169
						-0-
						12,751

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE 15

S U B - P A R T 2										S U B - P A R T 4			
DISADVANTAGED				HANDICAPPED				SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED					
ACTUAL FUND'S ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUND'S ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUND'S ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUND'S ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUND'S ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUND'S ALLOTTED			
L E A	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Federal	State
Alamance	22,566	9,026	13,539	16,673	6,669	10,004	2,205			945			
Burlington	13,002	5,201	7,801	6,504	2,601	3,902	5,817			2,493			
Alexander	14,144	6,221	7,919	7,822	3,442	4,380	1,836			714			
Alleghany	14,764	5,905	8,858	7,385	2,953	4,431	5,846			2,505			
Austin	15,387	6,770	8,617	5,044	2,219	2,825	0			11,717			
Ashe	14,545	5,817	8,727	7,275	2,910	4,365	4,932			2,114			
Avery	26,187	12,569	13,617	9,043	4,340	4,702	1,590			559			
Beaufort	5,010	2,003	3,006	3,751	1,500	2,250	0			0			
Washington	24,764	9,905	14,858	7,385	2,953	4,431	817			350			
Bertie	12,881	6,183	6,698	4,755	2,282	2,472	0			0			
Bladen	21,558	10,347	11,210	14,160	6,797	7,363	0			12,751			
Brunswick	14,746	5,308	9,437	7,376	2,655	4,720	989			0			
Buncombe	49,456	17,804	31,652	21,917	7,890	14,027	3,846			11,889			
Asheville	18,930	6,815	12,115	8,207	2,954	5,252	1,646			1,810			
Burke	14,704	5,881	8,822	11,771	4,708	7,062	3,475			775			
Cabarrus	25,325	10,130	15,195	10,884	4,353	6,530	4,303			1,489			
Concord	15,222	6,088	9,133	6,614	2,645	3,968	3,409			1,844			
Garnett	7,550	3,019	4,530	5,490	2,195	3,294	860			1,461			
Calder	12,212	5,373	6,839	6,109	2,687	3,421	1,623			369			
Camden	7,121	3,118	3,703	8,863	4,254	4,609	1,922			631			
Carteret	14,986	5,994	8,991	11,067	4,427	6,640	1,946			675			
Gascoille	10,380	4,982	5,397	3,841	1,844	1,997	946			834			
Guilford	30,164	10,859	19,305	22,752	8,191	14,561	5,960			332			
Hickory	12,930	4,655	8,275	6,468	2,328	4,139	760			2,805			
Newton	15,198	5,171	9,727	22,308	8,030	14,277	1,260			358			
Chatham	16,580	6,631	9,948	6,504	2,601	3,902	2,889			593			
Cherokee	3,422	1,642	1,779	3,404	1,633	1,770	1,061			1,238			
										373			
										12,751			

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ESTIMATED ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-1981  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE: 15

		S U B - P A R T 2		S U B - P A R T 4	
DISADVANTAGED		HANDICAPPED		SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED	
	Federal / State	Local	Federal / State	Local	Federal / State
L E A			ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED	ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED	ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED
Chowan	24,167	9,398	12,084	4,699	2,921
Clay	32,486	12,633	16,243	6,317	3,236
Cleveland	26,442	10,477	13,471	5,239	2,516
Kings Mountain	22,187	8,628	11,094	4,314	2,516
Shelby	23,771	9,244	11,886	4,622	2,427
Columbus	29,714	10,440	14,857	5,220	3,101
Whiteville	24,167	8,491	12,084	4,246	2,921
Craven	26,150	10,169	13,075	5,085	2,787
New Bern	27,734	10,785	13,867	5,393	2,966
Cumberland	32,882	12,787	16,441	6,394	2,831
Fayetteville	28,526	11,093	14,263	5,547	2,696
Currituck	25,355	9,860	12,677	4,930	2,786
Dare	22,583	10,627	11,292	5,314	2,382
Davidson	25,355	10,866	12,677	5,433	2,427
Lexington	24,563	10,527	12,281	5,263	2,696
Thomasville	20,603	8,830	10,302	4,415	2,427
Davie	21,791	10,255	10,896	5,128	2,292
Duplin	34,866	13,559	17,433	6,780	3,326
Durham County	24,167	11,373	12,083	5,686	2,382
Durham City	22,187	10,441	11,094	5,221	2,606
Edgecombe	30,110	11,709	15,055	5,855	3,056
Tarboro	23,315	9,090	11,688	4,545	2,651
Forsyth	25,355	11,932	12,677	5,966	2,337
Franklin	30,506	10,718	15,253	5,359	3,280
Franklin	24,167	8,491	12,083	4,245	2,921
Gaston	28,922	12,395	14,461	6,198	2,741
Gates	25,355	9,860	12,677	4,930	2,876
Graham	28,922	10,162	14,461	5,081	3,730
Granville	31,694	11,136	15,847	5,568	3,326

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE 15

S U B - P A R T 2										S U B - P A R T 4			
DISADVANTAGED					HANDICAPPED					SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED			
ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED	
Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State
J. F. A.	21,889	9,631	12,258	7,822	3,442	4,380	5,932	2,307	12,406	401	401	653	
Chowan	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	1,032	779					
Clay	14,776	6,501	8,274	7,391	3,251	2,829	2,003	312					
Cleveland	12,854	5,656	7,198	6,430	2,829	2,601	803	495					
Kings Mountain	14,683	6,460	8,222	9,913	4,362	5,551	1,274						
Shelby	14,801	7,104	7,696	7,404	3,553	3,850	989	347					
Columbus	9,460	4,540	4,919	8,784	4,216	4,568	932	327					
Whiterville	13,284	5,844	7,439	13,718	6,035	7,682	-0-	-0-					
Craven	14,140	6,221	7,918	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-					
New Bern	61,740	27,165	34,574	38,859	17,098	21,761	10,903						
Cumberland	12,854	5,656	7,198	6,430	2,829	3,601	860	334					
Fayetteville	16,282	7,164	9,118	8,145	3,583	4,561	2,389	929					
Gerrituck	15,652	5,635	10,017	7,830	2,818	5,011	760	358					
Dare	15,746	6,298	9,147	10,732	4,293	6,439	3,774	1,617					
Davidson	17,294	6,917	10,376	7,275	2,910	4,365	1,860	797					
Lexington	14,102	5,641	8,461	7,054	2,821	4,232	-0-	-0-					
Thomasville	13,385	4,818	8,566	6,695	2,410	4,285	4,731	2,226					
Davie	26,382	11,608	14,774	8,681	3,819	4,861	1,061	413					
Duplin County	11,116	4,002	7,114	5,561	2,001	3,559	760	358					
Burke County	11,797	4,247	7,550	5,901	2,124	3,776	832	392					
Burlington City	12,317	5,419	6,897	7,716	3,395	4,321	4,975	1,935					
Elizabethtown	6,553	2,883	3,670	4,668	2,054	2,614	2,846	1,107					
T. Tarboro	52,238	18,806	33,432	27,960	10,065	17,894	-0-	-0-					
Perryth	11,496	5,517	5,978	5,481	2,630	2,850	1,835	645					
Franklin	11,631	5,582	6,018	6,014	2,887	3,127	932	327					
Caston	13,442	5,376	8,065	6,724	2,689	4,034	875	375					
Gates	16,282	7,164	9,118	8,144	3,583	4,561	5,918	2,301					
Graham	17,718	8,505	9,213	8,863	4,254	4,609	1,190	418					
Graves	15,842	7,604	8,238	7,924	3,803	4,120	1,061	373					

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ESTIMATED ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-1981  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE: 15

		S U B - P A R T 2				S U B - P A R T 4			
		DISADVANTAGED		HANDICAPPED		WORK - STUDY		SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED	
		ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED							
L F A	Federal / State	Local	Federal / State						
Greene	28,922	11,241	14,461	5,624	3,370	1,311	12,923	680	
Guildford	24,167	11,373	12,083	5,686	2,292	1,079			
Greensboro	24,959	11,745	12,479	5,872	2,292	1,079			
High Point	24,167	11,373	12,083	5,686	2,472	1,163			
Halifax	29,714	10,440	14,857	5,220	3,191	1,121	12,234	-0-	
Roanoke Rapids	24,959	8,769	12,479	4,385	2,651	931			
Weidlon	28,922	10,162	14,461	5,081	3,011	1,058			
Harnett	28,922	10,162	14,461	5,081	2,921	1,026			
Haywood	27,734	11,886	13,867	5,943	2,786	1,194			
Henderson	25,751	12,118	12,875	6,059	2,651	1,248			
Hendersonville	20,603	9,696	10,302	4,848	2,247	1,057			
Hertford	31,694	12,325	15,847	6,163	3,056	1,188	11,717		
Hoke	30,110	10,579	15,055	5,290	3,505	1,231	13,440		
Hyde	29,714	11,555	14,857	5,778	3,011	1,171			
Iredell	25,355	10,866	12,677	5,433	2,607	1,117			
Mooresville	24,167	10,357	12,083	5,178	2,472	1,059			
Statesville	20,999	9,000	10,500	4,500	2,292	982			
Jackson	24,563	11,559	12,281	5,779	2,786	1,311			
Johnston	30,110	10,579	15,055	5,290	2,966	1,042			
Jones	24,167	9,398	12,083	4,690	2,831	1,101			
Lee/Sanford	26,146	11,205	13,073	5,603	2,606	1,117			
Lenoir	26,146	11,205	13,073	5,603	2,292	982			
Kinston	24,167	10,357	12,083	5,178	2,831	1,194			
Lincoln	25,751	10,014	12,875	5,007	2,651	1,031			
Macon	23,375	11,000	11,688	5,500	2,472	1,163			
Madison	25,255	8,909	12,677	4,454	3,056	1,074	11,717	-0-	
Martin	28,526	13,424	14,263	6,712	2,966	1,396			
McDowell	26,150	10,169	13,075	5,085	2,876	1,118			
Mecklenburg	26,942	12,679	13,471	6,339	2,516	1,184			
Mitchell	22,979	10,814	11,590	5,407	2,876	1,353			

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE 15

S U B - P A R T 2										S U B - P A R T 4			
DISADVANTAGED					HANDICAPPED					SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED			
ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED					ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED					ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED	
Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State
LEA													
Greene	11,290	4,967	6,322	8,252	3,631	4,621	1,075	418	12,923				
Gulf Ford	13,322	4,796	8,226	7,766	2,796	4,970	731	344					
Greensboro	49,313	17,753	31,560	13,716	4,937	8,778	731	344					
High Point	29,499	10,619	18,879	11,443	4,119	7,323	2,720	1,280					
Hanifax	14,801	7,104	7,696	15,512	7,445	8,066	2,018	1,709	12,234				
Roanoke Rapids	11,310	5,428	5,881	4,577	2,197	2,380	846	297					
We ldon	6,125	2,910	3,185	5,450	2,615	2,834	2,146	754					
Harnett	21,837	10,481	11,355	11,428	5,485	5,942	4,06	-0-					
Haywood	16,352	6,540	9,811	7,822	3,128	4,693	889	381					
Henderson	16,411	5,907	10,503	8,760	3,153	5,606	1,646	775					
Hendersonville	6,618	2,382	4,235	3,677	1,323	2,353	1,717	808	11,717				
Hertford	12,183	5,360	6,822	9,002	3,961	5,041	1,975	768					
Hoke	17,691	8,491	9,199	9,356	4,490	4,865	3,618	1,271					
Hudson	12,922	5,686	7,236	4,695	2,066	2,629	961	1,374					
Iredell	21,870	8,748	13,122	4,286	1,714	2,571	350	150					
Hooverville	10,729	4,291	6,437	-0-	-0-	-0-	788	338					
Statesville	15,448	6,181	9,267	3,396	1,358	2,037	1,931	828					
Jackson	8,575	3,086	5,488	7,489	2,696	4,793	889	418					
Johnston	30,232	14,511	15,721	16,808	8,067	8,740	2,446	859					
Jones	15,639	6,881	8,758	7,822	3,442	4,380	-0-	-0-					
Lee/Sanford	19,735	7,893	11,841	11,120	4,448	6,672	1,432	614					
Lenoir	15,449	6,179	9,269	7,263	2,905	4,358	2,989	1,281					
Blinston	14,325	5,729	8,595	7,165	2,866	4,299	903	387					
Lincoln	22,141	9,742	12,399	9,253	4,071	5,182	1,656	644					
Lincoln	14,291	5,145	9,146	7,119	2,573	4,575	788	371					
Madison	15,842	7,604	8,238	7,924	3,803	4,120	975	343					
Martin	15,427	5,553	9,873	7,716	2,778	4,938	946	445					
Robeson	14,672	6,456	8,216	7,686	3,382	4,304	3,018	1,174					
Scotland	121,203	43,633	77,570	68,057	24,500	43,556	3,803	1,790					
Watauga	13,124	4,724	8,399	6,712	2,416	4,296	918	432					

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ESTIMATED ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-1981  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE: 15

		S U B - P A R T 2		S U B - P A R T 4	
DISADVANTAGED		HANDICAPPED		SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED	
ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED		ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED		ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED	
Federal / State	Local	Federal / State	Local	Federal / State	Local
L E A					
Montgomery	22,982	9,849	11,491	2,516	1,078
Moore	26,542	11,375	13,271	2,741	1,175
Nash	29,318	12,565	14,659	6,282	1,233
Rocky Mount	25,750	11,036	12,875	5,518	1,136
New Hanover	28,922	13,610	14,461	6,805	2,651
Northampton	31,302	10,998	15,651	5,499	-0-
Oncslow	28,922	13,610	14,461	6,805	1,090
Orange	20,999	9,882	10,500	4,941	1,332
Chapel Hill	22,583	10,627	11,292	5,314	1,332
Pamlico	31,694	11,136	15,847	5,568	1,206
Pasquotank	29,318	11,401	14,659	5,701	2,831
Pender	30,110	10,579	15,055	5,290	2,562
Pender	25,355	9,860	12,677	4,930	994
Perquimans	24,959	11,745	12,479	5,872	2,112
Person	28,922	12,395	14,461	6,198	3,415
Pitt	24,939	10,697	12,479	5,348	1,200
Greenville	20,603	9,696	10,302	4,848	1,200
Polk	20,603	9,696	10,302	4,848	1,200
Tryon	26,150	11,207	13,075	5,604	1,200
Randolph	22,187	9,509	11,094	4,755	1,200
Asheboro	29,714	10,440	14,857	5,220	1,200
Richmond	34,470	12,111	17,235	6,056	1,200
Robeson	30,110	10,579	15,055	5,290	1,200
Fairmont	26,942	9,466	13,471	4,733	1,074
Lumberton					
Maxton					
Red Springs	26,542	9,326	13,271	4,663	3,191
St. Pauls	26,542	9,326	13,271	4,663	3,191
Rockingham	20,999	9,000	10,500	4,500	2,382
Eden	20,999	9,000	10,500	4,500	2,382
Madison Mayodan	20,999	9,000	10,500	4,500	1,021

TABLE 15

**STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY**

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ESTIMATED ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-1981  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE: 15

		S U B - P A R T 2				S U B - P A R T 4			
		DISADVANTAGED		HANDICAPPED		WORK - STUDY		SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED	
		ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED		ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED		ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED		ESTIMATED FUNDS ALLOTTED	
Federal / State	Local	Federal / State	Local	Federal / State	Local	Federal / State	Local	Federal / State	Local
L E A									
Reidsville	20,999	9,000	10,500	4,500	2,472	1,059			
Rowan	25,355	11,932	12,677	5,966	2,427	1,142			
Salisbury	20,603	9,696	10,302	4,848	2,427	1,142			
Rutherford	29,318	12,565	14,659	6,282	2,876	1,233			
Sampson	29,318	10,301	14,659	5,150	2,966	1,042			
Clinton	24,167	8,491	12,083	4,245	2,921	1,026			
Scotland	26,942	9,466	13,471	4,733	2,966	1,042			
Stanly	22,583	10,627	11,292	5,314	2,382	1,121			
Albemarle	19,415	9,136	9,708	4,568	2,651	1,248			
Stokes	22,583	10,627	11,292	5,314	2,292	1,079			
Surry	28,526	12,225	14,263	6,113	2,786	1,194			
Elkin	20,603	8,830	10,302	4,415	2,337	1,002			
Mount Airy	20,603	8,830	10,302	4,415	2,427	1,040			
Swain	28,922	11,247	14,461	5,624	3,281	1,276			
Transylvania	26,942	12,679	13,471	6,339	2,606	1,226			
Tyrrell	27,734	10,785	13,867	5,393	3,236	1,363			
Union	26,542	11,375	13,271	5,688	2,472	1,059			
Monroe	20,999	9,000	10,500	4,500	2,472	1,059			
Vance	28,526	10,023	14,263	5,011	2,696	947			
Wayne	27,734	13,051	13,867	6,526	2,157	1,015			
Warren	30,902	10,857	15,451	5,429	3,236	1,137			
Washington	29,714	10,440	14,857	5,220	2,742	963			
Watauga	24,563	10,527	12,281	5,263	2,696	1,155			
Wayne	30,506	11,863	15,253	5,932	2,741	1,066			
Goldsboro	27,338	10,631	13,669	5,316	2,831	1,101			
Wilkes	27,734	10,785	13,867	5,393	2,876	1,118			
Wilson	28,526	12,225	14,263	6,113	2,786	1,194			
Yadkin	26,942	11,547	13,471	5,773	2,696	1,155			
Yancey	24,167	9,398	12,083	4,699	2,921	1,136			
TOTAL	3,771,321	1,542,477	1,885,661	771,251	400,000	163,304	387,345	8,592	

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
SECONDARY

TABLE 15

S U B - P A R T 2										S U B - P A R T 4		
DISADVANTAGED					HANDICAPPED					SPECIAL DISADVANTAGED		
		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED
L E A	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local
Reidsville	12,561	5,024	7,536	6,283	2,513	3,770	788			338		
Rowan	18,452	6,642	11,809	9,872	3,553	6,318	774			364		
Salisbury	14,217	5,226	9,291	7,261	2,614	4,647	774			364		
Rutherford	13,663	5,465	8,198	6,835	2,733	4,101	2,318			993		
Simpson	24,389	11,706	12,682	7,299	3,503	3,795	946			332		
Clinton	4,392	2,108	2,284	-0-	-0-	-0-	549			193		
Scotland	13,340	6,403	6,937	6,673	3,202	3,470	3,446			1,211		
Stanly	14,982	5,393	9,588	11,377	4,096	7,281	-0-			-0-		
Albemarle	13,837	4,981	8,856	6,922	2,491	4,430	-0-			-0-		
12 Stokes	12,023	4,328	7,695	6,014	2,165	3,849	1,500			706		
Surry	17,129	6,851	10,277	39,639	15,855	23,783	1,389			595		
Elkin	16,388	6,555	9,833	9,340	7,735	5,604	2,345			1,005		
Hunt Arty	14,817	5,926	8,890	7,054	2,821	4,232	1,774			760		
Sgtain	9,492	4,176	5,215	9,109	4,008	5,101	1,047			407		
Pennsylvania	16,233	5,880	10,453	8,169	2,914	5,228	2,192			1,032		
Tyrell	17,568	7,729	9,838	8,788	3,866	4,921	1,618			629		
Union	12,121	4,848	7,272	6,063	2,425	3,638	1,050			450		
Monroe	12,561	5,024	7,536	-0-	-0-	-0-	3,188			1,366		
Vance	14,852	7,128	7,723	9,143	4,388	6,754	3,360			1,181		
Wata.	111,303	40,069	71,234	79,105	28,477	50,627	4,546			2,139		
Warren	16,468	7,904	8,563	8,237	3,954	4,283	1,032			363		
Washington	16,468	7,904	8,563	8,237	3,954	4,283	1,875			659		
Watauga	14,544	5,817	8,726	7,275	2,910	4,365	2,460			1,054		
Wayne	43,957	19,341	24,616	18,153	7,987	10,166	-0-			-0-		
Goldsboro	13,927	6,127	7,799	6,966	2,065	3,901	903			351		
Wilkes	26,314	11,578	14,736	6,171	2,779	3,481	2,418			940		
Wilson	13,222	5,288	7,933	6,614	2,645	3,968	889			381		
Yadkin	14,102	5,641	8,461	7,054	2,821	4,232	860			369		
Yancey	2,587,478	1,062,483	1,524,917	1,368,995	-0-	-0-	930			362		
TOTAL				561,222	807,791	268,018				111,025	382,650	8,504

TABLE 16:

ESTIMATES OF ALLOTMENTS OF FEDERAL AND  
REQUIRED MATCHING FUNDS BY PURPOSE

POST SECONDARY 1980-1981

	Disad- vantaged	Handi- capped	Subpart 2	Subpart 3	Subpart 4	Total	Percent Federal Funds
Anson Tech. College	800	43,633	21,817	98,181	32,400	196,031	45
Asheville-Buncombe T.C.	802	44,441	22,221	99,999	33,000	5,558	205,219
Beaufort Co. C.C.	804	36,361	18,180	81,818	27,000		163,359
Bladen Tech. College	806	57,639	28,819	129,696	42,800	7,204	266,158
Blue Ridge Tech. Coll.	843	42,289	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,983
Brunswick Tech. College	807						0
Caldwell C.C. & T.I.	808	47,404	23,702	106,666	35,200		212,972
Cape Fear T.Institute	810	46,596	23,298	104,848	34,600	5,828	215,170
Carteret Tech. College	812	49,559	24,779	111,515	36,800	6,197	228,850
Catawba Valley T.C.	814	33,667	16,834	75,757	25,000		151,258
Central Carolina T.C.	816	44,441	22,221	99,999	33,000	5,558	205,219
Central Piedmont C.C.	818	35,822	17,911	80,605	26,600		160,938
Cleveland Co. T.I.	820	44,441	22,221	99,999	33,000		199,661
Coastal Carolina C.C.	858	53,868	26,934	121,212	40,000	6,739	248,753
Coll. of the Albemarle	822	51,713	25,857	116,363	38,400	6,468	238,801
Craven Community Coll.	824	53,868	26,934	121,212	40,000	6,739	248,753
Davidson Co. C.C.	826	40,940	20,470	92,121	30,400		183,931
Durham Tech. Institute	828	40,132	20,066	90,302	29,800		180,300
Edgecombe Tech. Inst.	830	51,175	25,587	115,151	38,000	6,371	236,284
Fayetteville T. Inst.	832	53,868	26,934	121,212	40,000		242,014
Forsyth Tech. Inst.	834	33,667	16,834	75,757	25,000		151,258
Gaston College	836	42,286	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,980
Guilford Tech. Inst.	838	36,361	18,180	81,818	27,000		163,359
Halifax Co. C.C.	840	55,484	27,742	124,848	41,200	6,932	256,206
Haywood Tech. College	842	46,596	23,298	104,848	34,600		209,342
Isothermal C.Coll.	844	46,057	23,029	103,636	34,200	5,732	212,654
James Sprunt T.Coll.	846	51,713	25,857	116,363	38,400		232,333
Johnston Co.T. Inst.	847	48,751	24,375	109,696	36,200		219,022
Lenoir Co. C. Coll.	848	50,367	25,183	113,333	37,400	6,293	232,576
Martin Comm. College	850	36,361	18,180	81,818	27,000	4,551	167,910
Mayland Tech. Coll.	851	58,447	29,223	131,515	43,400	7,281	269,866
McDowell Tech. Coll.	852	51,713	25,857	116,363	38,400	6,468	238,801
Mitchell Comm. College	853	38,785	19,392	87,272	28,800	4,822	179,071
Montgomery Tech. Inst.	854	37,977	18,988	85,454	28,200		170,619
Nash Tech. Institute	856	56,831	28,415	127,878	42,200	7,107	262,431
Pamlico Tech. College	860	60,601	30,301	136,363	45,000	7,571	279,836
Piedmont Tech. College	861	35,822	17,911	80,633	26,600	4,473	165,439
Pitt Community College	862	44,441	22,221	99,999	33,000	5,558	205,219
Randolph Tech. College	864	48,751	24,375	109,696	36,200		219,022
Richmond Tech. Inst.	866	52,521	26,261	118,181	39,000	6,565	242,528
Roanoke-Chowan T.I.	868	51,175	25,587	115,151	38,000	6,371	236,284
Robeson Tech. Inst.	870	65,181	32,589	146,666	48,400	8,110	300,946
Rockingham Comm. Coll.	872	43,094	21,547	96,969	32,000	5,383	198,993
Rowan Tech. College	874	42,286	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,980
Sampson Tech. College	876	54,676	27,338	123,030	40,600	6,836	252,480
Sandhills C. College	878	42,286	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,980
Southeastern C.Coll.	880	53,868	26,934	121,212	40,000		242,014
Southwestern Tech. C.	882	43,094	21,547	96,969	32,000		193,610
Stanly Tech. College	883	42,286	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,980
Surry Comm. College	884	43,094	21,547	96,969	32,000	5,015	198,625
Tech. Coll. of Alamance	886	43,094	21,547	96,969	32,000	5,383	198,993
Tri-County Comm. Coll.	888	52,521	26,261	118,181	39,000	6,565	242,528
Vance-Granville C.C.	889	57,639	28,819	129,696	42,800	7,204	266,158
Wake Tech. College	890	56,292	28,146	126,666	41,800		252,904
Wayne Comm. College	892	51,713	25,857	116,363	38,400		232,333
Western Piedmont C.C.	894	47,404	23,702	106,666	35,200	5,926	218,898
Wilkes Comm. College	896	43,633	21,817	98,181	32,400		196,031
Wilson Co. Tech. Inst.	898	54,676	27,338	123,030	40,600	6,836	252,480
Total		2,693,401	1,346,698	6,060,600	2,000,000	193,644	12,294,343

TABLE 17: ACTUAL DISBTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL AND REQUIRED  
MATCHING FUNDS BY PURPOSE POST SECONDARY AND ADULT

1980-81

		Disad- vantaged	Handi- capped	Subpart 2	Subpart 3	Subpart 4	Total
Anson Tech. College	800	43,633	21,817	98,181	32,400		196,031
Asheville-Buncombe T.C.	802	44,441	22,221	99,999	33,000	5,558	205,219
Beaufort Co. C.C.	804	36,361	18,180	81,818	27,000		163,359
Bladen Tech. College	806	57,639	28,819	129,696	42,800	7,204	266,158
Blue Ridge Tech. Coll.	843	42,289	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,983
Brunswick Tech. College	807						
Caldwell C.C. & T.I.	808	47,404	23,702	106,666	35,200		212,972
Cape Fear T. Institute	810	46,596	23,298	104,848	34,600	5,828	215,170
Carteret Tech. College	812	49,559	24,779	111,515	36,800	6,197	228,850
Catawba Valley T.C.	814	33,667	16,834	75,757	25,000		151,258
Central Carolina T.C.	816	44,441	22,221	99,999	33,000	5,558	205,219
Central Piedmont C.C.	818	35,822	17,911	80,605	26,600		160,938
Cleveland Co. T.I.	820	44,441	22,221	99,999	33,000		199,661
Coastal Carolina C.C.	858	53,868	26,934	121,212	40,000	6,739	248,753
Coll. of the Albemarle	822	51,713	25,857	116,363	38,400	6,468	238,801
Craven Community Coll.	824	53,868	26,934	121,212	40,000	6,739	248,753
Davidson Co. C.C.	826	40,940	20,470	92,121	30,400		183,931
Durham Tech. Institute	828	40,132	20,066	90,302	29,800		180,300
Edgecombe Tech. Inst.	830	51,175	25,587	115,151	38,000	6,371	236,284
Fayetteville T. Inst.	832	53,868	26,934	121,212	40,000		242,014
Forsyth Tech. Inst.	834	33,667	16,834	75,757	25,000		151,258
Gaston College	836	42,286	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,980
Guildford Tech. Inst.	838	36,361	18,180	81,818	27,000		163,359
Halifax Co. C.C.	840	55,484	27,742	124,848	41,200	6,932	256,206
Haywood Tech. College	842	46,596	23,298	104,848	34,600		209,342
Isothermal C.Coll.	844	46,057	23,029	103,636	34,200	5,732	212,654
James Sprunt T.Coll.	846	51,713	25,857	116,363	38,400		232,333
Johnston Co.T. Inst.	847	48,751	24,375	109,696	36,200		219,022
Lenoir Co. C. Coll.	848	50,367	25,183	113,333	37,400	6,293	232,576
Martin Comm. College	850	36,361	18,180	81,818	27,000	4,551	167,910
Mayland Tech. Coll.	851	58,447	29,223	131,515	43,400	7,281	269,866
McDowell Tech. Coll.	852	51,713	25,857	116,363	38,400	6,468	238,801
Mitchell Comm. College	853	38,785	19,392	87,272	28,800	4,822	179,071
Montgomery Tech. Inst.	854	37,977	18,988	85,454	28,200		170,619
Nash Tech. Institute	856	56,831	28,415	127,878	42,200	7,107	262,431
Pamlico Tech. College	860	60,601	30,301	136,363	45,000	7,571	279,836
Piedmont Tech. College	861	35,822	17,911	80,633	26,600	4,473	165,439
Pitt Community College	862	44,441	22,221	99,999	33,000	5,558	205,219
Randolph Tech. College	864	48,751	24,375	109,696	36,200		219,022
Richmond Tech. Inst.	866	52,521	26,261	118,181	39,000	6,565	242,528
Roanoke-Chowan T.I.	868	51,175	25,587	115,151	38,000	6,371	236,284
Robeson Tech. Inst.	870	65,181	32,589	146,666	48,400	8,110	300,946
Rockingham Comm. Coll.	872	43,094	21,547	96,969	32,000	5,383	198,993
Rowan Tech. College	874	42,286	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,980
Sampson Tech. College	876	54,676	27,338	123,030	40,600	6,836	252,480
Sandhills C. College	878	42,286	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,980
Southeastern C.Coll.	880	53,868	26,934	121,212	40,000		242,014
Southwestern Tech. C.	882	43,094	21,547	96,969	32,000		193,610
Stanly Tech. College	883	42,286	21,143	95,151	31,400		189,980
Surry Comm. College	884	43,094	21,547	96,969	32,000	5,015	198,625
Tech. Coll. of Alamance	886	43,094	21,547	96,969	32,000	5,383	198,993
Tri-County Comm. Coll.	888	52,521	26,261	118,181	39,000	6,565	242,528
Vance-Granville C.C.	889	57,639	28,819	129,696	42,800	7,204	266,158
Wake Tech. College	890	56,292	28,146	126,666	41,800		252,904
Wayne Comm. College	892	51,713	25,857	116,363	38,400		232,333
Western Piedmont C.C.	894	47,404	23,702	106,666	35,200	5,926	218,898
Wilkes Comm. College	896	43,633	21,817	98,181	32,400		196,031
Wilson Co. Tech. Inst.	898	54,676	27,338	123,030	40,600	6,836	252,480
Total		2,693,401	1,346,698	6,060,600	2,000,000	193,644	12,294,343

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
 ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
 PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
 SECONDARY

TABLE 18

S U B - P A R T 2				EQUIPMENT			
LEA	NEW PROGRAM			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED
	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	
Alamance							
Burlington							
Alexander							
Alleghany							
Anson							
Ashe							
Avery							
Beaufort							
Washington							
Bertie							
Bladen							
Brunswick							
Buncombe							
Asheville							
Burke							
Cabarrus							
Concord							
Kannapolis							
Caldwell							
Camden							
Carteret							
Caswell							
Catawba							
Hickory							
Newton							

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
 ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
 PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
 SECONDARY

TABLE 18

S U B - P A R T 2				EQUIPMENT			
LEA	NEW PROGRAM			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED
	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	
Chatham				20,000			
Cherokee				20,000			
Chowan				20,000			
Clay				20,000			
Cleveland				20,000			
Kings Mountain				15,000			
Shelby				20,000			
Columbus				20,000			
Whiteville				20,000			
Craven				20,000			
New Bern	25,000			20,000			
Gumbeiland				20,000			
Fayetteville	25,000			20,000			
Currituck				20,000			
Dare				20,000			
Davidson							
Lexington							
Thomasville							
Davie							
Duplin							
Durham County							
Durham City							
Edgecombe							
Tarboro							
Forsyth							

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
 ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
 PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
 SECONDARY

TABLE 18

		S U B - P A R T 2				EQUIPMENT	
		NEW PROGRAM			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		
LEA		Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local
Franklin					20,000		
Franklin					20,000		2,222
Gaston					20,000		
Gates					20,000		
Graham					20,000		
Granville					20,000		
Greene					20,000		1,053
Guilford					20,000		
Greensboro							
High Point							
Hallifax		25,000			20,000		
Roanoke Rapids					12,500		
Weldon					20,000		2,222
Harnett							
Haywood							
Henderson							
Hendersonville							
Hertford						20,000	
Hoke						19,500	
Hyde							
Iredell							
Mooresville							1,053
Statesville							
Jackson							
Johnston						20,000	

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
 ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
 PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
 SECONDARY

TABLE 18

		S U B - P A R T 2				EQUIPMENT				
		NEW PROGRAM			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		
LEA	Federal	State	Local		Federal	State	Local		State	Local
Jones					20,000	20,000			2,222	
Lee/Sanford					20,000	20,000			2,222	
Lenoir										
Kinston										
Lincoln										
Macon					20,000	20,000	20,000		3,529	
Madison					20,000	20,000	20,000		1,053	
Martin					20,000	20,000	20,000		3,529	
McDowell					20,000	20,000	20,000		1,053	
Mecklenburg					20,000	20,000	20,000		3,529	
Mitchell										
Montgomery										
Moore										
Nash										
Rocky Mount										
New Hanover	25,000				20,000	20,000	20,000		3,529	
Northampton	25,000				20,000	20,000	20,000		3,529	
Onslow										
Orange										
Chapel Hill										
Pamlico					20,000	20,000	20,000		1,053	
Pasquotank					20,000	20,000	20,000			
Pender										
Perquimans										
Person										

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
 ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
 PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
 SECONDARY

TABLE 18

		S U B - P A R T 2				EQUIPMENT			
		NEW PROGRAM				ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED			
LEA	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	State	Local	
Pitt					20,000			2,222	
Greenville									
Polk									
Tryon									
Randolph									
Asheboro									
Richmond	25,000								
Robeson					20,000				
Fairmont					20,000				
Lumberton					5,000				
Red Springs						2,000			
St. Pauls						20,000			
Rockingham									
Eden									
Madison-Mayodan									
Reidsville									
Rowan									
Salisbury									
Rutherford									
Sampson	25,000								
Clinton									
Scotland									
Stanly									
Albemarle									
Stokes									

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION  
 ACTUAL ALLOTMENTS BASED ON FORMULAS FOR 1980-81  
 PREPARED ON LATEST AVAILABLE DATA  
 SECONDARY

TABLE 18

		S U B - P A R T 2			EQUIMENT		
		NEW PROGRAM			ACTUAL FUNDS ALLOTTED		
LEA	Federal	State	Local	Federal	State	Local	
Surry	25,000			20,000			2,222
Elkin							
Mount Airy							
Swain				20,000			1,053
Transylvania				20,000			3,529
Tyrrell							
Union							
Monroe				20,000			2,222
Vance					14,800		
Wake					20,000		3,529
Warren				20,000			
Washington				20,000			
Watauga				20,000			
Wayne				20,000			
Goldsboro							1,053
Wilkes					20,000		
Wilson					20,000		1,053
Yadkin					20,000		2,222
Yancey							2,222
TOTAL	225,000			1,291,800			83,579



## Chapter Four

### EVALUATION

#### SUMMARY OF STATE BOARD EVALUATION - SECONDARY

The North Carolina evaluation system builds on and integrates evaluation and data collection activities into a cohesive information system. The total system eventually will be based on individual records of students and teachers, will incorporate student characteristics and in-school experiences, student achievement, follow-up information, program, planning and supportive services reviews.

##### Purposes of Evaluation

Evaluation is intended to document programs' summative short- and long-term effects on students' occupational experience. It also is to identify formative program improvement needs which should be met to assure quality in vocational education. This information will be used as a basis for program improvement and for accountability.

##### Description

The North Carolina evaluation system is described below in terms of each of its components: Student Enrollment, Teacher Data, Student Competency Assessment, Follow-up, Program Review, and Administrative Review.

##### Student Enrollment Component

The Student Enrollment Component consists of a cumulative record for secondary students from the time they enter a program until the time of exit. The individual student records contain information on student characteristics, course enrollment, teachers assigned, and competency levels for each course completed. The record will be updated each year until a student exits. At exit, each record will be completed by the school to show the reason for leaving and the most current mailing address. The individual file will then be removed from current enrollments and placed in a former student follow-up file.

##### Teacher Data Component

Two types of data are collected on individual teachers. One set of data is collected for the purposes of administration and funding. These data include such information as personal data, educational background, teaching experience, certificate rating, and salary by budget code, etc., which is updated annually. The other data is completed by each teacher annually for programmatic purposes and deals primarily with type of assignment and

class schedule and includes subject area taught (or non-teaching activities) and number of students for each period during the day. This Professional Personnel Activity Report enables program area staff to determine a possible need for providing technical assistance especially as it relates to the Program of Studies, for example, scope and sequence, student enrollment, number of classes, and length of course.

#### Student Competency Assessment Component

North Carolina's State Department of Public Instruction (SDPI) has put forth an intensive effort to develop a system for evaluating student achievement. Test items for many occupational areas have been developed by SDPI staff to test student competency levels, both during the course of their education and at the time of exit. As noted, a score for each course completed is added to the student's individual cumulative records. A final competency test score and teacher assessment of work readiness is also added to the individual cumulative files for every student completing the program. Testing procedures are carried out by instructors and other school staff.

#### Follow-Up Survey Component

The first year follow-up survey is based on all "program leavers" and all "program completers." The surveys conducted one year after student exit will request that former students provide information on employment and identify employers. Fifty percent of employers will then be surveyed. A 50% sub-sample of leavers and completers will be contacted again after three and five years of program exit. Responses to each survey will be recorded on the individual student records. When the fifth year follow-up has been completed, a complete history of each student in the sample will be available on individual records, including in-school course enrollment, competency scores, and a longitudinal report on employment experience. These files will be maintained in a central State Information Systems Division by school to indicate the students' occupational records in a detailed way.

#### Status of Students Followed-Up in FY81

Students followed up in FY 1981 were graduates or leavers during 1979-80. Data provided by the Information Systems Division of the State Department of Public Instruction for the federal follow-up report dated June 30, 1981, reflects the status of students; in Table 19, a more detailed status is given.

Area	Total	Military	Sr. College	Jr. College	Bus. College	CC/TI	Other	Seek Work	Not Seek Work	Related		In Other	Part Time	Other
										Seek Work	Not Seek Work			
Agri.	4,890	450	601	133	76	835	17	187	51	1,447	895	59	141	
MDE	6,373	292	883	145	179	744	20	197	185	2,822	544	187	175	
HO	2,430	75	578	55	228	622	13	92	95	269	338	22	43	
OHE	3,072	132	404	70	89	571	18	290	220	694	423	64	97	
BOE	6,596	126	1,323	187	369	1,340	29	282	208	1,778	660	115		
T&I	15,775	1,618	1,724	308	256	2,271	81	561	185	5,238	2,854	255	379	

Table 19. Follow-Up Survey by Program Area and Overall Skill Development Areas

Results of Student Follow-Up from 1979-80, Collected in 1981. (Latest available data).\*

	Ag. Production	Ag. - Non Farm	Marketing and Dist. Ed.	Health Occupations	Occupational Home Ec.	Business and Office Ed.	Trade and Industrial	All Skill Development Programs
	Number Students	Per-cent	Number Students	Per-cent	Number Students	Per-cent	Number Students	Per-cent
Total Completions	1575	100	3315	100	6373	100	2430	100
Status Unknown	18	1.1	123	3.7	175	2.7	43	1.8
Pursuing Further Training	539	34.2	1106	33.4	1951	30.6	1483	61.0
Not Available for Placement	191	12.1	327	9.9	497	7.8	183	7.5
Available for Placement	827	52.6	1759	53.0	3750	58.9	721	29.7
Total Students Available for Placement	827	100	1759	100	3750	100	721	100
Employed in Training Related Field	526	63.6	921	52.3	2822	75.2	269	37.3
Employed in Non-Training Related Field	258	31.2	696	39.6	731	19.5	360	49.9
Unemployed**	43	5.2	142	8.1	197	5.3	92	12.8

\*Source of Data: Federal Follow-up Report By Course (OE 234 (6/29/81))  
 \*\*1980 State Youth Unemployment Rate = 18.6%. NC Employment Security Commission

### Program/Administrative Review Component

The program review, conducted on a five-year cycle, is intended to expand on the statistical profiles and self-study findings to clarify the total picture of program operations.

#### What is Vocational Education Program Review?

Program review is a joint evaluation of the total vocational education delivery system by teachers, local administrators, State Staff consultants, and selected others. The purposes of the review are directed toward ensuring that vocational instruction (1) meets the needs, interests, and abilities of students; (2) satisfies the requirements of the occupation or occupational field in which the training is given; (3) adequately meets the training needs of the community; and (4) meets the requirements set forth by the State Board of Education for the operation of the vocational education programs.

Program review consists of three elements or emphasis areas. The three elements are (1) Planning, (2) Supportive Services, and (3) Instructional Program. Evaluation within each element is facilitated by a separate program review instrument.

The elements of Planning and Supportive Services provide an examination of the administrative and other support functions necessary for the delivery of vocational education instruction. Local personnel involved in these two elements of program review include superintendents, local directors of vocational education, principals, and guidance/counseling/placement personnel. The Instructional Program element of program review provides an examination of the actual delivery of vocational education instruction. Teachers of vocational education are directly involved in this element of program review.

The findings of program review are analyzed by the staff of the Division of Vocational Education, with the results of the analysis used to:

- ° Help local educators in reviewing objectives, establishing priorities, and making plans for future improvements;
- ° Help satisfy the requirements for accountability to students, parents, community, and government;
- ° Identify program components which need additional emphasis or modification;
- ° Give visibility to innovative programs and practices;
- ° Provide an opportunity for exchange of ideas and information about vocational education program management; and

- ° Provide direction to the Division of Vocational Education in the allocation of resources and the development of products and services for the resolution of statewide programming problems.

In short, the purposes of program review is program improvement. Through a cooperative effort of self-assessment, review, and consultative assistance, positive steps can be taken toward the continuation of program aspects that are positive and the identification of solutions to overcome program deficiencies.

#### Why Is Program Review Being Conducted?

The primary purpose of the evaluation is program improvement. To accomplish this purpose, vocational education programs must be assessed in order to identify those areas where improvement can be most effectively implemented.

Both P. L. 94-482 (Vocational Education Amendments Act of 1976) and the North Carolina General Statutes provide mandates for program improvement through evaluation and accountability in vocational education. P. L. 94-482 requires states to evaluate programs (1) to assist LEAs in operating the best possible programs of vocational education and (2) to revise the state's programs of vocational education. North Carolina General Statutes, Chapter 115, require the State Board of Education to ensure that a system of continuing qualitative and quantitative evaluation of all vocational education programs, services, and activities shall be established, maintained, and utilized periodically. Legislative mandates, however, only lend added impetus to the notion long held by vocational educators that valid program evaluation is the only defensible ground on which rational program decision makers can operate.

Program review is one component of the overall plan to provide an evaluation of vocational education in North Carolina. By itself, program review is not a comprehensive evaluation. Other components of the overall plan for evaluation are necessary to provide information about vocational education programs in terms of characteristics of enrollees, characteristics of teachers and support personnel, employment success of former students, employer evaluation of former students, and proficiency levels of students.

#### How Does Program Review Work?

Program review is an on-site examination method--basically a process review of operational capabilities. It is a vocational education program review and not an institutional evaluation. Support services are viewed only as they impact on a specific program. The method is both quantitative and qualitative in nature, has moderate structure, is semi-formal in approach, and is considered an internal control. Key features include a self-study by local vocational education teachers, support personnel, and administrators, an on-site review by state staff and selected others, an exit

interview with local personnel responsible for the programs, and a written report of the findings including a narrative description of observed strengths and deficiencies and recommendations for improvement. Local education agency personnel will focus on strategies for program improvement which can be implemented immediately and those which need additional study and/or resources before improvement can be accomplished. A plan for program improvement and the progress to date will be reported in the Local Education Agency Vocational Education Annual Application for State/Federal Funding the year following the review.

#### Program Reviews for FY1981

During FY81, 30 local education agencies were involved in program review. As indicated earlier, instruments were provided for each person involved in the process. Each instrument consisted of relevant standards and criterion statements within each standard. During the four-week period of self-study, the local staff rated themselves on a scale of 1 to 4 for each criterion statement and then the overall standard. In each case, 1 = met, 2 = minimally met, 3 = not met, and 4 = does not apply.

Following the self-study, the reviewers, e.g. state staff, teacher educators, local administrators, and teachers, verified the ratings based on documentation of evidence found during the on-site review.

Results of the FY81 program review are reflected in quantitative terms for each standard within each element, plus the average rating of all criterion within the standard. (See Program Review data analysis).

Quantitative data and narrative reports are returned to local agencies through the regional vocational coordinator. Local units use the results of the data to improve the quality of vocational programs. Each local education agency has the prerogative of determining the dissemination process and how to utilize the data for program improvement.

---

Two local vocational education directors shared the procedures followed in utilizing the findings from the review as a basis for improvement. They are as follows:

In order to ensure maximum and prompt utilization of program review data, one local director utilized the following procedures:

1. Took specific notes during the exit conference at the conclusion of the on-site reviews.
2. Assessed identified needs and, as soon as possible thereafter, scheduled, in priority order, meetings by departments within each school. The principal was invited to attend these sessions; if there were sensitive areas, his/her attendance

was essential. Whenever sensitive areas were applicable to only one teacher in the program area, it was suggested that general areas of concern and commendation be discussed during this meeting with all teachers. Immediately following, and as a part of this same meeting, individual teachers were conferred privately. These school program area meetings are more effective if an informal atmosphere is maintained and an opportunity is provided for open discussion and assessment of the entire program review process. Planning to correct standards which were cited as "minimally met" or "not met" was also a part of the format of these sessions.

3. When the written "Program Review Evaluation Report" was received, copies of specific sections were made and distributed as follows:

- a. Principals: All general sections and all data pertaining to his/her school.
- b. Teacher/Vocational Guidance/Placement Personnel: All general sections and all data pertaining to the program area for the school to which the individual is assigned.

A cover letter stressing the importance of the report for immediate corrective action, whenever possible, for budgetary consideration and for long-range planning was included with the dissemination of copies. This letter also included the information that written responses to the recommendations by program areas by schools would be included in the "Annual Application" for the next school year and for ensuing years until all standards were met.

Follow-up conference with groups or with individuals was scheduled whenever it was believed such meetings would be helpful.


4. At the beginning of the next school year, the following activities occurred:

- a. Inservice new vocational education personnel, principals, and administrators concerning the program review process and follow-up procedures.
- b. Remind all personnel to pull the report data from their files, review the information, and utilize the needs cited in established priorities for equipment and material purchase requisitions as well as for other activities.

- c. Distribute to all vocational educators copies of the format for the evaluation report response which would be included in the "Annual Application" for the next fiscal year.
  - d. Encourage all personnel to share the evaluation report with their respective advisory committees.
5. The local director reviewed all purchase requisitions and established purchasing priorities that were commensurate with needs which were cited in the evaluation report.
  6. The local director ensured that principals and administrators were cognizant of the implications of the standards which comprised the review process and of the essentiality of using this information in current and long-range decision making.
  7. Informal assessments were made continuously in order to determine progress toward correcting deficiencies and to establish procedures for the process.

The procedure used by another local director was as follows: The Program Review Evaluation Report was mailed in the spring and jointly reviewed by the regional vocational coordinator and the local director of vocational education. A copy of the information for each school was mailed to the principal with a request to discuss this information with each teacher and set objectives for 1980-81 to accomplish the desired changes. A copy of the Program Area Evaluation Report was also mailed to each teacher again with the request to work with the principal in setting objectives. The local director met with each principal in the fall of 1980-81 to finalize objectives for the 1980-81 school year. Following is a diagram of the procedure:

1980-81 FOLLOWUP PROCEDURES FOR  
'79-80 VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAM REVIEW



**NOTE:** This procedure could be utilized in any LEA. It is an ongoing process in this county.

Table 20. Results of the FY81 Program Review

Program Review Data Analysis by Standard and Criterion Statements for EACH Element in the Program Review Process. Expressed by mean scores - criteria/mean score/standard. 1980-81

Element	Mean Score	
	Criterion	Standard
<u>Planning Element</u>		(N=30)
1. Planning	1.33	1.37
2. Advisory Groups/Relevant Others	1.39	1.59
3. Documentation of Policies/Procedures/Plans	1.33	1.40
4. Implementation of Policies/Procedures/Plans	1.43	1.44
OVERALL MEAN SCORE		1.42
<u>Supportive Services Element</u>		(N=25)
1. Student Eligibility, Enrollment	1.42	1.56
2. Professional Development	1.28	1.32
3. Fiscal	1.08	1.12
4. Information Collection	1.39	1.36
5. Guidance, Counseling, Placement	1.66	1.80
6. Sex Equity	1.49	1.52
7. Evaluation	1.76	1.63
OVERALL MEAN SCORE		1.46
<u>Instructional Program Element Disadvantaged/Handicapped</u>		(N=74)
1. This program is based on current and projected occupational opportunities and student interests and needs.	1.54	1.66
2. Proper procedures are used to enroll only those students eligible for participation in this program.	1.25	1.30
3. Established program admission procedures are in evidence and facilitate accomplishment of program competencies.	1.20	1.29
4. Adequate provisions exist to promote sex equity and to eliminate sex bias, stereotyping, and discrimination.	1.10	1.15
5. Program competencies are used and encompass sufficient scope.	1.37	1.38
6. Organized learning experiences are provided to meet program competencies.	1.13	1.14
7. Organized cooperative learning experiences are provided to meet program competencies.	1.47	1.42
8. Adequate and appropriate provisions exist to accommodate disadvantaged students.	1.18	1.21
9. Adequate and appropriate provisions exist to accommodate handicapped students.	1.22	1.20
10. Instructional materials and consumable supplies are adequate and appropriate to meet program competencies.	1.21	1.28
11. Tools and equipment are fully operational and used to meet program competencies.	1.24	1.39
12. The facility provides space and learning stations to meet program competencies.	1.60	1.49
13. Adequate provisions exist for the safety and health of students and teachers.	1.36	1.43
14. The student-teacher ratio permits each student to attain program competencies.	1.24	1.35
15. Supervisory, administrative, and consultative personnel provide assistance for program improvement.	1.21	1.35
OVERALL MEAN SCORE		1.34

Table 20. (cont'd) Results of the FY81 Program Review

Program Review Data Analysis by Standard and Criterion Statements for EACH Element in the Program Review Process. Expressed by mean scores - criteria/mean score/standard. 1980-81 (continued)

Element	Mean Score	
	Criterion	Standard
<u>Instructional Program Element</u>		(N=1,274)
1. This program is based on current and projected occupational opportunities and student interests and needs.	1.48	1.72
2. Curriculum relevance is maintained by advice and support from business, industry, and the community.	1.74	1.78
3. Courses within this program are offered in a sequence described in the <u>Program of Studies</u> or are offered according to an annually approved modification plan.	1.14	1.20
4. Students are provided necessary information and assistance to make occupational and educational decisions appropriate to their needs and interests.	1.41	1.56
5. Established program admission procedures are in evidence and facilitate accomplishment of program competencies.	1.43	1.46
6. The vocational student organization is maintained as an integral part of this program.	1.68	1.69
7. Adequate provisions exist to promote sex equity and to eliminate sex bias, stereotyping, and discrimination.	1.19	1.27
8. Program competencies are used and encompass sufficient scope.	1.25	1.35
9. Organized learning experiences are provided to meet program competencies.	1.19	1.21
10. Organized cooperative learning experiences are provided to meet program competencies.	1.42	1.37
11. Adequate and appropriate provisions exist to accommodate disadvantaged students.	1.38	1.47
12. Adequate and appropriate provisions exist to accommodate handicapped students.	1.53	1.66
13. Instructional materials and consumable supplies are adequate and appropriate to meet program competencies.	1.40	1.47
14. Tools and equipment are fully operational and used to meet program competencies.	1.34	1.45
15. The facility provides space and learning stations to meet program competencies.	1.47	1.51
16. Adequate provisions exist for the safety and health of students and teachers.	1.36	1.44
17. The student-teacher ratio permits each student to attain program competencies.	1.26	1.45
18. Supervisory, administrative, and consultative personnel provide assistance for program improvement.	1.27	1.41
OVERALL MEAN SCORE	1.49	

UTILIZING RESULTS LOCALLY  
REPORTING PROGRAMS AND PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS MADE  
AS A RESULT OF PROGRAM REVIEWS

As noted earlier, each local education agency receiving a program review reports progress and accomplishments in the Local Education Agency Application for State/Federal Funding.

In North Carolina, the state does not operate any vocational programs. Funds are allocated to local education agencies on a formula basis. The local agency, in turn, plans and implements programs. As stated through program review, the intent is to improve the quality of vocational offerings and to ensure compliance. Thus recommendations are made by reviewers which may fall within three different categories; namely, (a) resources are being utilized which do not adhere to federal/state rules and regulations; (b) activities are not in compliance with established State Board of Education policies; and (c) activities are planned which may improve programs. The recommendations which fall within the category of compliance with federal/state rules and regulations or state board policies can be enforced; however, other recommendations may be accepted/rejected and acted upon as local administrators deem feasible, useful, and/or possible. State consultants exert leadership and, through their expertise in making usable, defensible recommendations, may be implemented, which will improve the quality of programs.

A LISTING OF SOME ACCOMPLISHMENTS REPORTED IN THE FY81 LOCAL EDUCATION AGENCY ANNUAL APPLICATION FOR STATE/FEDERAL FUNDING follows:

- ° Established active vocational student organization
- ° Program moved to new facilities which meet all state and federal standards
- ° Program changed to correct scope and sequence
- ° Live projects begun in recommended areas
- ° Provisions are now adequate to accomodate handicapped students
- ° Class size reduced to meet standards in Program of Studies
- ° Advisory councils formed for program areas
- ° Moved course from mobile classroom to permanent classroom in building
- ° A full-time vocational guidance and placement person has been employed

- ° Teachers now using a more comprehensive method of follow-up
- ° A computer terminal has been supplied to make employment data more readily available
- ° Employed special teachers to work with handicapped students
- ° Greater emphasis placed on encouraging special needs students to participate in vocational student organization
- ° Shop has been cleaned and unnecessary items removed
- ° Funds made available for the procurement or development of more appropriate materials for special needs students
- ° Equipment has been repaired and guards provided
- ° Storage space for lab will be acquired during summer
- ° Modifications in instructional strategies have been made for disadvantaged students. Also, additional support services are now provided
- ° Health and safety conditions are improved; remaining recommendations are dependent upon resources available from federal, state, or local resources
- ° Course added based on community needs and student interest
- ° Provided co-op coordinator more time to visit students on the job
- ° Safety glasses now available and used
- ° Completed survey of ninth grade students; as a result have begun electrical program
- ° Developed staff development plan of 20 hours for each teacher
- ° Provided first aid kits for all classes
- ° Board allocated funds for new shop facility which is under construction
- ° Teachers are visiting industries in respective areas of student employment for curriculum relevance
- ° An exhaust system has been installed
- ° A budget request has been submitted to Board of Education and to County Commissioners for new classroom and shop facilities

- ° Program phased out as recommended
- ° Have organized an advisory committee
- ° Ramps and bathroom facilities installed to accomodate handicapped students
- ° A systemwide curriculum bulletin has been developed describing programs, registration procedures, and equal access
- ° Have made sure that literature on registration does not use discriminatory language. Have encouraged non-traditional sex roles in the literature; however, the majority of students still choose traditional roles in our program

#### STATE STAFF UTILIZATION OF DATA FROM PROGRAM REVIEWS FOR VOCATIONAL PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT

Data from the program reviews is analyzed by the state staff and may be utilized in the improvement of vocational educational programs at the secondary level in the following manner:

- ° Providing technical assistance to teachers and/or administrators in areas of weakness
- ° Plan strategies for staff development
- ° Develop, adapt, and/or update curriculum materials for particular areas
- ° Develop new policies or procedures
- ° Determine and project needs for vocational personnel related to inservice and preservice
- ° Determine additional fiscal needs upon which to base request to State Legislature
- ° Provide different publics the State of the Art in vocational education
- ° Reduction, redirection, and/or expansion of particular programs
- ° Written reports and quantitative data serve as the needs assessment for vocational education in the state accreditation process
- ° Address some of the major weaknesses and plan programs at the Annual Summer Conference in an attempt to overcome recognized problem areas resulting from on-site reviews of programs

Following are examples of sessions conducted at the FY1981 Annual Summer Conference which addressed weaknesses in the 1980-81 program reviews:

- "New enrollment data collection system"
- "State of the arts in using evaluation results to improve vocational education programs in North Carolina"
- "Evaluating (and improving) personnel performance"
- "Vocational careers - jobs: seeking, finding, keeping"
- "Achieving sex equity in Business and Office Education"
- "Working with special needs students"
- "Working with an advisory committee"
- "Developing an EFFECTIVE local advisory committee"
- "New techniques for mainstreaming"
- "VOCATIONAL STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS: A motivating teaching tool"
- "LETS TEACH THE CHILD: A look at competency-based education and IEP's"
- "Identifying career goals and vocational assessment"
- "Vocational student organizations"
- "Career counseling and placement for handicapped and disadvantaged students: Demonstration Project"
- "Civil rights guidelines for vocational education"
- "Special needs students - guidance"
- "Collaboration efforts - education, business, and the community"
- "Follow-up, a means of evaluating vocational programs"
- "Peer counseling - a technique for promoting vocational counseling"
- "Identifying career goals and vocational strategies"

A comparison of the sessions conducted with the results reflected in the quantitative data clearly indicates one way the state staff utilizes results from program reviews for program improvement.

Additionally, it is the responsibility of each consultant to advise the appropriate section heads and director of vocational education of misuse of resources and/or violation of policies. Appropriate action is taken with the local agency, and the situation is usually corrected on a voluntary basis.

Each program area reviewer is responsible for follow-up in the local education agencies reviewed to determine the extent to which the recommendations have been implemented and/or whether additional technical assistance is needed. Limited resources necessitate that the regional vocational coordinator visit programs and advise appropriate consultants of additional assistance needed. Also, local directors may request further assistance from the state staff in the form of individual visits, staff development, curriculum materials or information.

## EVALUATION OF POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION, 1980-81

### Program Offerings

#### Curriculum Programs

More than 200 curriculum programs are offered in the post-secondary system for training individuals in technical (associate degree) and vocational (long-term adult) programs. Annually, data indicating the number of students enrolled in each curriculum is reported in the Accountability Report. The enrollment data for 1980-81 will appear in the FY 1981 Accountability Report which is currently in preparation.

Preliminary, summary data is reported in the following tables.

**Table 1. Curriculum Enrollment 1980-81**

	FT	%	PT	%	TOTAL
Technical	36,164	44.9	44,442	55.1	80,606
Vocational	<u>17,903</u>	<u>54.9</u>	<u>14,730</u>	<u>45.1</u>	<u>32,633</u>

Total	54,067	47.7	59,172	52.3	113,239
-------	--------	------	--------	------	---------

The number of students attending part-time (PT) has been increasing gradually over the past years. This indicates an increasing number of students are enrolling part-time in programs to increase their proficiency in a present job, qualify for advancement or seeking new skills to qualify for a new job opportunity. The average age of a typical post-secondary student is older than the typical high school graduate. Many students must be employed to finance an education and support themselves and possibly a family. Table 2 indicates the employment status of students but the data does not indicate the type of job held.

**Table 2. Employment Status 1980-81**

	FT	%	PT	%	Not Employed	%
Technical	37,322	46.3	15,288	19.0	27,996	34.7
Vocational	<u>13,072</u>	<u>40.1</u>	<u>4,693</u>	<u>14.4</u>	<u>14,868</u>	<u>45.5</u>

Total	50,394	44.5	19,981	17.6	42,864	37.8
-------	--------	------	--------	------	--------	------

Students enrolled in curriculum programs are representative of the adult population of the State. However, data will vary between institutions because of the population make-up of area of the State in which the institution is located. Table 3 indicates the data of curriculum enrollment by race by sex.

**Table 3. Curriculum Enrollment (Race by Sex) 1980-81**

	Technical				Vocational			
	Male	%	Female	%	Male	%	Female	%
White	26,917	43.8	34,475	56.2	16,422	71.8	6,449	28.2
Black	6,298	39.0	9,852	61.0	5,764	67.6	2,759	32.4

Indian	258	35.9	460	64.1	410	67.7	196	32.3
Hispanic	975	49.8	984	50.2	372	69.0	167	31.0
Asian	227	58.7	160	41.3	71	75.5	23	24
Non-White	<u>7,758</u>	<u>40.8</u>	<u>11,456</u>	<u>59.6</u>	<u>6,617</u>	<u>67.8</u>	<u>3,145</u>	<u>3</u>
Total (White & Non-White)	34,675	43.0	45,931	57.0	23,039	70.6	9,594	29.4

The data in Table 3 indicates a lower than expected participation in vocational programs by females. Longitudinal studies indicate this is changing. Women have equal access to vocational programs and the barriers are being broken by women selecting training in non-traditional programs. Programs such as the Human Resource Development programs and Displaced Homemaker programs are assisting in this change. However, the data for those programs are not reflected in the data for Table 3.

In 1979, a study done by North Carolina State University on a profile of post-secondary students included this information on a typical technical and vocational student.

"Technical student - the typical technical student is a 24 year old single, engaged or married female. If single she lives with her parents; if married, she lives with her spouse. In either case, she resides in the same county in which her institution is located. She probably works full-time to supplement her spouse's or parent's income, and her primary income was between \$10,000 and \$12,000 in 1978."... "She enrolls in two to four courses per quarter and attends classes on the main campus during the day." "Vocational student - the typical vocational student is a 25 year old, married, white male, and probably not a military veteran. He lives with his spouse, works full time and his 1978 family income was between \$9,000 and \$11,000. This head of household most likely works in a craft occupation."... "He typically attends class for approximately 20 hours per week on the main campus during the day."

In addition to employment, other means of support are provided to assist students to get an education. Table 4 indicates other support by category and the number of students receiving that support.

Table 4. Student Support by Category

	Technical	Vocational
CETA	1,488	1,101
Scholarship	1,183	312
Survivor Educational Benefits	1,509	560
Veterans Benefits	12,725	5,643
Vocational Rehabilitation	316	223
Work Study	598	191
Other Subsidized Program	6,254	2,632
Prison Inmate	<u>90</u>	<u>2,021</u>
Total	24,163	12,683

## Occupational Extension Programs

Occupational extension courses are offered to individuals as supplemental training for a job presently held or preparatory for a new job. Table 5 indicates enrollment data for occupational extension courses (continuing education) for 1980-81. This data is duplicated data between program categories. Unduplicated data will be available at a later date.

Table 5. Occupational Extension 1980-81

	Male	Female	White	Non White	Prepara-tory	Supple-mental	Total
Technical	2,782	614	2,834	562	521	2,875	3,396
Agriculture	2,375	1,829	3,706	498	512	3,692	4,204
Distribution	8,968	12,568	16,525	5,011	4,974	16,562	21,536
Health & Safety	26,603	30,767	48,536	8,834	11,219	46,151	57,370
Home Economics	4,223	61,415	51,070	14,568	8,627	57,011	65,638
Office	9,732	22,121	23,132	8,721	8,093	23,760	31,853
Trade & Industrial	64,090	22,943	78,816	13,217	14,324	72,709	87,033
Apprenticeship	2,145	280	2,020	405	569	1,856	2,425
HRD	1,155	3,139	1,818	2,476	2,297	1,997	4,294
New Industry	1,529	1,195	2,192	532	1,546	1,178	2,724
Expand Industry	298	110	341	67	80	328	408
Other	3,117	4,738	4,568	3,287	4,101	3,754	7,855

### Accreditation

Institutional and program quality is assessed through the process of initial and periodic reaffirmation of accreditation through the Commission of Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. All but one of the 58 post-secondary institutions have received initial accreditation and the newest institution (Brunswick Technical College) is currently involved in obtaining candidate status. During 1979-80, four institutions had visiting committees in connection with reaffirming their accreditation. An additional five institutions were involved during 1979-80 in self studies which are required in reaffirmation of accreditation.

### Program Accreditation

In addition to accreditation by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, programs are subjected to additional third party evaluations by professional associations or agencies.

There are forty-two curriculum programs in the Community College System eligible for external accreditations or approvals. Twenty of these programs require accreditations or approval prior to implementation of the curriculum or prior to the first graduating class in order for the graduate to be eligible to sit for a license or certification exam or be eligible for certification in the field of work. All curriculums in the Community College System that have mandatory external accreditations or approval requirements have met the standards of the agency and are approved.

Twenty-two curriculum programs are eligible for optional accreditation or approval from professional associations and accrediting organizations. Many institutions in the System have received accreditation for individual curriculum programs to enhance the prestige of the program. The cost of seeking individual program accreditation has been the major obstacle in seeking optional program accreditation.

Appendix 1(a) provides a list of all curriculum programs in the System that are eligible for accreditation or approval, whether mandatory or optional, and the number of programs and list of institutions where approved.

Appendix 1(b) lists each institution in the System. Fifty-seven of the institutions have one or more curriculum programs approved by an external accrediting or approval agency. Brunswick Technical College does not offer any curriculum programs eligible for external accreditation or approval.

#### Results of Licensure Examinations

The Department of Community Colleges receives data from the North Carolina Board of Nursing on practical nursing examination scores and registered nursing scores, data from the North Carolina State Board of Cosmetic Art on cosmetology examination scores, and data from the North Carolina Real Estate Licensing Board on the real estate brokers and the real estate salesman licensing exams. Other agencies that conduct examinations of graduates provide this data to each individual institution.

In July, 1981, there were 768 graduates of the Associate Degree and Nursing Education Options programs that took the registered nursing examination. Five hundred ninety-three, or 77%, passed the examination on the first taking of the exam. A summary of this data is included in Appendix 2. In April, 1981, 116 practical nursing education graduates took the examination for licensed practical nursing. Ninety-one percent (105 persons) passed the exam. (Appendix 3)

There are 52 community college institutions approved to offer courses for real estate salesman and real estate brokers. Five of these institutions have approved associate degree curriculums while the remainder are approved as continuing education courses. The data from the Real Estate Licensing Board on test takers is not separated between curriculum and continuing education. During 1980-81, 57% of those taking the salesman exam passed while 40% of those taking the brokers exam passed. Appendix 4 gives more detailed information on these results.

Information on students taking the cosmetology licensing exam was available for 1979. Three hundred forty-two graduates took the exam in 1979. Seventy-nine percent passed. Appendix 5 provides information on cosmetology examination results.

## Faculty and Staff Qualifications

One measure of program evaluation is the qualification of staff and faculty. In Table 6 the highest level of education attained and the average years of experience for full-time faculty members is shown for 1980-81. The highest frequency of educational level of the total number is the Master's Degree. The second highest frequency of educational level of the total number is the Bachelor's Degree. The years of experience range from 5 to 16 years.

In Table 7, comparable data is shown for faculty hired on a part-time basis of this group, a larger number held a Bachelor's Degree.

The qualifications and expertise of the staff of an institution contributes to the effectiveness and quality of the programs offered. In Table 8 and Table 9 the highest level of education attained and the average years of experience for full-time and part-time staff is indicated.

## Guidance - Counseling Services

Counseling is a very important function in the institutions. In addition to academic counseling, the counselors must provide services in the area of admissions, testing (interpretation of various tests), financial aid, student activities and career planning and placement. They must also be aware of counseling services available in the community for those students who could benefit from these services.

Each institution has assembled a competent staff, as indicated by the statistics above, which show the academic training of each member of the student services staff. The institutions make a strong effort to see that each staff member is afforded the opportunity to attend professional conferences and workshops each year. In addition, many institutions conduct their own staff development workshops to keep their professional staff members informed of new developments in their fields.

## Facilities

All but one of the post-secondary institutions (Brunswick Technical College) is located on a permanent campus. A total of 19 construction projects were brought forward from previous years into fiscal year 1980-81. Thirteen of these projects were completed during the year at a cost of \$16,411,050 and added 350,674 square feet of space to facilities in the system. Seventeen projects were started during the year. Therefore, at the end of the year 1980-81, 23 projects remained active with an anticipated cost of \$16,507,567.

## Cooperative Education

The development of additional cooperative education programs has produced a corresponding increase in enrollments in these programs. Cooperative education programs have been expanded to include cooperative work experience in agriculture, distribution, health, occupational home economics office, engineering technologies and trade and industrial curriculum programs. During 1979-80 3,244 students were enrolled in cooperative education experiences. The data for 1980-81 is not available as yet. We would expect the enrollment to be approximately the same number.

Table 6

## FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT TFCH AND VOC - Faculty

		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		TOTAL		YRS		AVG YRS EXP'N			
GROUP SFX		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
2	1	1				1		1		1		1		26	172	15	215	2,552.0	11.9		
2	2													40	160	5	206	1,912.0	9.3		
	GROUP TOTAL	1				1		1		1		1		66	332	20	421	4,464.0	10.6		
3	1	1	2			1		2		1		28		30	3	68	860.0	12.6			
3	2													1	1	7	36.0	5.1			
	GROUP TOTAL	1	2			1		5		2		29		31	4	75	896.0	11.9			
4	1			1	3	2	1		2	1		17	18	1	46	557.0	12.1				
4	2											4	5	1	9	48.0	5.3				
	GROUP TOTAL	1	3		2	1		2		1		21		23	1	55	605.0	11.0			
5	1																				
5	2																				
	GROUP TOTAL	3	5		5	16	1	7	17	28	22	31	236	108	2	420	4,504.0	10.7			
6	1																				
6	2																				
	GROUP TOTAL	1	2		1	1		1		1		1		17	20	2	40	327.0	8.2		
7	1																				
7	2																				
	GROUP TOTAL	1																			
8	1																				
8	2																				
	GROUP TOTAL	6	4	1	6	6	25	4	4	94	74	74	12	226	3,163.0	14.0					
9	1																				
9	2																				
	GROUP TOTAL	9	154	5	28	19	129	39	73	30	99	75	624	10+137.0	16.2						
FINAL TOTAL	10	170	41	1	168	60	145	79	686	931	67	2,357	30,101.0	12.8							
142 RECORDS TOTLED																					

2,357 RECORDS TOTLED

A

Key to Column Headings

Group - Faculty

2. Vocational-Technical Academic

3. Agriculture

4. Distribution &amp; Marketing

5. Health

6. Home Economics

7. Office

8. Engineering &amp; Science

9. Trade &amp; Industrial

10. Doctor's Degree

Sex

1. Male

2. Female

Achieve

1. Less than High School

2. H.S. Graduate

3. One year of college

4. Vocational-Diploma

5. Two years of college

6. Associate-Degree

7. Three-Four Years of college

8. Bachelor's Degree

9. Master's Degree

10. Doctor's Degree

Table 7

1980-81 STAFF INFORMATION SUMMARY

## PART-TIME EMPLOYMENT TECH AND VOC - Faculty

PAGE 1

GROUP	SEX	ACHIEVE	TOTAL	YRS	Avg yrs exper						
GROUP TOTAL		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2	2										
4	1										
5	2										
8	1										
9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4.0	4.0
9	2									4.0	4.0
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4.0	4.0
FINAL TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15.0	15.0
22 RECORDS TABULATED											

KEY TO COLUMN HEADINGS FOR TABLES 8 & 9

**Group - Faculty**

- 2. Vocational - Technical Academic
- 3. Agriculture
- 4. Distribution & Marketing
- 5. Health
- 6. Home Economics
- 7. Office
- 8. Engineering & Science
- 9. Trade & Industrial

**Sex**

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

**General Administration**

- 00 Administrative Assistant
- 01 Accountant/Bookkeeper
- 02 Business Manager/VP For Admin. Serv.
- 04 President
- 05 Vice President

**Instruction**

- 06 Dean/Vice President of Instruction

**Curriculum**

- 08 Dean/Director of Academic Programs
- 09 Dean/Director of Occupational Programs

**Instructor/Professor - Curriculum**

- 11 College Transfer
- 12 General Education
- 13 Technical
- 14 Vocational
- 10 Instructional Assistants/Aides

**Extension**

- 15 Area Coordinator
- 17 Dean/Director of Adult Education/  
Continuing Education/Extension
- 18 Instructional Assistants/Aides

**Achieve**

- 1. Less than High School
- 2. H.S. Graduate
- 3. One year of college
- 4. Vocational Diploma
- 5. Two years of college
- 6. Associate Degree
- 7. Three-Four Years of College
- 8. Bachelor's Degree
- 9. Master's Degree
- 10. Doctor's Degree

**Instruction, Extension**

- Instructor - Extension**
- 19 Academic
- 20 Adult Basic
- 21 Adult High School
- 22 CETA (Comprehensive Employment Training Act)
- 23 Community Cultural/Visiting Artist
- 24 Human Resources Development
- 25 New and Expanding Industry
- 26 Occupational Extension
- 27 Recreational Extension

**Learning Resources**

- 28 Dean/Director of Learning Resources

**Library**

- 30 Librarian
- 31 Library Assistant

**Individualized Instruction Center/Learning Laboratory**

- 33 Coordinator of IIC/LL
- 34 Laboratory Assistant

**Student Services**

- 36 Counselor
- 37 Counselor Associate
- 38 Dean/Director/Vice President  
of Student Services
- 39 Financial Aid
- 40 Placement/Follow-Up
- 41 Recruitment/Registrar
- 42 Testing
- 43 Veterans Coordinator

KEY TO COLUMN HEADING FOR TABLES 8 & 9 (cont'd)

Plant Operations and Maintenance

- 45 Custodial/Housekeeping
- 46 Director/Supervisor
- 47 Maintenance Mechanic
- 48 Security

General Institution Support

- 49 Audiovisual
- 51 Computing Center Director
- 52 Computing Center Analyst/Operator/Programmer
- 53 Coordinator of Affirmative Action/Civil Rights Compliance
- 54 Equipment Coordinator/Purchasing/Supplies
- 55 Evening Director
- 56 Institutional Development/Public Information Officer
- 57 Institutional Research
- 58 Mail/Transportation
- 59 Personnel Director
- 60 Printing
- 61 Receptionist/Switchboard Control

Auxillary Enterprises

- 62 Bookstore
- 63 Food Services

Clerical

- 64 Clerical-All

TABLE 8

## 1980-81 STAFF INFORMATION SUMMARY

## FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT ALL

		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		TOTAL		YRS		
GROUP	SEX	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	AVG. YRS EXPER.
00	1	1						2	1	9	15	2							29	261.0
00	2							6	1	7	1								9.0	
GROUP TOTAL		3	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	16	17	3							23	262.0
01	1																		11.4	
01	2																		12.7	
GROUP TOTAL		29	26	7	12	27	3	10		15									523.0	
02	1																		10.1	
02	2																		10.4	
GROUP TOTAL		30	28	7	13	30	3	15		15									523.0	
04	1																		8.3	
04	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		3	1			1		2	1	2	1	1							8.3	
05	1																		8.3	
05	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		1																	8.3	
06	1																		8.3	
06	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		1																	8.3	
08	1																		8.3	
08	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		1																	8.3	
09	1																		8.3	
09	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		1																	8.3	
10	1																		8.3	
10	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		5	9	2	3	2	2	6	21	2	9	5							8.3	
11	1																		8.3	
11	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		6	10	5	8	23	6	12	5										8.3	
12	1																		8.3	
12	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	16	16	40	65						8.3	
13	1																		8.3	
13	2																		8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		2	3	10	9	13	4	24	14	265	413	15							8.3	
14	1	0	151	22	127	39	67	23	90	57	6								8.3	
14	2	1	5	9	32	8	12	22	95	27									8.3	
GROUP TOTAL		10	156	31	159	47	70	45	105	847	61								8.3	

TABLE 8 Cont'd

## 1980-81 STAFF INFORMATION SUMMARY.

## FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT ALL

GROUP SFX		ACHIEVE	TOTAL	YRS	Avg Yrs Exper.							
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
15	1									19	14	7.7
15	2									9	7	5.5
GROUP TOTAL		4	2	1						28	21	7.0
17	1									2	1	5.5
17	2									1	1	5.5
GROUP TOTAL		2	3							47	41	5.5
18	1									1	15	7.7
18	2									3	23	7.7
GROUP TOTAL		4	4	1						62	110	7.7
19	1									1	12	6.4
19	2									4	198	10.4
GROUP TOTAL		2	1	1							198	10.4
20	1									2	1	5.4
20	2									1	12	5.4
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1						2	7	5.4
21	1									3	19	5.4
21	2									4	1	5.4
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1							27	10.5
22	1									8	6	5.3
22	2									1	1	5.3
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1							16	5.3
23	1									1	17	5.5
23	2									3	3	5.5
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1							23	5.5
24	1									1	9	5.4
24	2									25	9	5.4
GROUP TOTAL		3	2	1						9	1	5.4
26	1									1	13	5.6
26	2									22	11	5.6
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1							39	5.6
28	1									2	2	5.7
28	2									1	1	5.7
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1							3	5.7
30	1									3	15	5.6
30	2									3	23	5.6
GROUP TOTAL		1	12	6							51	5.6
31	1									1	1	5.6
31	2									14	9	5.6
GROUP TOTAL		1	13	5							76	5.6

TABLE 8 Cont'd

9/24/81

1980-81 STAFF INFORMATION SUMMARY

PAGE 3

## FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT AIL

		ACHIEVE	Avg yrs exper										
GROUP	SFX	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TOTAL	YRS
33	1												
33	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
34	1												
34	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
36	1												
36	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
37	1												
37	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
38	1												
38	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
39	1												
39	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
40	1												
40	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
41	1												
41	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
42	1												
42	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
43	1												
43	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
45	1												
45	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
46	1												
46	2												
GROUP TOTAL													
47	1												
47	2												
GROUP TOTAL													

TABLE 8 Cont'd

1980-81 STA FORMATION SUMMARY

## FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT ALL

GROUP SEX		ACHIEVE	ACHIEVF	ACHIEVE	ACHIEVE	ACHIEVE	ACHIEVF	ACHIEVE	ACHIEVF	ACHIEVE	ACHIEVF	Avg Yrs Expt
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
48	1	10	15	2	1	1	5	3	2			39
	GROUP TOTAL	10	15	2	1	1	5	3	2			323.0
49	1		3	5	1	2	15	3	23	17		39
	GROUP TOTAL		3	5	1	2	15	3	23	17		535.0
50	2		5	4	1	6	1	7	7			39
	GROUP TOTAL		5	4	1	6	1	7	7			202.0
51	1			2	3	21	4	30	24			39
	GROUP TOTAL			2	3	21	4	30	24			737.0
51	2				1	1	4	3	1			39
	GROUP TOTAL				1	1	4	3	1			2
52	1				1	6	1	5	1			39
	GROUP TOTAL				1	6	1	5	1			9.0
52	2				9	7	1	6	1			39
	GROUP TOTAL				9	8	2	1	6	1		7.1
54	1					1	16	3	5	1		39
	GROUP TOTAL					1	16	3	5	1		96.0
54	2					10	4	22	4	10		39
	GROUP TOTAL					10	4	22	4	10		176.0
55	1						1	2	1	1		39
	GROUP TOTAL						1	2	1	1		272.0
55	2							1	2	1		39
	GROUP TOTAL							1	2	1		42
56	1							1	1	1		39
	GROUP TOTAL							1	1	1		57
56	2								1	1		39
	GROUP TOTAL								1	1		597.0
57	1									1		39
	GROUP TOTAL									1		1.0.5
58	2											39
	GROUP TOTAL											383.0
58	1											39
	GROUP TOTAL											1.0.4
59	2											39
	GROUP TOTAL											39
59	1											39
	GROUP TOTAL											39
60	1											39
	GROUP TOTAL											39
61	2											39
	GROUP TOTAL											39
62	1											39
	GROUP TOTAL											39
62	2											39
	GROUP TOTAL											39

TABLE 8 Cont'd

9/24/81

1980-81 STAFF INFORMATION SUMMARY

PAGE 5

## FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT A/L

GROUP SFx	ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		TOTAL	YRS	Ave Yrs Exper		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13				
63	1		6											10	209.0	20.9	
63	2		15											36	473.0	13.1	
GROUP TOTAL	20		21											46	682.0	14.6	
64	1		5											15	67.0	4.5	
64	2		226		218	41	2							1,076	9,183.0	H.5	
GROUP TOTAL	1		331		218	41	95							1,091	9,250.0	H.5	
FINAL TOTAL	364		953		425	283	251							324	7,282	76,349.0	10.5

✓7,282 RECORDS TOTLED

TABLE 9

9/24/81

## 1980-R1 STAFF INFORMATION SUMMARY

## PART-TIME EMPLOYMENT ALL

PAGE 1

GROUP	SEX	ACHIEVE	TOTAL	YRS	Avg Yrs Exper							
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
06	1									1	7.0	7.0
09	2									1	7.0	7.0
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	14.0	7.0
10	1									2	14.0	7.0
10	2											
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15.0	15.0
11	1									3	10.0	3.3
11	2									4	25.0	6.3
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	87.0	8.7
13	1									5	25.0	5.0
13	2									5	62.0	12.4
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	87.0	8.7
14	1									4	67.0	16.0
14	2									3	21.0	2.0
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	88.0	12.6
15	2											
15	2											
GROUP TOTAL		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	6	56.0	9.3
17	2									1	74.0	10.2
17	2									1	136.0	10.7
GROUP TOTAL		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	15	136.0	10.7
18	1									1	28.0	28.0
18	2									1	28.0	28.0
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	28.0	28.0
19	1									3	25.0	5.3
19	2									3	9.0	2.7
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	33.0	5.5
20	2											
20	2											
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17	103.0	6.1
21	1											
21	1											
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
22	2											
22	2											
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
24	2											
24	2											
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1											
25	2											
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
26	1											
26	1											
GROUP TOTAL		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

TABLE 9 Cont'd

## PART-TIME EMPLOYMENT ALL

GROUP SFX	ACHIEVE 2	ACHIEVE 3	ACHIEVE 4	ACHIEVE 5	ACHIEVE 6	ACHIEVE 7	ACHIEVE 8	ACHIEVE 9	ACHIEVE 10	TOTAL	YRS	Avg. Yrs Exper
30 1								1		1	12.0	12.0
- 30 2								4		4	25.0	6.3
- GROUP TOTAL								5		5	37.0	7.4
- - 31 2								1				
- GROUP TOTAL			2			1		1				
- - 33 2												
- GROUP TOTAL												
- - 34 1												
- 34 2												
- GROUP TOTAL		1	1		2			2		2	15.0	7.5
- - 36 2												
- GROUP TOTAL												
45 1	14	9	1							1	8.0	8.0
45 2	10	2								1	8.0	8.0
- GROUP TOTAL	24	11	1									
47 1												
- GROUP TOTAL												
48 1	3	2	2		1					2	25.0	12.5
- GROUP TOTAL	3	2	2		1					2	25.0	12.5
49 2								1		1	13.0	13.0
- GROUP TOTAL								1		1	13.0	13.0
55 1								2				
- GROUP TOTAL								2				
56 1								1		1	50.0	50.0
56 2								1		1	22.0	14.0
- GROUP TOTAL								2		2	72.0	24.0
- - 60 2												
- GROUP TOTAL												
- - 61 1												
- 61 2												
- GROUP TOTAL		6	3	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	99.0	7.1
- - 62 1												
- 62 2												
- GROUP TOTAL		1	5	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	100.0	6.7
- - 64 0												
- GROUP TOTAL												
- - 65 6												
- GROUP TOTAL												

TABLE 9 Cont'd

9/24/81

## 1980-81 STAFF INFORMATION SUMMARY

PART-TIME EMPLOYMENT ALL

PAGE 3

		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		ACHIEVE		TOTAL		YRS		Avg Yrs Exper
GROUP	SEX	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10					
63	1	2										2	41.0	20.5		
63	2		3									7	3.0	5		
GROUP TOTAL			2									7	44.0	6.3		
64	1			1												
64	2				1											
GROUP TOTAL				27	13	2	6	5	1	5		2	9.0	4.5		
FINAL TOTAL		36	73	28	13	15	19	11	72	26	3	296	2,296.0	7.8		
296	RECORDS TOTALED															

We do not expect a substantial growth to occur in cooperative education programs because of number of individuals involved as the following factors would indicate:

1. 65.3% of the technical students are employed full or part-time
2. 54.5% of the vocational students are employed full or part-time
3. 24,163 technical students and 12,683 vocational students are beneficiaries of financial support as shown in table 4.

Factors which might require the system to adjust the number of cooperative programs would be dependent upon, (1) a larger cut-back of federal educational grants and loans, (2) a reduction in the number receiving veterans benefits and (3) the ability and acceptance of business and industry to assist in providing cooperative education.

### Apprenticeship

Instruction related to the apprentice's area of work is provided by post-secondary institutions. During 1980-81 there were 2,425 apprentices enrolled in related instruction. There was interest by the N.C. Department of Labor and the Department of Community Colleges to provide the opportunity for additional apprentices to receive related instruction. To meet this goal, the 1981 Legislature appropriated over \$4,000,000 to be used in developing cooperative skill training centers, high cost priority (critical need) programs and related and supplemental courses to support formal apprenticeship training for the 1981-83 biennium.

In October 1980 the Apprenticeship Division of the N.C. Department of Labor reported that 224 women were registered apprentices. This is an increase of 32.6 percent since January 1980.

### Human Resource Development Program (HRD)

The Human Resources Development Program offered by the post-secondary institutions provides structured pre-vocational training, counseling, and assistance into permanent employment or further educational training for chronically unemployed or underemployed adults. Operating in 47 of the 58 community colleges and technical institutes during Fiscal Year 1979-80 with a state appropriation of \$2,366,795, HRD's objective is to reduce public assistance payments, unemployment, and underemployment by making it possible for the chronically unemployed to become and remain productive employees.

The program design calls for a re-orientation to the world of work through recognition of personal assets and limitations, understanding the effect of one's behavior on others, familiarization with problem-solving processes, and development of basic academic and communications skills which are prerequisite to securing and keeping employment.

Since the data for 1980-81 is not available, the following data is for 1979-80. This program is operated in 47 of the 58 post-secondary institutions. Table 10 indicates the enrollment and completion data:

Table 10 Enrollment and Completion for HRD - 1979-80

	1979-80	Rate %
Number Enrolled	4,720	
Number Completed	3,092	76.1
Placed in Jobs	1,460	47.2
Placed in Training	466	15.1
Race - Black	2,610	55.3
White	2,030	43.0
Other Minority	94	1.7
Sex - Male	1,194	25.3
Female	3,526	74.7
Less than 12th Grade	3,054	64.7
H.S. Graduate	1,666	35.3

During 1979-80, HRD students were predominately female, black and had not graduated from high school. CETA stipends or other CETA benefits amounting to \$1,437,649 were provided to 3,353 (71%) students. Slightly more than 3 out of 10 HRD students, 1,511 (32%) had received public assistance during some or all of the year immediately preceding their enrollment in HRD.

A unique feature of the HRD program is to provide one year of counseling and follow-up services to all individuals completing the program. During 1979-80, 4,703 persons who had completed the program the previous year, were provided follow-up services. Of this group 3,416 (72.6%) had been placed in jobs and 964 (20.4%) had been placed in skill training.

As a result of the HRD program, the payment of public assistance to 1979-80 HRD graduates was reduced by \$1,345,087. The increase in the income of HRD graduates was \$7,990,482.

#### Displaced Homemakers

In addition to the HRD program, additional programs serving the displaced homemaker also are offered. In 1979-80, seven institutions reported 205 women receiving support services. Nine institutions reported 294 women enrolled in vocational education for displaced homemakers, a federally required program. This program is designed to assist the displaced homemaker to assess her latent talents, receive training and re-enter the work-force.

Programs for displaced homemakers are varied and tend to be designed to meet a local need. Sex equity and sex stereotyping of job opportunities are often a consideration of the program design.

The following programs are additional examples of programs for displaced homemakers:

1. Stepping Out - New Career Opportunities for Women - Central Carolina TC
2. Work Options Program for Women - Martin CC, Wilson Co. TI, Vance-Granville CC, Anson TC, Southeastern CC, Pamlico TC - in cooperation with State Council on the Status of Women.

3. Promoting Sex Equity in Career Day Programs - Piedmont TC
4. Wider Opportunities for Women - Asheville-Buncombe TC and the Buncombe Co. Employment and Training Council
5. Improving the Self-Image of Homemakers - Central Piedmont CC
6. Women in Transition - Technical College of Alamance
7. Women Share - Central Piedmont CC

Single courses have been offered to provide assistance to the displaced homemaker. Some examples of these courses are:

1. Man's World, Women's Place - Guilford TI
2. Changing Role of Male & Female - Catawba Valley TI
3. Women and the Law - Randolph TC

The programs and courses were funded from several sources, including grants from external agencies. Data was not collected separately to indicate the number of individuals which have been involved in each program or course.

#### Education for Correctional Inmates

The post-secondary institutions provide both curriculum and extension programs to those incarcerated in the correctional system. The variety of courses and programs offered include academic, adult basic education, preparation toward the completion of the General Education Development test and technical and vocational education courses and programs.

Educational programs are provided to inmates who are granted educational leave during the day to attend classes on a campus or through curriculum programs and extension courses that are offered at a prison subsidiary unit.

During 1980-1981, there were 90 inmates that were enrolled in technical education and 2,021 that were enrolled in vocational education programs, either through educational release or programs offered on a full-time basis at a subsidiary unit. In addition to these curriculum programs, extension classes were offered in adult basic education and vocational-technical education at prison subsidiary units. There was an average of 2,200 (105 were women) inmates enrolled during each of the four quarters in adult basic education. There was an average of 2,065 (150 were women) inmates enrolled during each of the four quarters in vocational-technical education curriculum and extension (continuing education) courses.

In addition to these programs, approximately 1,700 inmates took the GED test in 1980-81. There were 1,081 individuals who passed the test.

## Programs for Target Groups

Federal law provides categorical funding to provide additional programs, services and activities to assist disadvantaged, handicapped and individuals with limited English proficiency to succeed in vocational education. A majority of these individuals are mainstreamed with other students for most of their classes. Regulations provide for separate classes when this method is considered in the best interest of the students. Included in the following are students, which met the criteria of one of the categorical groups, but did not require any additional programs, services or activities. Data is for the year 1979-80 since the 1980-81 data is not available at this time.

Table 11. Disadvantaged 1979-80

	Curriculum	Extension	Total
No additional program assistance	15,671	13,516	29,187
With additional program assistance	27,125	5,503	32,628
Separate program	<u>698</u>	<u>5,055</u>	<u>5,753</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>43,494</b>	<b>24,074</b>	<b>67,568</b>

Table 12. Handicapped 1979-80

	Curriculum	Extension	Total
No additional program assistance	1,129	2,401	3,530
With additional program assistance	1,719	844	2,563
Separate program	<u>24</u>	<u>2,218</u>	<u>2,242</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,872</b>	<b>5,463</b>	<b>8,335</b>

Table 13. Limited English Proficiency 1979-80

	Curriculum	Extension	Total
No additional program assistance	62	207	269
With additional program assistance	754	592	1,346
Separate program	<u>307</u>	<u>1,460</u>	<u>1,767</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,123</b>	<b>2,259</b>	<b>3,382</b>

Table 14. Special Programs for the Disadvantaged 1979-80

	Curriculum	Extension	Total
With additional program assistance	755	165	920
Separate program	<u>359</u>	<u>241</u>	<u>600</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,114</b>	<b>406</b>	<b>1,520</b>

## CETA (Comprehensive Education & Training Act)

The State Board of Community Colleges is the recipient of the 6% CETA funds. These funds are used to provide the cost of training for CETA clients. Class size groups are provided training to meet existing job needs.

An alternate to class size groups is the single referral. Individuals are enrolled as any other curriculum student and the tuition fees and cost of books is paid from CETA funds.

In 1980-81 there were 868 CETA clients enrolled in class size programs and 2,176 single referrals enrolled in the community college system and 132 enrolled in other private schools.

### Industrial Services

Changes have occurred in the services provided to new and expanding industry. Ten years ago 61 percent of the training was provided to the textile, food and wood products industries. Approximately 22 percent of the training was provided for fabricated metals, machinery, electronic and transportation equipment industries.

In 1980-81, 60 percent of the training was provided in high technology areas and 20 percent to textile and related industries. There were 3,132 individuals trained during 1980-81 at an average cost of \$412.63 per individual of the training provided, 36 percent occurred in the coastal area, 40 percent in the piedmont and 24 percent in the mountains.

### Advisory Committees

Emphasis has been placed upon the use of advisory committees since the beginning of the system. The results of advisory committee involvement are threaded throughout the development of curriculum and the establishment of programs. Federal requirements in the use of advisory committees did not impose anything new upon the community college system. Annually, each institution provides in its local application the membership and demographic data of its advisory committee. The pertinent, Federal rules and regulations are printed on the local application indicating the membership requirement and the duties of the committee. Our data indicates the committees are functioning.

In addition to a formal committee structure advice and suggestions are made to staff and faculty in informal ways. It is not possible to measure the impact that this form of information provides. Advice and suggestions for changes or improvements should not be limited to any one procedure or committee.

### Student/Employer Follow-up

The first mailing of a survey form to 65,000 graduates and leavers was completed in late July. A second mailing to those who did not respond or to those who did not receive the first survey because of incorrect addresses was completed in August. A third mailing is being prepared.

A follow-up of employers is being prepared and will be mailed soon.

A final report on the student/employer follow-up will be available at a later date.

CURRICULUM ACCREDITATIONS & APPROVALS  
BY INSTITUTION & BY CURRICULUM

December, 1980

Inclusive in this report are abbreviations denoting different associational, State, and Federal program approvals and accreditations. Following is a list of these abbreviations as defined:

AAMA accredited - American Association of Medical Assistants  
ABA accredited - American Bar Association  
ABET accredited - Accreditation Board of Engineering and Technology, Inc.  
ABFSE accredited - American Board of Funeral Service Education  
ADA accredited - American Dental Association  
AMA accredited - American Medical Association  
AMRA accredited - American Medical Records Association  
APTA accredited - American Physical Therapy Association  
ARA accredited - American Respiratory Association  
ASCP accredited - American Society of Clinical Pathologists  
AST accredited - Association of Surgical Technologies  
FAA approved - Federal Aviation Agency  
NAACLS accredited - National Accrediting Agency for Clinical Laboratory Sciences  
NCBN accredited - North Carolina Board of Nursing  
NCOEMS accredited - North Carolina Office of Emergency Medical Services  
NCRELB approved - North Carolina Real Estate Licensing Board  
NCSBCA accredited - North Carolina State Board of Cosmetic Arts  
NLN accredited - National League for Nursing  
SACS accredited - Southern Association of Colleges and Schools  
SAF approved - Society of American Foresters

The words *optional* or *mandatory* following the curriculum title denote the accreditation or licensure requirements of the curriculum. The total number of programs in operation in the System is noted in the column on the right.

CURRICULUM ACCREDITATIONS & APPROVALS  
BY CURRICULUMS

	<u>Total No. Programs in Operation</u>
<u>V-004 Airframe and Power Plant Mechanics (mandatory)</u>	1
FAA approved (Wayne)	
<u>T-041 Architectural Technology (optional)</u>	11
ABET accredited (Sandhills, Wake)	
<u>T-059 Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing)</u>	21
Accredited by NCBN (mandatory) (Asheville-Buncombe, Beaufort, Carteret, Central Piedmont, Coastal Carolina, College of The Albemarle, Craven, Davidson, Fayetteville, Forsyth, Gaston, James Sprunt, Richmond, Rockingham, Rowan, Sampson, Sandhills, Southeastern, Surry, Wayne, Western Piedmont)	
Accredited by NLN (optional) (Fayetteville, Sandhills, Western Piedmont)	
<u>T-082 Aviation Maintenance Technology (mandatory)</u>	1
FAA approved (Wayne)	
<u>T-081 Aviation Management and Career Pilot Technology (optional)</u>	1
Air Agency Certificate by FAA (Guilford)	
<u>T-038 Civil Engineering Technology (optional)</u>	8
ABET accredited (Fayetteville, Gaston, Guilford, Sandhills, Wake)	
<u>T-040 Computer Technology (optional)</u>	1
ABET accredited (Wake)	
<u>V-009 Cosmetology (mandatory)</u>	32
Accredited by NCSBCA (Beaufort, Bladen, Caldwell, Carteret, Central Carolina, College of The Albemarle, Craven; Edgecombe, Fayetteville, Guilford, Halifax, Isothermal, James Sprunt, Johnston, Lenoir, Martin, McDowell, Mayland, Nash, Piedmont, Pitt, Roanoke-Chowan, Robeson, Rockingham, Sampson, Sandhills, Southeastern, Southwestern, Tri-County, Vance-Granville, Wilkes, Wilson)	
<u>T-129 Criminal Justice - Protective Service Technology (optional)</u>	32
Accredited by State of N. C. Criminal Justice Training and Standards Commission, Department of Justice (Carteret, Nash)	

<u>V-011 Dental Assistant (mandatory)</u>	9
Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation (Asheville-Buncombe, Central Piedmont, Coastal Carolina, Fayetteville, Rowan, Technical College of Alamance, Wayne, Western Piedmont, Guilford)	
<u>T-054 Dental Hygiene (mandatory)</u>	6
Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation (Asheville-Buncombe, Central Piedmont, Coastal Carolina, Fayetteville, Guilford, Wayne)	
<u>T-055 Dental Laboratory Technology (optional)</u>	2
Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation (Durham)	
<u>T-139 Emergency Medical Science (mandatory)</u>	3
Accredited by NCOEMS (Asheville-Buncombe, Catawba Valley, Guilford)	
<u>T-045 Electronics Engineering Technology (optional)</u>	29
ABET accredited (Fayetteville, Forsyth, Gaston, Guilford, Technical College of Alamance, Wake)	
<u>T-052 Environmental Engineering Technology (optional)</u>	1
ABET accredited (Fayetteville)	
<u>T-044 Electrical Engineering Technology (optional)</u>	7
ABET accredited (Gaston)	
<u>T-007 Forest Management Technology (optional)</u>	4
SAF recognized Forest Technician Training Program (Wayne)	
<u>T-057 Funeral Service Education (optional)</u>	1
Accredited by ABFSE (Fayetteville)	
<u>T-009 Horticulture Technology (optional)</u>	10
Endorsed by the Professional Grounds Management Society (Sandhills)	
<u>T-047 Industrial Engineering Technology (optional)</u>	6
ABET accredited (Gaston, Wake)	
<u>T-049 Industrial Management Technology (optional)</u>	25
ABET accredited (Gaston)	

<u>T-050 Manufacturing Engineering Technology (optional)</u>	5
ABET accredited (Forsyth)	
<u>T-043 Mechanical Drafting and Design Technology (optional)</u>	18
ABET accredited (Forsyth, Guilford)	
<u>T-051 Mechanical Engineering Technology (optional)</u>	5
ABET accredited (Gaston)	
<u>V-031 Medical Laboratory Assistant (mandatory)</u>	3*
Accredited by the Committee on Allied Health Education and Accreditation (Bladen)	
Accredited by NAACLS, ASCP and Council on Medical Education, AMA (Halifax)	
<u>T-110 Medical Laboratory Technology (mandatory)</u>	8
Accredited by NAACLS, Council on Medical Education, AMA (Asheville-Buncombe, Beaufort, Coastal Carolina, Halifax, Wake, Western Piedmont)	
Accredited by Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA (Sandhills)	
<u>T-058 Medical Office Assistant (optional)</u>	6
Accredited by AAMA (Central Piedmont, Western Piedmont)	
<u>V-031 Medical Office Assistant (optional)</u>	6
Accredited by AAMA (Central Piedmont)	
Pending American Medical Association accreditation (Carteret)	
<u>T-053 Medical Records Technology (mandatory)</u>	1
Accredited by AMRA & AMA (Central Piedmont)	
<u>T-104 Nuclear Medicine Technology (mandatory)</u>	2
Accredited by AMA (Caldwell, Forsyth)	
<u>T-116 Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (mandatory)</u>	16
Accredited by NCBN (Caldwell, Catawba Valley, Edgecombe, Guilford, Halifax, Haywood, Johnston, Nash, Pitt, Roanoke-Chowan, Robeson, Southwestern, Technical College of Alamance, Tri-County, Wake, Wilson)	

\*Asheville-Buncombe terminating program 9/81.

Accreditations

<u>T-060 Opticianry (mandatory)</u>	1
Accredited by the National Academy of Opticianry (Durham)	
<u>T-120 Paralegal Technology (optional)</u>	8
Accredited by Standing Committee on Legal Assistants of ABA (Fayetteville)	
<u>T-062 Physical Therapist Assistant (mandatory)</u>	2
Accredited by APTA (Central Piedmont, Fayetteville)	
<u>V-038 Practical Nursing</u>	37
Accredited by NCBN (mandatory) (Anson, Asheville-Buncombe, Blue Ridge, Cape Fear, Carteret, Catawba Valley, Central Carolina, Central Piedmont, Cleveland, Coastal Carolina, College of The Albemarle, Craven, Durham, Fayetteville, Forsyth, Gaston, Haywood, Isothermal, Johnston, Lenoir, Mayland, McDowell, Mitchell, Montgomery, Piedmont, Pitt, Randolph, Richmond, Rowan, Sandhills, Southeastern, Southwestern, Stanly, Vance-Granville, Wake, Wayne, Wilkes)	
Accredited by NLN (optional) (Wake)	
<u>T-061 Radiologic Technology (mandatory)</u>	12
Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology, Council on Medical Education, AMA (Asheville-Buncombe, Caldwell, Johnston, Pitt, Rowan, Wake, Edgecombe)	
Accredited by AMA (Carteret, Forsyth)	
Accredited by the Council on Medical Education, AMA (Cleveland)	
Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology, AMA (Fayetteville)	
Accredited by Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA (Sandhills)	
<u>T-127 Real Estate (mandatory)</u>	6
Approved by NCRELB (Catawba Valley, Central Carolina, Edgecombe, Fayetteville, Forsyth, Nash)	
<u>T-166 Real Estate (Technical Specialty) (mandatory)</u>	1
Approved by NCRELB (Technical College of Alamance)	
<u>T-091 Respiratory Therapy (mandatory)</u>	7
Pending American Medical Association accreditation (Carteret)	

Accredited by ARA, Council on Medical Education, Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA (Central Piedmont, Forsyth, Sandhills, Stanly)

Accredited by the Committee on Allied Health Education and Accreditation, AMA (Fayetteville)

Accredited by AMA Council on Medical Education (Durham)

V-071 Surgical Technology (optional)

9

Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education for the AST, Council on Medical Education, AMA (Coastal Carolina, Lenoir)

Accredited by the Council on Medical Education, AMA (Fayetteville)

T-125 Surveying Technology (optional)

3

Approved by the N. C. State Board of Registration and Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors (Sandhills)

T-004 Veterinary Medical Technology (mandatory)

1

Accredited by American Veterinary Medical Association (Central Carolina)

CURRICULUM ACCREDITATIONS & APPROVALS  
BY INSTITUTION\*

Anson Technical College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

Asheville-Buncombe Technical College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Dental Hygiene (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Emergency Medical Science (Accredited by NCOEMS)  
Medical Laboratory Technology (Accredited by NAACLS, Council on  
Medical Education, AMA)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on  
Education in Radiologic Technology, Council on Medical Education,  
AMA)

Beaufort County Community College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Medical Laboratory Technology (Accredited by NAACLS, Council on  
Medical Education, AMA)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Bladen Technical College

Medical Laboratory Assistant (Accredited by the Committee on Allied  
Health Education and Accreditation)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Blue Ridge Technical College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

Brunswick Technical College

None

Caldwell Community College and Technical Institute

Nuclear Medicine Technology (Accredited by AMA)  
Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by  
NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on  
Education in Radiologic Technology, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Cape Fear Technical Institute

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

\*All institutions in the System are accredited by SACS

Carteret Technical College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Medical Office Assistant (V-031) (Pending American Medical Association Accreditation)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by AMA)  
Respiratory Therapy (Pending American Medical Association accreditation)  
Criminal Justice - Protective Service Technology (Accredited by State of N. C. Criminal Justice Training and Standards Commission, Department of Justice)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Catawba Valley Technical College

Emergency Medical Science (Accredited by NCOEMS)  
Real Estate (Approved by NCRELB)  
Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

Central Carolina Technical College

Real Estate (Approved by NCRELB)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Veterinary Medical Technology (Accredited by American Veterinary Medical Association)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Central Piedmont Community College

Medical Records Technology (Accredited by AMRA & AMA)  
Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Dental Hygiene (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Medical Office Assistant (T-058) (Accredited by AAMA)  
Medical Office Assistant (V-031) (Accredited by AAMA)  
Physical Therapist Assistant (Accredited by APTA)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Respiratory Therapy (Accredited by ARA, Council on Medical Education, Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA)

Cleveland Technical College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Council on Medical Education, AMA)

Coastal Carolina Community College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Dental Hygiene (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Medical Laboratory Technology (Accredited by NAACLS, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Surgical Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education for the AST, Council on Medical Education, AMA)

College of The Albemarle

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Craven Community College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Davidson County Community College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)

Durham Technical Institute

Dental Laboratory Technology (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Opticianry (Accredited by the National Academy of Opticianry)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Respiratory Therapy (Accredited by AMA Council on Medical Education)

Edgecombe Technical College

Real Estate (Approved by NCRELB)  
Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Fayetteville Technical Institute

Real Estate (Approved by NCRELB)  
Civil Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Environmental Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Electronics Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN & NLN)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Dental Hygiene (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Physical Therapist Assistant (Accredited by APTA)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology, AMA)  
Respiratory Therapy (Accredited by the Committee on Allied Health Education and Accreditation, AMA)  
Surgical Technology (Accredited by the Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Cosmetology (Contract) (Accredited by NCSBCA)  
Funeral Service Education (Accredited by ABFSE)  
Paralegal Technology (Accredited by Standing Committee on Legal Assistants of ABA)

Forsyth Technical Institute

Real Estate (Approved by NCRELB)  
Electronics Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Nuclear Medicine Technology (Accredited by AMA)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by AMA)  
Respiratory Therapy (Accredited by ARA, Council on Medical Education,  
Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA)  
Manufacturing Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Mechanical Drafting and Design Technology (ABET accredited)

Gaston College

Industrial Management Technology (ABET accredited)  
Civil Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Electrical Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Electronics Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Industrial Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Mechanical Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)

Guilford Technical Institute

Civil Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Electronics Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Dental Hygiene (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Emergency Medical Science (Accredited by NCOEMS)  
Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited  
by NCBN)  
Mechanical Drafting and Design Technology (ABET accredited)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)  
Aviation Management and Career Pilot Technology (Air Agency Certificate  
by FAA)

Halifax Community College

Medical Laboratory Assistant (Accredited by NAACLS, ASCP and Council  
on Medical Education, AMA)  
Medical Laboratory Technology (Accredited by NAACLS, Council on  
Medical Education, AMA)  
Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by  
NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Contract) (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Haywood Technical College

Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by  
NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

Isothermal Community College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

James Sprunt Technical College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Johnston Technical College

Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Lenoir Community College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Surgical Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education for the AST, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Martin Community College

Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Mayland Technical College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

McDowell Technical College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Mitchell Community College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

Montgomery Technical Institute

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

Nash Technical Institute

Real Estate (Approved by NCRELB)  
Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Criminal Justice - Protective Service Technology (Accredited by State of N. C. Criminal Justice Training and Standards Commission, Department of Justice)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Pamlico Technical College

None

Piedmont Technical College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Pitt Community College

Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Cosmetology (Contract) (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Randolph Technical College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

Richmond Technical College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)

Roanoke-Chowan Technical College

Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Robeson Technical College

Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Rockingham Community College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Rowan Technical College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology, Council on Medical Education, AMA)

Sampson Technical College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Sandhills Community College

Horticulture Technology (Endorsed by the Professional Grounds Management Society)  
Architectural Technology (ABET accredited)  
Civil Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Surveying Technology (Approved by the N. C. State Board of Registration and Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors)  
Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN & NLN)  
Medical Laboratory Technology (Accredited by Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA)  
Respiratory Therapy (Accredited by ARA, Council on Medical Education, Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Southeastern Community College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Southwestern Technical College

Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Stanly Technical College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Respiratory Therapy (Accredited by ARA, Council on Medical Education, Committee on Allied Health Education, AMA)

Surry Community College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)

Technical College of Alamance

Real Estate (Technical Specialty) (Approved by NCRELB)  
Electronics Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)

Tri-County Community College

Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Vance-Granville Community College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Wake Technical College

Architectural Technology (ABET accredited)  
Civil Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Computer Technology (ABET accredited)  
Electronics Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)  
Medical Laboratory Technology (Accredited by NAACLS, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN & NLN)  
Radiologic Technology (Accredited by the Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Industrial Engineering Technology (ABET accredited)

Wayne Community College

Forest Management Technology (SAF recognized Forest Technician Training Program)  
Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Dental Hygiene (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Airframe and Power Plant Mechanic (FAA approved)  
Aviation Maintenance Technology (FAA approved)

Western Piedmont Community College

Associate Degree Nursing (Registered Nursing) (Accredited by NCBN & NLN)  
Dental Assistant (Accredited by ADA Commission on Dental Accreditation)  
Medical Laboratory Technology (Accredited by NAACLS, Council on Medical Education, AMA)  
Medical Office Assistant (T-058) (Accredited by AAMA)

Wilkes Community College

Practical Nursing (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Contract) (Accredited by NCSBCA)

Wilson County Technical Institute

Nursing Education Options: Practical and Associate Degree (Accredited by NCBN)  
Cosmetology (Contract) (Accredited by NCSBCA)

State Board Test Pool Examination Results  
of First Time Writers from Associate  
Degree Nursing Programs, NCCC System  
July, 1981

Appendix 2

<u>School</u>	<u>Med Nsg</u>						<u>Psy Nsg</u>						<u>Obs Nsg</u>						<u>Surg Nsg</u>						<u>Nsg Chl</u>						<u>Taking</u>		<u>P</u>		<u>F</u>		<u>% Passing</u>	
	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F						
Ashevillle-Buncombe T. I.	40	2	34	8	37	5	38	4	34	8	42	31	11	74%																								
Beaufort County T. I.	18	4	19	3	20	2	16	6	16	6	22	16	6	59%																								
Caldwell C. C.	12	0	11	1	11	1	10	2	12	0	12	9	3	75%																								
Carteret T. I. Craven C. C.	19	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	100%															
Central Piedmont C. C.	29	1	29	1	29	1	28	2	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30	0	30							
Coastal Carolina C. C.	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16	0	16							
College of the Albemarle	20	3	22	1	21	2	23	0	21	2	23	1	21	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23							
Davidson County C. C.	22	7	27	2	24	5	23	6	20	9	29	16	4	83%																								
Fayetteville T. I.	22	2	22	2	21	3	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24	0	24							
Forsyth T. I.	62	5	60	7	60	7	59	8	62	5	67	52	15	78%																								
Gaston College	22	5	23	4	25	2	24	3	22	5	27	15	12	56%																								
Guilford T. I.	40	1	37	4	37	4	36	5	37	4	41	33	8	80%																								
James Sprunt T. I.	12	8	13	7	9	11	13	7	16	4	20	7	13	35%																								
Johnston T. C.	26	5	27	4	26	5	26	5	28	3	31	20	11	65%																								
NEWH Nursing Consortium	52	1	48	5	52	1	51	2	51	2	53	46	7	87%																								

<u>School</u>	<u>Med Nsq</u>				<u>Psy Nsq</u>				<u>Obs Nsq</u>				<u>Surg Nsq</u>				<u>Nsg Chl</u>				<u>Taking</u>				<u>P</u>				<u>F</u>				<u>% Passing</u>			
	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F						
Robeson T. I.	21	1	19	3	22	0	20	2	22	0	22	0	22	0	22	0	22	0	22	0	22	0	22	0	22	0	22	0	22	0	77%					
Richmond T. I.	9	2	10	1	9	2	7	4	8	3	11	7	11	7	11	7	11	7	11	7	11	7	11	7	11	7	11	7	11	7	64%					
Rockingham C. C.	20	3	22	1	19	4	18	5	21	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	23	2	57%					
Rowan T. I.	24	0	20	4	21	3	24	0	23	1	24	1	24	1	24	1	24	1	24	1	24	1	24	1	24	1	24	1	24	1	75%					
Sampson T. I.	16	1	14	3	15	2	15	2	17	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	76%					
Sandhills C. C.	25	1	23	3	26	0	25	1	25	1	26	1	26	1	26	1	26	1	26	1	26	1	26	1	26	1	26	1	26	1	81%					
Southeastern C. C.	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	100%					
Surry C. C.	15	3	17	1	17	1	17	1	17	1	18	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	78%					
T. C. of Alamance	22	8	26	4	29	1	22	8	25	5	30	5	30	5	30	5	30	5	30	5	30	5	30	5	30	5	30	5	30	5	60%					
Wake T. I.	67	5	72	0	67	5	68	4	67	5	72	5	72	5	72	5	72	5	72	5	72	5	72	5	72	5	72	5	72	5	83%					
Wayne C. C.	18	0	18	0	18	0	16	2	17	1	18	1	18	1	18	1	18	1	18	1	18	1	18	1	18	1	18	1	18	1	89%					
Western Piedmont C. C.	36	0	36	0	36	0	35	1	35	1	36	1	36	1	36	1	36	1	36	1	36	1	36	1	36	1	36	1	36	1	97%					
Total Number/Percent Taking/Failing/Passing By Types of Examinations	700	68	699	69	701	67	688	80	701	67	768	67	768	67	768	67	768	67	768	67	768	67	768	67	768	67	768	67	77%							
	91%		91%		91%		90%		90%		91%		91%		91%		91%		91%		91%		91%		91%		91%		91%		91%		77%			

State Board Test Pool Examination  
 For  
 Practical Nursing Education Graduates  
 North Carolina Community College System  
 April, 1981  
First Time Candidates

<u>Vocational Program</u>	<u>Range &amp; Average Score of Candidates</u>	<u>Percentage Passed</u>
1. Catawba Valley Technical Institute Passed - 8 Failed - 0 Total - 8	Average - 571 High - 670 Low - 463	100%
2. Central Piedmont Community College Passed - 3 Failed - 0 Total - 3	Average - 551 High - 657 Low - 445	100%
3. Cleveland County Technical Institute Passed - 10 Failed - 0 Total - 10	Average - 535 High - 689 Low - 381	100%
4. Durham Technical Institute Passed - 29 Failed - 0 Total - 29	Average - 514 High - 668 Low - 360	100%
5. Forsyth Technical Institute Passed - 22 Failed - 3 Total - 25	Average - 475 High - 675 Low - 275	88%
6. Gaston College Passed - 0 Failed - 1 Total - 1	Average - 335	0%
7. Isothermal Community College Passed - 1 Failed - 0 Total - 1	Average - 413	100%

<u>Vocational Program</u>	<u>Range &amp; Average Score of Candidates</u>	<u>Percentage Passed</u>
8. Lenoir Community College Passed - 2 Failed - 0 Total - 2	Average - 449 High - 495 Low - 403	100%
9. NEWH Consortium Passed - 2 Failed - 0 Total - 2	Average - 492 High - 534 Low - 492	100%
10. Pitt Technical Institute Passed - 1 Failed - 0 Total - 1	Average - 534	100%
11. Roanoke-Chowan Technical Institute Passed - 1 Failed - 1 Total - 1	Average - 541	100%
12. Robeson Technical Institute Passed - 1 Failed - 0 Total - 1	Average - 541	100%
13. Sandhills Community College Passed - 8 Failed - 7 Total - 15	Average - 351 High - 544 Low - 158	53%
14. Wake Technical Institute Passed - 1 Failed - 0 Total - 1	Average - 498	100%
15. Wayne Community College Passed - 16 Failed - 0 Total - 16	Average - 552 High - 707 Low - 396	100%

Total Number Taking - 116  
 Total Number/Percent Passing - 105/91%  
 Total Number/Percent Failing - 11/ 9%

NORTH CAROLINA REAL ESTATE LICENSING BOARD  
REAL ESTATE LICENSING EXAMINATION  
PERFORMANCE INFORMATION\*

July 1980 - June 1981

SALESMAN EXAMINATION

	<u>Examined</u>	<u>Passed</u>	<u>% Passed</u>
Community Colleges	418	239	57%
Licensed/Approved Schools	1,224	783	64%

BROKER EXAMINATION

	<u>Examined</u>	<u>Passed</u>	<u>% Passed</u>
Community Colleges	2,946	1,185	40%
Licensed/Approved Schools	4,206	1,721	41%

\*Individuals enrolled in real estate licensing course after September 1, 1979. Taking examination for first time.

NORTH CAROLINA REAL ESTATE LICENSING BOARD

General Information  
Schools, Courses and Instructors  
(as of June 1, 1981)

Licensed/Approved Schools

Community Colleges	52
Colleges/Universities	13
Junior Colleges	1
Private Business Colleges	2
Licensed Private Real Estate Schools	<u>19</u>
Total	87

(32 separate locations)

Courses

Most schools are approved to offer both broker and salesman courses. Most private real estate schools are actually conducting salesman courses only; however, most other schools are actually conducting broker courses only. Fifteen (15) community colleges and colleges are conducting comprehensive pre-licensing programs totaling 132-145 classroom hours. Most schools conducting salesman courses are exceeding the minimum 30-hour requirement by 6-30 hours. Salesman courses are not available at 23 approved schools (15 community colleges, 5 colleges, 2 private business colleges, and 1 private real estate school).

Instructors

There are currently 315 instructors who have been certified by the Board to teach real estate pre-licensing courses.

1979 - STUDENTS EXAMINED BY THE  
STATE BOARD OF COSMETIC ART

	<u>Enrolled</u>	<u>Scheduled</u>	<u>Appeared</u>	<u>Passed</u>	<u>Failed</u>	<u>% Passed</u>
High Schools	657	216	159	77	82	48%
Community Colleges	1071	392	342	273	69	79%
Private Schools	2320	835	726	521	205	71%

Cosmetology Programs

High School	-	26
Community College	-	26 + 6 contract programs
Private School	-	53

Forty-four states and territories require 1500 hours for registered license.

Nine states and territories require less than 1500 hours for registered license.

FOLLOW-UP STUDY OF 1979-80 STUDENTS  
N.C. Community College System

Some 53,045 curriculum students (graduates, early leavers, and drop-outs) who left the institutions during the 1979-80 school year were surveyed during the summer of 1981. This study represented all curriculum students with the exception of general education and college transfer students. Of these 53,045 students, responses were received from 24,441. Included in the 53,045 students were 11,681 graduates from whom 6,956 responses were received. The overall response rate was 46.1% for all students and 59.5% for graduates--a very good return for a follow-up study. This study is the largest of its kind to be conducted in the United States. (Total cost for the project excluding permanent staff was \$43,885.)

While the literature indicates that non-respondents tend to respond similarly to respondents, greatest differences usually exist in demographic characteristics of those who respond compared to those who do not. In this study slightly greater rates of response were obtained from females, whites, older students, graduates, and two-year technical students. While these differences are slight, still no attempts have been made to ascertain the views of the non-respondents.

Notable among the results was the high satisfaction of the students with the services offered in the system. Only 4% of the students rated occupational training below average. Additionally, more than half the students who attended and more than 70% of the graduates said the training they received at the institutions is related to their present occupation. Nearly 80% are meeting their objectives; however, of those who fail to meet objectives, less than 5% indicated dissatisfaction with the institution. Most of the students who failed to meet their objectives plan to continue to pursue them. The data appears to indicate a need for better placement services, additional financial aid, and more flexible scheduling for curriculum students.

Copies of each institution's results have been provided to the institution presidents. These results should help them plan and evaluate programs at their institution.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR 1979-80 FOLLOW-UP STUDY

### ITEM A

About 40% of the dropouts, more than 30% of the early leavers, and 13% of the graduates intended only to take desired courses.

### ITEM B

The primary objectives of all groups for attending were to train for an occupation and to improve job skills.

### ITEM C

The majority of all three groups at least partially met their objective. Less than 5% of the graduates failed to meet their objectives.

### ITEM D

The principal reasons for not meeting the objectives were: conflicting job hours, financial reasons, a change in educational goals, or the student obtained a job. None appear to be institutionally related.

### ITEM E

Almost two-thirds are planning to continue to pursue their objective.

### ITEM F

About 16% of all groups are continuing their education.

### ITEM G

Of those attending, more than one-half are classified as either freshmen or sophomores and are attending part-time.

### ITEM H

About two-thirds of these students are in the community college system with 18% in the public North Carolina university system.

### ITEM I

Students rated the preparation to continue their education as "good."

### ITEM J

Overall, more than 77% were employed with 82.8% of the graduates being employed.

### ITEM K

More than four-fifths of the students were employed prior to enrollment. Almost one-half of these were in similar work.

ITEM L

The average salary of all students responding was \$6.18 per hour; graduates, \$6.01; early leavers, \$6.10; dropouts, \$6.31.

ITEM M

Most worked about a forty-hour week.

ITEM N

The most prevalent job codes were secretary, registered nurse, wholesale and retail trades supervisor, production work supervisor, licensed practical nurse, teacher aide, bookkeeping and accounting clerk and auditing clerk, general office clerk, and machine operator and tender.

ITEM O

Almost 50% of the dropouts, almost 54% of early leavers, and 71% of the graduates reported their training was related to their work.

ITEM P

Early leavers and dropouts reported lack of qualification as a major reason for training not being related to their job, while graduates cited lack of jobs in their field as their major reason for unrelated jobs.

ITEM Q

All groups rated their occupational training as "good" or "very good."

ITEM R

Most of the students in the survey (94.1%) are employed in North Carolina.

ITEM S

A total of 17.5% of the students agreed to have questionnaires sent to their employers. These questionnaires have been mailed to the employers.

ITEM T

The students indicated satisfaction with the total education experience provided by the community college system. Only 7.5% were disappointed with their experience.

ITEMS U AND V

Items U and V were rated from "average" to "good" in all categories. "Library services" was viewed most favorably, while "placement services" was ranked least favorable.

NORTH CAROLINA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM  
1979-80 STUDENT FOLLOW-UP SURVEY  
DATA FOR NORTH CAROLINA

PAGE 1551  
CC650

PROGRAM TITLE

SYSTEM TOTAL

	TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT	GRADUATES	NUMBER	PERCENT	EARLY LEAVERS	NUMBER	PERCENT	DROP OUTS	NUMBER	PERCENT
A. PURPOSE FOR ATTENDING	24,034	98.3		13,477	21.2		10,118	15.9		39,902	62.8	
1. SECURE DEGREE	16,694	65.5		11,681	86.7		8,383	82.9		32,981	82.7	
2. TAKE DESIRED COURSES	7,340	30.5		6,903	99.2		3,729	44.5		13,756	41.7	
B. PRIMARY OBJECTIVE	27,745	11.4		5,971	86.5		2,530	69.2		8,193	60.6	
1. IMPROVE JOB SKILLS	24,127	98.7		6,914	99.4		3,673	98.5		13,540	98.4	
2. TRAIN FOR OCCUPATION	5,795	24.0		1,194	17.3		1,012	27.6		3,589	26.5	
3. CREDIT FOR TRANSFER	13,471	55.8		4,854	70.2		1,933	52.6		6,684	49.4	
4. GENERAL INTEREST	1,042	4.3		227	3.3		167	4.5		648	4.8	
5. RECEIVE \$ BENEFITS	486	2.0		376	5.4		371	10.1		1,998	14.8	
6. OTHER	588	2.4		130	1.9		104	2.8		249	1.6	
C. EXTENT OBJECTIVE MET	23,828	97.5		6,865	98.7		3,627	97.3		13,336	96.9	
1. FULLY COMPLETED	10,016	42.0		5,535	80.6		1,082	29.8		3,399	25.5	
2. PARTIALLY COMPLETED	8,303	34.8		1,028	15.0		1,656	45.7		5,619	42.1	
3. OBJECTIVE NOT MET	5,509	23.1		302	4.4		889	24.5		4,318	32.4	
D. REASON OBJECTIVE UNMET	14,176			1,435			2,611			10,130		
1. OBTAINED JOB	1,905	13.4		210	14.6		414	15.9		1,261	12.6	
2. ENTERED MILITARY	150	1.1		5	*3		35	1.3		110	1.1	
3. MOVED	620	4.4		26	1.8		119	4.6		475	4.7	
4. CHANGED EDUC. GOALS	1,276	9.0		216	15.1		213	8.2		847	8.4	
5. FINANCIAL	2,142	15.1		159	11.1		415	15.9		1,568	15.5	
6. TRANSFERRED INSTITUTIONS	521	3.7		98	6.8		110	4.2		313	3.1	
7. CONFLICTING JOB HOURS	2,969	20.9		172	12.0		546	20.9		2,251	22.2	
8. DISSATISFIED WITH INST.	618	4.4		60	4.2		93	3.6		465	4.6	
9. TRANSPORTATION	451	3.2		25	1.7		69	2.6		357	3.5	
10. OTHER	3,524	24.9		464	32.3		597	22.9		2,463	24.3	
E. STILL PURSUING OBJECTIVE	15,919			2,384			2,612			10,723		
1. YES, SAME INSTITUTION	7,285	45.8		663	27.8		1,370	46.7		5,252	49.0	
2. YES, ANOTHER INSTITUTION	2,780	17.5		637	26.7		462	16.4		1,681	15.7	
3. NO	5,854	36.8		1,084	45.5		980	34.9		3,790	35.3	
F. CURRENT EDUC. STATUS	23,433	95.9		6,728	96.7		3,568	95.7		13,137	95.5	
1. CURRENTLY ENROLLED	3,640	15.5		1,046	15.5		598	16.8		1,996	15.2	
2. NOT CURRENTLY ENROLLED	19,793	84.5		5,682	84.5		2,970	83.2		11,141	84.8	

NORTH CAROLINA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM  
1979-80 STUDENT FOLLOW-UP SURVEY  
DATA FOR NORTH CAROLINA

PAGE 1552  
LC650

PROGRAM TITLE

SYSTEM TOTAL

	TOTAL NUMBER	TOTAL PERCENT	GRADUATES NUMBER	GRADUATES PERCENT	EARLY LEAVERS NUMBER	EARLY LEAVERS PERCENT	DROP OUTS NUMBER	DROP OUTS PERCENT
G. EDUCATIONAL CLASSIFICATION	4,775	1,210	822	2,943	2,743	2,743	1,026	37.4
1. FRESHMAN	1,526	32.0	226	18.7	274	33.3	1,026	37.4
2. SOPHOMORE	1,276	26.7	254	21.0	266	32.4	756	27.6
3. JUNIOR	635	13.3	270	22.3	98	11.9	267	9.7
4. SENIOR	515	10.8	235	19.4	76	9.2	204	7.4
5. GRADUATE STUDENT	823	17.2	225	18.6	108	13.1	490	17.9
EDUCATIONAL ATTENDANCE	4,512	1,081	771	2,666	2,666	2,666	1,083	59.3
1. PART-TIME	2,469	54.7	491	45.4	401	52.0	1,577	59.3
2. FULL-TIME	2,043	45.3	590	54.6	370	48.0	1,083	40.7
H. TYPE INSTITUTION	4,340	1,157	732	2,451	2,451	2,451	1,675	68.3
1. CC, TL, TI IN N.C.	2,779	64.0	601	51.9	503	68.7	1,675	68.3
2. PUBLIC N.C. UNIVERSITY	791	18.2	310	26.8	109	14.9	372	15.2
3. PRIVATE N.C. COLL/UNIV	346	6.0	134	11.6	41	5.6	171	7.0
4. PRIVATE N.C. TRADE/BUS. SCH	85	2.0	15	1.3	20	2.7	50	2.0
5. NON-N.C. INSTITUTION	339	7.8	97	8.4	59	8.1	183	7.5
I. COURSE TRANSFER EVALUATION	6,895	1,719	1,156	4,020	4,020	4,020	1,330	33.1
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	2,475	35.9	728	42.4	417	36.1	1,330	33.1
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	2,566	37.2	615	35.8	444	38.4	1,507	37.5
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	1,460	21.2	298	17.3	232	20.1	930	23.1
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	254	3.7	53	3.1	42	3.6	159	4.0
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	140	2.0	25	1.5	21	1.8	94	2.3
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
J. EMPLOYMENT STATUS	24,039	98.4	6,892	99.1	3,659	98.1	13,488	98.1
1. EMPLOYED	18,553	77.2	5,704	82.8	2,825	77.2	10,024	74.3
2. FULL-TIME MILITARY	424	1.8	41	6	63	1.7	320	2.4
3. UNEMPLOYED	2,399	10.0	566	8.2	388	10.6	1,445	10.7
4. NOT SEEKING EMPLOYMENT	2,663	11.1	581	8.4	383	10.5	1,699	12.6
K. EMPLOYMENT PRIOR TO ENROLLMENT	19,075	5,794	2,910	10,371	10,371	10,371	1,582	15.3
1. NOT EMPLOYED	3,484	18.3	1,425	24.6	477	16.4	5,635	54.3
2. EMP IN SAME/SIMILAR WORK	9,021	47.3	1,911	33.0	1,477	50.8	3,156	30.4
3. EMP IN DIFFERENT WORK	6,570	34.4	2,458	42.4	956	32.9		

NORTH CAROLINA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM  
 1979-80 STUDENT FOLLOW-UP SURVEY  
 DATA FOR NORTH CAROLINA

PAGE 1553  
 CC650

PROGRAM TITLE

SYSTEM TOTAL

	TOTAL NUMBER	TOTAL PERCENT	GRADUATES NUMBER	GRADUATES PERCENT	EARLY LEAVERS NUMBER	EARLY LEAVERS PERCENT	DROP OUTS NUMBER	DROP OUTS PERCENT
L. AVERAGE SALARY PER HOUR BASED ON N RESPONSES OF FULL-TIME (35 OR MORE HOURS PER WEEK) EMPLOYEES	\$6.18	13,956	\$6.01	4,450	\$6.10	2,133	\$6.31	7,373
L. AVERAGE SALARY PER HOUR BASED ON N RESPONSES OF PART-TIME (34 OR LESS HOURS PER WEEK) EMPLOYEES	\$5.49	1,592	\$5.51	484	\$4.93	205	\$5.61	903
M. AVERAGE LENGTH OF WORK WEEK BASED ON N RESPONSES OF FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	40.9	13,956	40.8	4,450	40.9	2,133	41.0	7,373
M. AVERAGE LENGTH OF WORK WEEK BASED ON N RESPONSES OF PART-TIME EMPLOYEES	23.8	1,592	24.0	484	23.8	205	23.6	903
N. MOST PREVALENT SOC CODES (20 PERCENT OR MORE)								
CODE	COUNT	PERCENT						

NORTH CAROLINA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM  
1979-80 STUDENT FOLLOW-UP SURVEY  
DATA FOR NORTH CAROLINA

PAGE 1554  
CC650

PROGRAM TITLE

SYSTEM TOTAL	TOTAL		GRADUATES		EARLY LEAVERS		DROP OUTS	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
O. JOB RELATED TO TRAINING	18,268		5,634		2,777		9,857	
1. YES	10,315	56.5	3,979	70.6	1,494	53.8	4,642	49.1
2. NO	7,953	43.5	1,655	29.4	1,283	46.2	5,015	50.9
P. REASON FOR UNRELATED JOB	8,291		1,727		1,324		5,240	
1. COULD NOT FIND JOB IN FIELD	1,479	17.8	527	30.5	228	17.2	724	13.8
2. FOUND BETTER PAYING JOB	1,209	14.6	307	17.8	187	14.1	715	13.6
3. PREFERRED WORK IN ANOTHER FIELD	1,507	18.2	267	15.5	240	18.1	1,000	19.1
4. NOT SUFFICIENTLY QUALIFIED	2,410	29.1	235	13.6	412	31.1	1,765	33.6
5. OTHER	1,680	20.3	391	22.6	257	19.4	1,038	19.8
Q. OCCUPATIONAL TRAINING EVALUATION	11,240		4,213		1,656		5,371	
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	4,869	43.3	2,182	51.8	676	40.8	2,011	37.4
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	3,965	35.3	1,409	33.4	608	36.7	1,948	36.3
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	1,963	17.5	528	12.5	313	18.9	1,122	20.9
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	289	2.6	65	1.5	40	2.4	184	3.4
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	154	1.4	29	.7	19	1.1	106	2.0
WEIGHTED AVERAGE		4.2		4.3		4.1		4.0
R. EMPLOYED IN OR OUT OF N.C.	10,313		3,919		1,522		4,872	
1. WORK IN N.C.	9,706	94.1	3,667	93.6	1,400	92.0	4,639	95.2
2. WORK OUT OF N.C.	607	5.9	252	6.4	122	8.0	233	4.8
S. SUPERVISOR LISTED	24,441		6,956		3,729		13,756	
1. YES	6,108	25.0	2,458	35.3	945	25.3	2,705	19.7
2. NO	18,333	75.0	4,498	64.7	2,784	74.7	11,051	80.3
T. TOTAL EDUCATIONAL EVALUATION	23,618		6,826		3,604		13,188	
1. VERY SATISFIED (WEIGHT = 5)	7,854	33.3	2,720	39.8	1,142	31.7	3,992	30.3
2. SATISFIED (WEIGHT = 4)	11,030	46.7	3,234	47.4	1,725	47.9	6,071	46.0
3. NEUTRAL (WEIGHT = 3)	2,972	12.6	539	7.9	480	13.3	1,953	14.8
4. DISAPPOINTED (WEIGHT = 2)	1,364	5.8	258	3.8	206	5.7	900	6.8
5. VERY DISAPPOINTED (WEIGHT = 1)	398	1.7	75	1.1	51	1.4	272	2.1
WEIGHTED AVERAGE		4.0		4.2		4.0		4.0
U. COURSE EVALUATION VS NEEDS								
A. QUALITY OF INSTRUCTION	23,199	94.9	6,776	97.4	3,550	95.2	12,873	93.6
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	8,899	38.4	2,900	42.8	1,302	36.7	4,697	36.5
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	9,566	41.2	2,789	41.2	1,520	42.8	5,257	40.8
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	3,798	16.4	931	13.7	587	16.5	2,280	17.7
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	709	3.1	121	1.8	108	3.0	480	3.7
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	227	1.0	35	.5	33	.9	159	1.2
WEIGHTED AVERAGE		4.1		4.2		4.0		4.1

NORTH CAROLINA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM  
1979-80 STUDENT FOLLOW-UP SURVEY  
DATA FOR NORTH CAROLINA

PAGE 1555  
CC650

PROGRAM TITLE

SYSTEM TOTAL

PROGRAM TITLE	SYSTEM TOTAL		GRADUATES		EARLY LEAVERS		DROP OUTS	
	TOTAL NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
U. COURSE EVALUATION VS NEEDS								
B. TESTING	22,715	92.9	6,719	96.6	3,471	93.1	12,525	91.1
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	5,804	25.6	1,892	28.2	863	24.9	3,049	24.3
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	10,526	46.3	3,136	46.7	1,630	47.0	5,760	46.6
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	5,535	24.4	1,513	22.5	859	24.7	3,163	25.3
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	699	3.1	153	2.3	99	2.9	447	3.6
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	151	.7	25	.4	20	.6	106	.8
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	3.9		4.0		3.9		3.9	
U. COURSE EVALUATION VS NEEDS								
C. GRADING	22,663	92.7	6,705	96.4	3,468	93.0	12,490	90.8
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	5,934	26.2	1,909	28.5	877	25.3	3,148	25.2
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	10,484	46.3	3,103	46.3	1,607	46.3	5,774	46.2
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	5,484	24.2	1,529	22.8	876	25.3	3,079	24.7
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	595	2.6	128	1.9	89	2.6	378	3.0
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	166	.7	36	.5	19	.5	111	.9
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	3.9		4.0		3.9		3.9	
U. COURSE EVALUATION VS NEEDS								
D. INSTRUCTOR INTEREST	23,090	94.5	6,766	97.3	3,532	94.7	12,792	93.0
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	10,186	44.1	3,325	49.1	1,520	43.0	5,341	41.8
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	7,583	32.6	2,159	31.9	1,220	34.5	4,204	32.9
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	4,054	17.6	1,057	15.6	609	17.2	2,386	18.7
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	903	3.9	172	2.5	130	3.7	601	4.7
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	364	1.6	53	.8	53	1.5	256	2.0
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	3.9		4.0		3.9		3.9	
U. COURSE EVALUATION VS NEEDS								
E. COURSE CONTENT	22,875	93.6	6,716	96.5	3,500	93.9	12,659	92.0
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	7,387	32.3	2,336	34.8	1,102	31.5	3,949	31.2
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	10,035	43.9	2,971	44.2	1,538	43.9	5,526	43.7
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	4,584	20.0	1,215	18.1	749	21.4	2,620	20.7
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	691	3.0	163	2.4	84	2.4	444	3.5
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	178	.8	31	.5	27	.8	120	.9
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	4.1		4.3		4.1		4.1	
U. COURSE EVALUATION VS NEEDS								
F. INSTRUCTIONAL MEDIA	22,612	92.5	6,683	96.1	3,472	93.1	12,457	90.6
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	6,210	27.5	1,955	29.3	940	27.1	3,315	26.6
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	9,373	41.5	2,777	41.6	1,456	41.9	5,140	41.3
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	5,866	25.9	1,666	24.9	919	26.5	3,281	26.3
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	921	4.1	233	3.5	132	3.8	556	4.5
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	242	1.1	52	.8	25	.7	165	1.3
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	3.9		4.0		4.0		3.9	

NORTH CAROLINA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM  
1979-80 STUDENT FOLLOW-UP SURVEY  
DATA FOR NORTH CAROLINA

PAGE 1556  
CC650

PROGRAM TITLE

SYSTEM TOTAL	TOTAL NUMBER	TOTAL PERCENT	GRADUATES NUMBER	GRADUATE PERCENT	EARLY LEAVERS NUMBER	EARLY LEAVERS PERCENT	DROP OUTS NUMBER	DROP OUTS PERCENT
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
A. FINANCIAL AID	6,230	25.5	2,141	30.8	1,019	27.3	3,070	22.3
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	2,618	45.2	1,088	50.8	426	41.8	1,304	42.5
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	1,866	30.0	642	30.0	336	33.0	888	28.9
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	939	15.1	268	12.5	159	15.6	512	16.7
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	364	5.8	84	3.9	64	6.3	216	7.0
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	243	3.4	59	2.8	34	3.3	150	4.9
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	4.1		4.2		4.0		4.0	
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
B. COUNSELING	7,643	31.3	2,384	34.3	1,261	33.8	3,998	29.1
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	2,383	31.2	751	31.5	395	31.3	1,237	30.9
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	2,775	36.3	890	37.3	478	37.9	1,407	35.2
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	1,667	21.8	518	21.7	276	21.9	673	21.8
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	591	7.7	166	7.0	74	5.9	351	8.8
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	227	3.0	59	2.5	38	3.0	130	3.3
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	3.8		3.9		3.9		3.8	
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
C. JOB PLACEMENT SERVICES	4,594	18.8	1,949	28.0	689	18.5	1,956	14.2
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	798	17.4	418	21.4	98	14.2	282	14.4
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	903	19.7	361	18.5	147	21.3	395	20.2
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	1,243	27.1	451	23.1	192	27.9	600	30.7
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	963	21.0	404	20.7	161	23.4	398	20.3
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	687	15.0	315	16.2	91	13.2	281	14.4
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	3.0		3.1		3.0		3.0	
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
D. COURSE ADVISEMENT	7,555	30.9	2,462	35.4	1,256	33.7	3,837	27.9
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	2,160	28.6	746	30.3	345	27.5	1,069	27.9
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	2,852	37.7	967	39.3	487	38.8	1,398	36.4
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	1,795	23.8	551	22.4	303	24.1	941	24.5
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	532	7.0	148	6.0	84	6.7	300	7.8
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	216	2.9	50	2.0	37	1.7	129	3.4
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	3.8		3.9		3.8		3.8	
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
E. TUTORING SERVICES	3,328	13.6	1,024	14.7	589	15.8	1,715	12.5
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	798	24.0	267	26.1	142	24.1	389	22.7
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	1,060	31.9	322	31.4	176	29.9	562	32.8
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	943	28.3	307	30.0	171	29.0	465	27.1
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	341	10.2	86	8.4	72	12.2	183	10.7
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	186	5.6	42	4.1	28	4.8	116	6.8
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	3.6		3.7		3.6		3.7	

NORTH CAROLINA COMMUNITY COLLEGE SYSTEM  
 1979-80 STUDENT FOLLOW-UP SURVEY  
 DATA FOR NORTH CAROLINA

PAGE 1557  
 CC650

PROGRAM TITLE

SYSTEM TOTAL

	TOTAL NUMBER	TOTAL PERCENT	GRADUATES NUMBER	GRADUATES PERCENT	EARLY LEAVERS NUMBER	EARLY LEAVERS PERCENT	DROP OUTS NUMBER	DROP OUTS PERCENT
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
F. VETERANS SERVICES								
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	5,003	20.5	1,656	23.8	937	25.1	2,410	17.5
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	2,196	43.9	803	48.5	402	42.9	991	41.1
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	1,455	29.1	457	27.6	299	31.9	699	29.0
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	883	17.6	277	16.7	153	16.3	453	18.8
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	252	5.0	62	3.7	48	5.1	142	5.9
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	217	4.3	57	3.4	35	3.7	125	5.2
					4.1			
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
G. LEARNING LABORATORY								
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	7,894	32.3	2,671	38.4	1,271	34.1	3,952	28.7
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	3,231	40.9	1,108	41.5	514	40.4	1,609	40.7
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	3,056	38.7	1,052	39.4	503	39.6	1,501	38.0
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	1,263	16.0	411	15.4	205	16.1	647	16.4
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	260	3.3	75	2.8	39	3.1	146	3.7
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	84	1.1	25	.9	10	.8	49	1.2
					4.2			
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
H. STUDENT ACTIVITIES								
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	6,716	27.5	2,607	37.5	1,061	28.5	3,048	22.2
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	1,623	24.2	592	22.7	264	24.9	767	25.2
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	2,229	33.2	877	33.6	345	32.5	1,007	33.0
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	1,839	27.4	740	28.4	294	27.7	805	26.4
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	688	10.2	276	10.6	101	9.5	311	10.2
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	337	5.0	122	4.7	57	5.4	158	5.2
					3.6			
<b>V. INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES EVALUATION</b>								
I. LIBRARY SERVICES								
1. VERY GOOD (WEIGHT = 5)	12,589	51.5	4,537	65.2	1,985	53.2	6,067	44.1
2. GOOD (WEIGHT = 4)	5,977	47.5	2,122	46.8	948	47.8	2,907	47.9
3. AVERAGE (WEIGHT = 3)	4,776	38.0	1,725	38.0	754	38.0	2,299	37.9
4. POOR (WEIGHT = 2)	1,574	12.5	603	13.3	243	12.2	728	12.0
5. VERY POOR (WEIGHT = 1)	186	1.5	67	1.5	27	1.4	92	1.5
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	74	.6	20	.4	13	.7	41	.7
					4.3			



## Chapter Five

### CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE STATE ADVISORY COUNCIL

The recommendations are addressed by the respective state boards in the order listed by the State Advisory Council. The State Advisory Council recommends that the State Board of Education and the State Board of Community Colleges:

#### STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION: SECONDARY

##### RECOMMENDATION 1. Determine the equipment needs for public schools.

The State Board of Education is cognizant of the impact appropriate vocational equipment makes on the comprehensive education and training system throughout the state.

Currently, the statewide evaluation of vocational education (program review) is collecting data on equipment needs through six of the eighteen standards therein. Additional information is received annually from local education planning activities. The area of equipment is addressed locally in the Annual Application for State/Federal Funding through advisory council activities, planning council activities, evaluation of planning council activities, abstract and budget for special funded programs and estimated local equipment expenditures. The local continuing plan for vocational education speaks to equipment in the statement of assurances.

The state consultant services/technical assistance to local education agencies provides on-site review of equipment needs and recommends appropriate procedures for acquiring, maintaining, and upgrading equipment.

In light of all of the above, however, a comprehensive need analysis for the state does not exist of vocational education equipment. During the 1982-83 school year, the State Board will conduct a survey of such needs, to include recommendations for assisting local education agencies in the acquisition of needed equipment.

##### RECOMMENDATION 3. Determine the training needs for local advisory groups and provide that training as a regular activity.

The Board is cognizant of the need to improve local advisory council functions in some LEAs. A study conducted by the North Carolina Advisory Council on Education and a subsequent study jointly sponsored by the Council and the Division of Vocational Education one year later provided background on how to improve the effectiveness of local councils. These studies contributed to the current effort of the Division of Vocational Education to establish staff development activities for local advisory councils.

A committee is currently working on a delivery system that would provide the greatest assistance to local advisory councils on a regional basis within the financial and personnel constraints of the division. The regional meetings will involve members from each LEA advisory council and ascertain immediate and long-range needs of said council germane to their purpose.

Based on the outcome of these meetings, a determination will be made relative to the frequency and nature of training activity needs of local advisory councils.

RECOMMENDATION 4. Determine the value of the Council's recommendations over the last five years, 1976-80.

The Board wishes to commend the Council for its excellence in perception of the past, current, and future educational needs of the citizens of our state. The value of the Council's recommendations is in contributions to the Board's planning, implementing, evaluating, expanding, and improving the educational process as it relates to employment and training.

*Development of Overall Statewide Policy - 1976*

The State Board was instrumental in 1977 in having new secondary vocational education legislation passed. An overall statewide policy on vocational education encompassing the general provisions, organization, administration and planning, goals, curriculum, personnel, funding, vocational student organizations, and coordinating and supporting relationships has been developed.

*Development of a Master Plan for Vocational Education - 1977*

A Master Plan for Secondary Vocational Education has been developed and implemented. The Master Plan is the leadership document encompassing the commitment, basic assumption, purpose, administration and planning, goals, curriculum, personnel, funding, program quality control, and coordinating and supporting relationships for secondary vocational education.

*Pre-Service and In-Service Training of Professional Personnel - 1978*

One of the Council's functions, that being responsible for stimulating and encouraging meetings among secondary vocational education; postsecondary vocational education; the University of North Carolina; and the Council, has culminated in the development of a quality assurance program for professional personnel. The Board of Governors of the University of North Carolina also adopted this program, making it a concurring resolution. The State Board of Education included vocational education in the resolution by request of the chairman of the Council. There are seven components in the resolution and one speaks specifically to vocational education. It states, "A structure established whereby there will be a means of clarifying with institutions of higher education and with the public schools the competencies required for inclusion in professional and academic programs (including vocational education) in order to produce competent and effective teachers."

The Council's concern for special attention for vocational personnel in the quality assurance program, along with other interested parties, has encouraged the Board to appoint an ad hoc committee of educators to give advice to the State Department of Public Instruction on the quality assurance program.

The Division of Vocational Education has developed both an in-service and pre-service process for the training of personnel.

#### *Resource Allocation - 1978*

The Board is appreciative for the Council's recommendation to reform the funding process for vocational education. The Board feels it is progressing toward the goal set forth in the new law and its declared intent. This goal has been reaffirmed in the State Board of Education Master Plan for Vocational Education.

In March, 1979, the Board adopted a conceptual direction for full funding (one to 95 ratio of teachers to students, \$45/ADM, 100% funding of all MOE) which reads as follows:

"While full funding continues to be an ideal goal, a push for implementation of such at this time may not be practical because of the uncertainty of the impact on local education agencies. Most LEAs will need lead time to plan and design activities, and, in some cases, build or renovate facilities to accommodate the new and expanded programs which would be mandated by full funding. Likewise, the uncertainty of how LEAs would use local funds previously required for matching purposes is another matter which needs to be studied.

A more logical approach might be for the State Board to project a time frame for the completion of full funding and to secure some type of concurrence with the General Assembly. State staff, using this as a guide, can then work with local education agencies for the efficient utilization of all resources available to them. As a general rule, local education agencies are unable to handle tremendous sums of categorical resources without appropriate planning time. The sufficiency of the \$45 per ADM for instructional supplies and materials needs to be analyzed in relationship to inflationary spirals."

This concept was presented to the General Assembly in its most recent budget request. However, it was not recommended by the Advisory Budget Commission.

#### *Curriculum Development - 1978*

The concern for current curriculum is a shared concern of all responsible leadership in education at all levels. A review of curriculum development activities for vocational skill development courses at the secondary level

which emanate from a competency-based model with student outcomes validated by recent job analyses has been in process for the past seven years. Curriculum development initiated by the Division of Vocational Education is articulated with postsecondary education by cooperative planning with postsecondary program development consultants, instructors, and technical experts. Some secondary vocational curriculum development has emerged from statewide program development staff of the Department of Community Colleges.

A research project entitled, "Articulation of Vocational Education Programs between Secondary Schools and Community Colleges/Technical Institutes," conducted jointly by Duplin County Schools and James Sprunt Institute has demonstrated how simultaneous curriculum development can be done in local communities. Collaborative efforts along the lines of the research model are even now being considered by state-level staffs.

A final report on the project was disseminated to all secondary superintendents and the presidents of 58 postsecondary institutions. A policy and procedure manual for articulation which resulted from the project was disseminated and interpreted to local secondary and postsecondary personnel. Plans have been made for the simultaneous development of curriculum materials which will ultimately serve both levels of institutions. Thus, secondary and postsecondary curriculum specialists will be jointly involved in separate but overlapping curriculum guides into a single core competency continuum. It is anticipated that all other pertinent instructional areas will be handled in this manner in succeeding curriculum efforts.

The two departments have appointed a statewide committee for the "Joint Department Dissemination of the Curriculum Articulation Model in Occupational Education." This committee, with state and local representation from both levels of vocational instruction is already at work designing means to facilitate local articulation of programs which will allow "students to move with continuity through levels of the educational process." Members of this committee and other educational and legislative groups are also trying to resolve some of the interrelated articulation issues, such as shared faculties and shared facilities, upon which successful curriculum articulation will depend.

A special committee was established to examine the feasibility of dual enrollment of secondary students in postsecondary programs and vice-versa. The recommendations of this committee were approved and implemented by the State Board of Education.

Another activity underway which will contribute to articulated curriculum implementation in vocational education is the cooperative planning and sponsoring of simultaneous workshops and staff development for secondary vocational teachers and postsecondary instructors on the implementation of competency-based vocational programs. Through these means, instructional personnel are being prepared to capitalize fully on the articulated curriculum materials being developed for local use.

It is expected that the committee's work and other activities will provide a viable strategy for joint state-level curriculum development and implementation and that a model for locally articulated programs will be diffused.

The Division of Vocational Education has presented to the State Board a proposal for an extensive curriculum study to be conducted during the next twenty-four months.

*Evaluation and Information on Efficiency and Effectiveness of Programs - 1979*

The State Board advocates and will always be supportive of a comprehensive and systematic process of evaluation. Evaluation is the key to rational decision making and conducive to program improvement.

The Division of Vocational Education has planned and developed an evaluation system which was subjected to an intensive field test in nine local education agencies in 1979. Activities occurring simultaneously with the field test included a third-party assessment of the evaluation system by a statewide consortium of teacher educators, an opinion survey of the 482 teachers involved in the field test, a formal reaction session involving the nine local directors of vocational education who participated in the field test, and a third-party assessment of the impact of the evaluation system on the operations of the Division of Vocational Education. Based on the results of the field test, modifications were made in the evaluation system and staff training, preparation of materials, and selection of a 20% sample of local education agencies were completed in preparation for full-scale implementation of the evaluation system. In the fall of 1979, evaluations were completed in 29 local education agencies. Each year thereafter, an additional 20% of the state's 143 local education agencies will be evaluated. At the end of a five-year period, all vocational education programs will have undergone evaluation.

The process is designed to intensively evaluate and provide directions for improvement in local vocational education programs, concentrating specifically on administration, in terms of both planning and supportive services and the delivery of vocational education instruction in the classrooms, shops, and laboratories of the state. The four instruments used in the evaluation process are (1) a "planning" instrument which is responded to by the local director of vocational education, (2) a "supportive services" instrument which is responded to by the local director and guidance counselors, (3) an "instructional program" instrument which is responded to by teachers, and (4) an instrument responded to by teachers of special/supportive programs for disadvantaged or handicapped students.

The evaluation process employs a four-step procedure consisting of (1) an orientation session for all local agency personnel, (2) a locally conducted self-study by administrators and teachers, (3) an on-site review conducted by state staff consultants assisted by local advisory committee members, teacher educators, or other knowledgeable individuals, and (4)

an exit conference involving teachers, administrators, and state staff consultants. The results and recommendations of the evaluation are subsequently returned, in the form of a written report, to the local education agency to guide its program improvement efforts and, more specifically, for incorporation into the local planning process.

As time and resources permit, the Division of Vocational Education is committed to the expansion and improvement of the statewide evaluation system. A more improved management information system for vocational education has been developed to include a more comprehensive data base on vocational teachers and students, to refine the procedures for follow-up of vocational education program completers and leavers, and eventually, to incorporate a student competency assessment element into the statewide evaluation system.

#### *Dropouts - 1979*

The Board is cognizant of the magnitude of the school dropout problem in our society and embraces the commitment to finding appropriate solutions to this multi-faceted and complex problem.

The Department of Public Instruction publication, The Dropout Problem in the Public Schools of North Carolina, addresses this concern and identifies six major factors as the primary causes of students dropping out of the public schools. These factors will impact on the development of strategies germane to the problem.

The Board has broadened its policy relative to Extended School Day programs to include this concept as a part of the total school day. This change emphasizes the fact that alternative approaches to combating the public school problem, such as extending the length of the school day, can and should be funded through regular funds.

The Board is committed to expanding vocational education opportunities, thereby serving a larger proportion of secondary school students including but not limited to:

- a. Expanding secondary vocational education offerings,
- b. Expanding secondary vocational education efforts to meet the needs of special target groups, and
- c. Expanding apprenticeship efforts.

The State Board will continue to seek funds from the General Assembly to implement its commitment to reduce school dropouts.

#### *Technical Education Emphasized - 1979*

The Board is aware of technological changes in our society and supportive of the purposes of secondary vocational education, one of which is to prepare individuals for participation in advanced or highly skilled

postsecondary vocational and technical education. Some of the secondary vocational education programs are designed with this purpose in mind. Curriculum development and staff development activities will also address the emphasis on technical education.

The Board policy which addresses the opportunity for a percentage of secondary education students to attend postsecondary institutions provides avenues for gifted and talented students to pursue technical education in keeping with their interest and activity.

*Adult Education Policy - 1979*

The Board appreciates the Council's concern for an adult education policy. Adult education, subject to the authority of the State Board of Education, has been assigned to the Community College System since 1963. It has become one of the major instructional areas of the system, and it is anticipated that adult education and its funding, in keeping with Chapter 115-D, will continue to be the responsibility of the State Board for Community Colleges. However, the State Board of Education will continue to review the position of adult education as it relates to the public school program.

*Comprehensive Long-Range Planning - 1980*

The Board supports and insists upon continued long-range planning as a vital component of secondary vocational education. A greater emphasis is being devoted to the planning process. Implementation facilitates continued consultant services/technical assistance to local education agencies in the planning process.

Systemwide and institutional planning is expected to evolve from a major planning document currently in preparation by the secondary vocational staff. This document will highlight the major focus of the system. The secondary system will communicate and cooperate with other state agencies in the planning process.

*Influence Federal Education/Labor Legislation - 1980*

There currently exist processes and procedures for influencing federal and state legislation. Personnel are assigned to perform this function both in the state and in Washington, DC. The Board's observations do not warrant a course of action that would expand the number of personnel performing this function; however, improved communications and cooperation will be encouraged.

*Policy for the Education of the Incarcerated - 1980*

The Board is supportive of the task force created by the Department of Corrections with representation by the Department of Public Instruction, Department of Community Colleges, Department of Cultural Resources,

Department of Administration, and Department of Corrections. The task force will develop a correctional education philosophy, policy, and curriculum which should serve to improve the education and employment training needs of the incarcerated.

*Review Facility and Program Applications - 1980*

The Board adheres to the belief that local construction and program plan development is the responsibility of locally constituted boards, governing bodies, and their designees pursuant to legislatively assigned roles in the local education agency. The secondary vocational department provides consultant services/technical assistance to promote comprehensive planning designed to assist in the development of qualitative and quantitative program planning and facility utilization. Appropriate procedures, personnel, resources, and materials are utilized to provide this service.

*Emphasize Emerging Fields of Inquiry - 1980*

The Board promotes the never ending quest for knowledge. Therefore, inquiry into emerging fields of opportunity impact on the human capacity to excell and explore to the heights of all imagination. The multi-faceted planning processes which involve a variety of resources, individuals, and agencies promotes the immediacy and future expectations for new and emerging education and employment training fields. The utilization of manpower data, economic trends, and all other available information will be utilized as a basis for determining future programs which prepare individuals for emerging fields of inquiry.

Recent accomplishments by the Division of Vocational Education include the addition of instruction in the fields of computer literacy and solar energy. A publication, North Carolina Secondary Vocational Education 2000, has been produced as a resource to be used for curriculum development, program direction, and policy proposals over the next two decades.

STATE BOARD OF COMMUNITY COLLEGES: POSTSECONDARY

RECOMMENDATION 2. Determine the construction needs of the Community College System.

Since the creation of the community college system in 1963, federal, state and local funds have been used to meet construction needs. Only one institution, Brunswick Technical College, is using temporary facilities at this time. Long-range plans, which have been completed by each institution, indicate the anticipated need for new facilities. These plans will be up-dated periodically. However, it would appear at the present time that federal and state resources for construction will not be available and interest rates do not make bond issues as viable an alternative as they once were to provide the resources to meet construction needs.

RECOMMENDATION 3. Determine the training needs for local advisory groups and provide that training as a regular activity.

Advisory committees have been used continuously in the development of the community college system. In 1972, a guide instructing in the use of advisory committees was revised and provided for use in all postsecondary institutions. Additional guidelines are available from the American Vocational Association, the American Association of Community and Junior Colleges and the National Center for Research in Vocational Education. A survey will be done to determine if additional information on utilizing advisory committees is needed and what additional activities might be needed.

RECOMMENDATION 4. Determine the value of the Council's recommendations over the last five years, 1976-80.

The State Board of Community Colleges was established by the State Legislature and became an independent, functioning Board on January 1, 1981. Prior to that time the community college system operated under the State Board of Education.

*Development of Overall Statewide Policy - 1976*

The original community college legislation allowed postsecondary institutions to permissively offer technical and vocational education. The State Board of Education was instrumental in having the legislation amended which required every postsecondary institution to offer technical and vocational education.

*Development of a Master Plan for Vocational Education - 1977*

The present community college act, GS 115D, and Board policies constitute the master plan for vocational education at the postsecondary level. GS 115D delineates the role of postsecondary institutions, the population to be served, categories of programs to be offered, the role of the State Board, the role of Boards of Trustees and fiscal matters.

#### *Funding Vocational Education - 1978*

Funding of vocational education at the postsecondary level varies nationwide. It is difficult to state that one system of allocating funds is superior over others. Many states allocate funds on the basis of FTE, as does North Carolina. The State Board of Community Colleges is studying this complex FTE funding question to determine if the present system of allocating funds is best suited for North Carolina.

#### *Curriculum Development - 1978*

The development of curriculum has changed to a competency based model. The development of curriculum is articulated with secondary vocational education consultants, teachers, instructors and representatives of business industry, and agriculture. A description of the Duplin County project which is a model in this regard, is detailed in the secondary portion of this chapter.

#### *Staff Development - 1978*

Staff development has many facets. The University of North Carolina and its multiple campuses plus private colleges and universities produce the major supply of instructors needed by the postsecondary system. In-service training is provided also by some of these colleges and universities. The capabilities of staff representatives and the facilities of business and industry is used also in providing in-service training. A curriculum titled "Associate Degree for Vocational Instructors" has been offered for more than 10 years by several community and technical colleges. This curriculum is available to any secondary or postsecondary vocational instructor and includes courses in teaching methods. The Staff Development section of the Department of Community Colleges has developed a program titled "Professional Development Institute". This program is designed to provide an additional means of assisting in the professional development of faculty and staff.

#### *Comprehensive Evaluation System - 1979*

Since the State Board of Education dropped its roll as an accrediting agency, a comprehensive evaluation system has been developed to provide an evaluation of the postsecondary system. The results of this evaluation are listed in Chapter 4 of this Accountability Report.

#### *Emphasis of Technical Education - 1979*

The increase of enrollment in technical education over the last three years indicates that technical education has been emphasized.

*Consistent Policy on Adult Education - 1979*

The State Board of Education was instrumental in getting additional funds for Adult Basic Education and for courses in high school completion for adult students. Cooperation with the Community Schools project in providing courses exists between local educational agencies and postsecondary institutions. The net result is that additional courses are available to adults at locations more convenient to adults throughout the State.

In addition the State Board of Education adopted an interpretation of the role of public schools and community colleges at its June, 1980 meeting.

*Comprehensive Plan for Education - 1980*

Comprehensive planning for the community college system includes input from institutional presidents, boards of trustees and long range plans of the institutions. This information coupled with other information will be used to develop a comprehensive plan which will conform to policy on planning which was adopted by the State Board of Community Colleges on March 11, 1982.

*Strategy to Influence Federal Legislation - 1980*

A change in the present structure of influencing federal legislation does not seem to be warranted at this time. This function has been assigned to a staff member and it is being accomplished.

*Policy for Training the Incarcerated - 1980*

A task force, with representatives from the Department of Community Colleges, Department of Public Instruction, Department of Cultural Resources, Department of Administration and the Department of Correction, is developing a philosophy and policy which will be used to improve the education and training needed by the incarcerated.

*Local Review of Construction and Program Plan - 1980*

Construction of facilities presently has a local review either by the County Commissioners or the voters who must approve a bond issue. Plans to offer a curriculum are developed locally but must be approved by the State Board before funds may be expended for the program. Adult extension programs and courses are determined locally to meet the immediate needs for training or retraining.

*Emphasis of New Education and Training Fields - 1980*

One ingredient in planning effective, needed programs is to be aware of changes in technology and translate this into needed areas of training. Business and industry new to the State or existing business and industry planning to expand is another source of determining job training needs. This information plus projections of job openings, forecasts of economic development and product development will be used to determine future job training needs.



## Chapter Six

### PART A

#### RESULTS OF COORDINATION BETWEEN VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND CETA

##### Secondary

During FY81, close linkage existed between projects jointly planned by Vocational Education and CETA personnel and sponsored by CETA funds -- either Balance of State or the Governor's Special Grant. Following is a brief description of projects; these do not include many activities carried out between Prime Sponsors and local administrative units.

##### Education and Work Experience Program

Sixteen local education agencies received allocations of \$49,093.00 each to conduct an education work experience program for disadvantaged youth beginning with the second semester of the 1978-79 school year. The program has been continued for October 1980 - September 30, 1981, with each of the sixteen local education agencies receiving approximately \$105,000. The program operates through the regular school year and the summer and had early start-up in the fall of 1981. It involved a maximum of 375 students. The major thrust was to provide students an opportunity to earn while they learned. Evaluation of results was an important component of the project. A half-time program specialist (evaluator) and a half-time secretary were employed to coordinate evaluation of the project. Workshops were held for the participating schools. Workshop participants included local directors of vocational education, vocational teachers, and state staff. The project was limited to Balance of State units.

##### Three-Tier Vocational Education Programs

This project was a joint effort between a local education agency, a technical institute and the employing community.

The prime purpose of this articulation project was to ensure that vocational competencies developed at the secondary level would not have to be repeated at the technical institute level, thus, enabling students earlier full-time employment. The program included a strong counseling component. Counselors worked with the technical institute, the employing community, and their respective school to attain accurate knowledge in order to counsel students on marketable skills needed to assist in getting jobs, holding jobs, and job placement.

### Extended Day School

Approximately \$2 million from CETA funds were expended at 21 sites to 16 LEAs. Some primary objectives were to retain potential drop-outs and get students who had already dropped out to return to school. The program was a combination of school and work.

Heavy focus was given to career awareness, vocational counseling, and job placement. Approximately 250 students were placed in the private sector. The remaining 350 students worked in public services employment.

### Youth Opportunity Specialist Program

Approximately 3,200 students were served at 70 sites involving 65 LEAs. This program was directed at hard-core students who were provided technical training, career guidance, and through the vocational cooperative education teachers, many students received job placement in the private sector. There was a significant decrease in dropouts and absenteeism among students in this program.

### Occupational Development and Placement Center Project

This project was designed to provide diagnostic, counseling, guidance, job placement and referral service to 20-40 economically disadvantaged students per center. Two centers were begun in the summer of 1981, pilot tested and expanded to 8 centers in October of 1981. A job placement person was employed to work closely with the local vocational director in these centers.

### Vocational Education Exploratory Experience Program

Nine LEA's (1 per educational region and 1 at large) were selected and funded under this program designed to serve approximately 135 students.

The target group was disadvantaged students, ages 14-21, who had not developed job selection, seeking, finding, getting, and keeping skills. The program ran for 7 weeks, 7 hours per day. The project included 5 phases: (1) base knowledge and preparation for field experiences, (2) preparation of mini task analysis of jobs, (3) assessment of jobs observed in terms of self-interest, (4) development of individual education plans for further exploration, and (5) evaluation of experiences as a basis for planning further vocational study. A vocational teacher and guidance counselor staffed each program.

### Education/Work Experience Program

Twenty-five LEAs representing all educational districts served 375 students in a combined education and work experience program. (An employability development plan was developed for each student) The program was designed in 2 phases. Phase I regular school in which students worked a minimum of 15 hours per week in the public sector.

Phase 2 of the program occurred during summer school in which students' work experience was 4 hours per day in the public sector. The development plan for each student, prepared during phase one, continued through phase 2. Each student was placed in work situations as closely related to his/her career goal as possible.

School credit toward graduation requirements was awarded to those who satisfactorily completed the program.

The following Executive Summary was taken from a final report of a project, funded by CETA/Special Governor's Grant, entitled, "Three-Tier Vocational Education Program: A Report of Results and Approach," published by Duplin County.

This model is being disseminated to other LEAs and is currently receiving private funds in some areas for duplication, and continued implementation in Duplin County.

## AN EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### THREE-TIER VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAM

The results that make a difference

#### WORKERS WHO BETTER MEET THE JOB REQUIREMENTS OF EMPLOYERS:

Based upon observations of students by teachers and other educators and comments of representatives of the employing community, employability skills including attitudes of students entering the world of work have been improved. According to Tom Yates, Personnel Manager of Guilford East, a textile manufacturer and division of Guilford Mills, this knowledge reduces the amount of time needed to properly orient the student to the job. There has been significant improvement in the attitude of students entering the work force in Duplin County according to local personnel managers and the Vice-President of Mount Olive Pickle Company. Each student participating in the Three-Tier Program developed an employability plan with the counselor.

#### REDUCTION IN YOUTH UNEMPLOYMENT:

Through a placement service in the four high schools in Duplin County, youth unemployment has not been a problem. At the National level the government's September 4, 1981 unemployment report shows a record unemployment rate of 45.7% for black and other minority teenagers. For North Carolina in 1980, the unemployment rate for minorities, ages 16-19, was reported by the Employment Security Commission as 31.1%. Elizabeth Grant of the Employment Security Commission states, "In Duplin County, with the help of the Three-Tier Program, local industry and other agencies, we were able to place 261 youth on jobs this summer. In addition to those jobs with industry and other agencies, approximately 400 youth worked in agricultural work. Your counselors have been immensely helpful to all our staff in working with the youth. I've never worked with any program that has a more positive impact than the Three-Tier Vocational

Program. You and this program have motivated the whole county. The self-esteem given these young people is immeasurable. They are being successful in entering the world of work...we have been one of the North Carolina counties without a summer youth employment problem." In addition to the successes cited by Mrs. Grant, Mr. Bill Hennessee, Executive President of Cates Pickle Company indicated some students had been able to earn more than \$300 per week. The Three-Tier Program provided counseling and placement services for 495 students during the 1980-81 school year. Of the students served by the program, 426 were minorities. Minorities represented 86% of the students involved in the program. Many of the students who participated in the Three-Tier Program are considered to be high-risk students or difficult to place. Some have potential but are "underachievers."

#### IMPROVED ATTENDANCE IN DUPLIN COUNTY SCHOOLS:

During the 1980-81 school year, the attendance rate in the six schools involved in the Three-Tier Program improved by 2.41% over the 1979-80 school year. A majority of the 494 students who participated in the program during 1980-81 improved their school attendance record. In the Three-Tier schools during the past year, there were 70.39% fewer absences per day. During the year this would have amounted to 12,670 student days in attendance lost. Based on records according to principals and teachers, attendance for students who had a history of absenteeism has significantly improved.

#### IMPROVED ACHIEVEMENT ON THE PART OF STUDENTS PARTICIPATING IN THE THREE-TIER PROGRAM:

Through counseling and other services rendered to students participating in the Three-Tier Program, school records indicate an increased number of students are being successful. In a study of the seniors graduating in 1981, it was found that during the two-year period, 62% of the seniors in the program had an increased grade point average. There is also a relationship of achievement test scores to attendance in Duplin County. California Achievement Test (CAT) data shows a relationship between good attendance and student performance or achievement. The CAT results for 1980-81 ninth grade students in Duplin County illustrate the relationship of attendance and performance. Ninth grade students who were absent 0-7 days scored on the total battery a grade equivalent of 10.0; students absent 8-14 days scored 9.0 one grade equivalent lower; students absent 15-21 days scored 7.7; and students absent more than 21 days scored 6.3.


#### REDUCTION IN DROPOUT RATE IN THE SCHOOLS OF DUPLIN COUNTY:

During the administration of Governor Hunt, emphasis has been placed on reducing the dropout problem in the public schools of North Carolina. The Three-Tier Program, funded through CETA by a Governor's Special Grant, provides evidence that the dropout rate can be reduced.

According to the 1980 report from the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction, an estimated 8% of North Carolina's high school students dropped out of school during the 1978-79 school year. The rate in Duplin County during 1980-81 was slightly above 5%. The Three-Tier Program serving high-risk students, economically disadvantaged and handicapped youth in grades 9-12, had a dropout rate of 3.24%.

The graph below highlights the comparison of dropout rates in North Carolina and Duplin County with the Three-Tier Program.

COMPARISON OF DROPOUT RATES



The program has had a positive effect by reducing the number of dropouts from high school in Duplin County. During the 1980-81 school year, the dropout rate decreased by 14% when compared with 1979-80.

#### ARTICULATION TESTING HAS SIGNIFICANT GROWTH:

At the close of the 1979-80 school year, 87 Duplin County high school seniors took competency tests in auto mechanics and 54 were tested in the area of business education. Credit was earned for 155 courses at James Sprunt Technical College. In May, 1981, 29 students took the auto mechanics test with 42 courses earned. In the area of business education, 150 students were tested. These 150 seniors earned credit for a total of 336 James Sprunt Technical College courses that are applicable toward a degree in business education. Two students earned credit in health education. The 1981 articulation testing program was most successful in the history of articulation in Duplin County.

#### IMPACT AT JAMES SPRUNT TECHNICAL COLLEGE:

Another significant outcome has been an increased number of students in vocational programs who have made application to James Sprunt Technical College during the two years of the Three-Tier Program. During each of the two years of the program, 20% of all graduating seniors in Duplin County elected to attend James Sprunt Technical College. This represents a 52% increase over the years prior to the Three-Tier Program.

#### PLACEMENT AND SURVEY RESULTS:

In each of the two years the Three-Tier Program has been able to successfully place at least 95% of the seniors graduating from high school who participated in the Three-Tier Program, either in jobs or an institution for further development of job skills. The placement data for 1980-81 academic year is based upon information available at the close of the school year. In a survey of students, grades 9-12, participating in the program, 96% concluded that the Three-Tier Program had been helpful and 95% concluded that the experience in the program would help them get and keep a job. Students also recommended that this type of program should be available to all students. Counselors were effective in working with them according to 97% of the participants responding to the survey.

#### LINKAGE WITH OTHER AGENCIES ARE COOPERATIVELY MAINTAINED:

Other agencies include the Employment Security Commission, National Association of Retarded Citizens, Mental Health Department, private colleges, and others. Through linkage with other agencies, utilization of materials, equipment, and services have been available to the students participating, thereby providing a program of increased value to the student at less cost to the taxpayer. Through linkage, more effective planning resources for training of youth are better utilized. The main result of this linkage, according to members of the employing community, is a more orderly transition from school to work.

PARTNERS IN EDUCATION:

A strong relationship has been developed with the employing community because of the partnership which has been established between Duplin Public Schools and James Sprunt Technical College. This partnership results in educational programs which are better designed to meet the job requirements of this community. For example, with assistance and cooperation of business and industry, an educational model has been developed regarding worker excellence and attitudes. Business and industry involvement has increased dramatically.

## POSTSECONDARY

The North Carolina Community College System was involved to a great extent in CETA activities during the 1981 federal fiscal year. There was continued close coordination and liaison activities with the North Carolina Employment and Training Council, the thirteen local Prime Sponsors, and other agencies. In the following paragraphs the major CETA activities which the Community College system was involved are described.

### CETA COORDINATION AND LIAISON ACTIVITIES

The Department of Community Colleges conducted a project to coordinate the educational service programs and develop linkages between the North Carolina Department of Community Colleges and the North Carolina Employment and Training Council Office, each of the Prime Sponsors and other state agencies for the planning and implementation of CETA and other employment and training programs. The major activities included:

- (1) The development of a conceptual framework for use by one prime sponsor to implement a comprehensive systematic plan to provide employment and training services for youth by integrating a variety of services.
- (2) Participation in state level Interagency Coordinating Committee to promote cooperation and coordination among state agencies in employment and training activities. One major project conducted was the North Carolina Job Fair Project in which 76 employers and 3,762 applicants were assisted in seeking employment due to reduction in force and unemployment as a result of federal and state budget cuts.
- (3) Participation with the Department of Commerce in the conduct of nine workshops to promote community and economic development.

### Governor's Supplemental Vocational Education Assistance Grant (CETA 6% Funds) Activities

Some 6,225 CETA students were provided occupational and educational training programs by the 58 community college institutions and other educational and employment and training institutions and other educational and employment and training institutions at a cost of \$2,162,906 through the Governor's Supplemental Vocational Education Assistance (6%) grant under CETA during the federal fiscal year 1981. Some 2,711 students were individually referred to the various occupations provided through regular curriculum programs; 729 students were provided occupational training in class size structured programs; 1,192 students were enrolled in adult basic education and general education development programs; 190 students were provided pre-vocational training; 1,473 students were enrolled in an orientation and motivation (human resource development) program; and 748 students were provided counseling and job development and placement services. Some of these students were enrolled in more than one program. Also, some of these funds were used to pay allowances for 885 students while they were in training.

- (4) The publication of a CETA Guide for use by the Community College System to facilitate the delivery of CETA programs.
- (5) Increased emphasis on liaison activities with the North Carolina Employment and Training Council that included the State President and staff of the Department of Community Colleges making five presentations on programs related to CETA and Employment and Training.

## CETA SPECIAL GRANT PROJECTS

Nine community college institutions along with the staff of the Department of Community Colleges conducted thirteen different CETA employment and training projects funded with \$778,511 from the Governor's Special Grant (1%, 4% and 5%) funds during 1981 federal fiscal year. The following is a summary of the thirteen projects:

(1) Special Needs Assistance Project (SNAP) conducted by Rockingham Community College with a funding level of \$113,965. This project was designed to recruit up to 35 untrained/undertrained handicapped individuals and assist them in their training programs and in gaining meaningful employment.

(2) Veterans Outreach Services project conducted by Central Piedmont Community College with a funding level of \$30,000. This was a demonstration project which served 6,372 veterans to assist them in gaining employment and becoming self-reliant.

(3) Education Institutional - CETA Prime Sponsor Linkages project conducted by Central Piedmont Community College with a funding level of \$75,012. This project was to develop and put into operation an innovative mechanism which would facilitate all of the processes related to CETA programs involving a community college institution and a prime sponsor.

(4) Haywood County Human Services Interagency Computer System project conducted by Haywood Technical College with a funding level of \$59,500. This project was continued from the previous fiscal year to develop a computerized information sharing system involving up to twelve agencies in the county to facilitate CETA programs.

(5) Dropout Information Feasibility Project conducted by Wayne Community College with a funding level of \$31,129. This project was initiated during the previous fiscal year to determine the cost of a statewide dropout information system dealing with high school dropouts.

(6) Vocational Skills Review Films project conducted by Caldwell Community College with a funding level of \$30,525. This project was to produce 75 single concept review films to augment instruction in four occupational programs.

(7) Guilford County Dropout Assessment Project conducted by Guilford Technical Institute with a funding level of \$22,915. This was a research study of the profile of Guilford County high school dropouts that was initiated during the previous fiscal year.

(8) Guilford Technical Institute Dropout Services Center with a funding level of \$95,959 was conducted as the result of the dropout assessment project to provide services to school dropouts.

(9) Non-graduate Recruitment and Counseling Project conducted by Stanly Technical College with a funding level of \$8,942. This project was to provide services for out-of-school non-graduates from high school or high school equivalent programs.

(10) Industrial Needs Coordination Project conducted by Mitchell Community College with a funding level of \$14,539. This project involved six community colleges/technical institutes to inventory, survey, and identify gaps of training provided and employer needs.

(11) Human Resource Development (HRD) Assessment research project which was conducted through the Department of Community Colleges with a funding level of \$94,666. This research project was to assess the impact of the HRD program which is offered by community college institutions.

(12) Model Dropout Information Project conducted by Sandhills Community College with a funding level of \$24,592. This project was to develop a model for use in addressing school dropout problem in the state.

(13) CETA Liaison/Coordinator Project conducted by the Department of Community Colleges with a funding level of \$37,149. This project was continued from the previous year to conduct coordination and liaison activities with prime sponsors, state agencies, and employment and training agencies.

#### Local Community College Institution Effort

All the 58 community college institutions provided the usual educational and training programs under Title II-B, Training Activities for the Economically Disadvantaged, of CETA in agreement with the local Prime Sponsors in their service area. In addition there was increased involvement of community college institutions in Prime Sponsor training and employment programs connected with business and industry under CETA Title VII, Private Sector Initiative Program (PSIP).

Part B

LOCAL ADVISORY COUNCIL PARTICIPATION

1. All eligible recipients have been notified of the requirements to establish Local Advisory Councils. Furthermore, the State Board of Education certifies that eligible recipients have been notified of the responsibility to establish such councils.
2. Local directors and administrators have been informed of the requirements and functions of local advisory councils and that the council shall be composed of representatives of the general public including at least a representative of business, industry and labor. Other individuals representing consumer interests, parenting responsibilities and employment and training councils should be included also.
3. Local applications from eligible recipients indicate by name, race and sex the membership from each clientele group.
4. The certification of each local application attests that a local advisory council has been involved and has advised on (a) current labor market needs and the relevancy of proposed programs; and (b) development of the local application.

Changes reflected in local applications concerning program enrollment, program offerings and funding can be attributed, in part, to the involvement of local advisory councils. These changes are reflected in the state plan.

5. An agreement between the State Board of Education and the State Advisory Council helps accomplish the purpose and objectives of local advisory councils.
6. State staff members work closely with eligible recipients in developing plans and applications prior to approval and in providing follow-up.
7. Joint advisory councils are being used by some local educational agencies and postsecondary institutions. In addition to this approach, representatives of local educational agencies serve on postsecondary advisory councils and postsecondary representatives serve on local educational agency advisory councils.

RESULTS OF PARTICIPATION OF LOCAL ADVISORY COUNCILS  
SECONDARY

The State Board has notified all eligible recipients of the requirements to establish local advisory councils. Furthermore, the State Board certifies in the State Plan that such eligible recipients have established such councils.

The local application for state/federal funding from eligible recipients indicates advisory council members by clientele group served, race and sex.

Based on a 30% random sample of LEA vocational education annual applications for state/federal funding submitted for FY81, the following is the composition of local advisory committees, (by clientele group represented) assuming the sample is representative of the state:

Table 21: Composition of local Vocational Advisory Councils

<u>Clientele Group Represented</u>	<u>Percent of Total</u>	<u>Projected Total for State</u>
Business	17.3	369
Industry	11.3	239
Labor	11.6	246
Trade	6.0	126
Technical	2.8	60
Office	4.1	87
Health	6.3	133
Home Economics	7.3	156
Agriculture	7.7	163
Marketing & Distribution	3.3	70
Education	9.5	203
Industry Hunters	1.3	27
Employment & Training Agencies	1.9	40
CETA	2.3	50
ESC	0.6	13
Parents	4.4	93
Other (specify)	3.0	61
<hr/>		
	Totals	100.1
		*2,136
Student		
Law Enforcement		
State Government		
Homemaker		
Manpower Representative		
FHA		
Community Schools		

\*Discrepancies due to rounding

It should be noted that the count is unduplicated. Many persons represented more than one clientele group.

Projected Statewide Composition of Advisory Councils by Race and Sex

Based on the 30% sample, the projected statewide composition of advisory councils by race and sex is as follows:

Race and Sex									
White		Black		** American Indian		Other		Total	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F		
954	502	353	309	3	3	3	0		2127

\*\*American Indians are more concentrated in several geographical areas of the state, most of which did not fall within the random sample.

### Projected Statewide Advisory Council Activities

Local planners and administrators have been informed of the requirements and functions of local advisory councils.

To determine whether advisory councils were meeting these requirements, the same 30% sample of FY81 local applications was examined. Assuming the sample to be representative, an extrapolation was made to project statewide advisory council activities.

Table 22: Projected Statewide Advisory Council Activities

<u>Activities</u>	<u>Number of Occasions During Fiscal Year This Activity Occurred</u>		
	<u>Council</u>	<u>Individual</u>	<u>Sub-Group</u>
Orientation Meeting(s)	166	382	70
Review Occupational/Community Surveys (Job Needs)	146	339	106
Advise on Course Content (Relevance of programs)	203	472	113
Review of Student Placement Data	116	416	67
Equipment and Facility Planning Recommendations	120	379	83
Identification of Potential Vocational Teachers	37	203	23
Identify Community Resources to Support Vocational Education Programs	163	446	106
Review Evaluation Data	103	190	63
Advise on Local Plan Development			
(a) Continuing Plan	126	313	153
(b) Annual Plan & Application	153	329	150
Conduct Program Visitations in the LEA	126	353	143
Conduct Program Visitations outside the LEA	37	66	50
Other (specify)	17	93	3
	<u>Totals</u>	<u>1,513</u>	<u>4,080</u>
			<u>1,130</u>

Job Opportunity Day  
Student of the Year  
Donation of Materials &  
Equipment  
Youth Banquet  
Tour Industry

Analyzing the projection of activities statewide based on the number of occasions during the fiscal year the activity occurred, it appears that there were different emphases of activities by categories or groups, e.g. council meetings, individual meetings, and sub-group meetings.

Following is a listing of the top five priority activities, other than orientations, by each category:

<u>Council</u>	<u>Projected Number of Times Activity Occurred during FY81</u>
Advise on Course Content (Relevance of programs)	203
Identify Community Resources to Support Vocational Education Programs	163
Advise on Local Plan Development (b) Annual Plan & Application	153
Review Occupational/Community Surveys (Job Needs)	146
Advise on Local Plan Development (a) Continuing Plan	126
Conduct Program Visitations in the LEA	126
<u>Individual</u>	
Advise on Course Content (Relevance of programs)	472
Identify Community Resources to Support Vocational Education Programs	446
Review of Student Placement Data	416
Equipment and Facility Planning Recommendations	379
Conduct Program Visitations in the LEA	353
<u>Sub-Group</u>	
Advise on Local Plan Development (a) Continuing Plan	153
(b) Annual Plan & Application	150
Conduct Program Visitations in the LEA	143
Advise on Course Content (Relevance of programs)	113
Review Occupational/Community Surveys (Job Needs)	106
- Identify Community Resources to Support Vocational Education Programs	106